# EUROPEAN MEETING

AUGUST 26th - 31st 1968

SECTION A 8

AB

R. Žakula and Miroslava Todorovič Pakulty of Technology Novi Sad, Yugoslavia

CZECHOSLOVAKIA

#### Contribution to the Study of the Thermoresistance of Bacillus Spores Suspended in Different Substrates.

If numerous factors upon which the canned meats sterility depends, although the commercial one, are considered, one can be convinced that the industrial practice, which does not pay an adequate attention to these factors, is often faced with some unpleasant surprises related to the poor keeping quality of a greater or smaller number of the productive lots of cans.

Technical and scientific literature is scanty with data on the thermoresistance of micrococci, streptococci, bacilli and clostridia in comminuted beef and pork in the presence of the curing ingredients. Especially, few data are available on the values required for estimating the sterilization and pasteurization of canned meats. Without those data, derived from the thermal death time curves, survivor curves and thermal destruction curves, determination of the exact process times could not be considered that would provide bacteriologically valid cans.

Keeping quality of canned meats is mostly affected by the microorganisms from Bacillus and Clostidium genera as in most cases their spores are very thermoresistant. Meat mass prepared to be canned, depending upon the meat contamination and general hygienic conditions of the production, Contains a higher or smaller count of bacilli spores that have to be destroyed applying the adequate thermal treatment. Certainly, in addition to heat, some other factors influence thermoresistance of the spores, e.g., curing ingredients in the cans produced of the cured meat.

In the present work, which is a part of the complex problem on the thermoresistance of microorganisms and estimation of the sterilization and pasteurization of th<sup>g</sup> canned meat, that is under the study, determination of th<sup>g</sup> F, D,  $z_F$  and  $z_D$  values as the indices of the thermoresistance for the following bacillus species: Bac. licheniformis, Bac. subtilis, Bac. cereus, Bac. megatherium and Bac. polymixa is performed.

Spores of the bacilli studied were suspended in the following substrates: the neutral phosphate buffer, the water solution of the curing ingredients, the comminuted beef with and with-out curing ingredients added and the comminuted pork with and without curing ingredients added.

#### Materials and method

All bacilli were isolated from the changed and unchanged meat cans. To obtain the spores, the medium of the following composition was used: 2% agar, 30 ppm MnSO<sub>4</sub>.H<sub>2</sub><sup>0</sup> and 0.05 % glucose. The colonies grown in the Roux flasks were washed with the neutral phosphate buffer and water solution of the curing ingredients, respectively. After homogenization, several repeated centrifuging and followed with removal of the supernatant, the spore suspension was obtained and by the microscopic examination it was found to contain about 95 % of spores. The spore suspensions prepared were stored in the electric refrigerator at the temperature of 3°C until use, but no longer than two weeks. The neutral phosphate buffer had pH 7,0 and the water solution of the curing ingredients contained 3% NaCl, 0,2 % NaNO, and 0,015 % NaNO, . Larger pieces of beef and pork were free of fatty and connective tissue,

72

burnt from all sides on the burner, comminuted in the sterilized meat chopper and finally, meat was homogenized in the mixer with 30 % of the saline.

To one portion of the homogenized meat the curing ingredients were added in the relationship equivalent to that in the water solution.

In all substrates examined the concentration of spores amounted to 2 to 3 x  $10^7$ .

The thermoresistance of the above mentioned bacilli was tested by the capillary method (length 120 mm and i.d. 3 mm). After it is filled with substrates (0,3 ml fluid and 0,3 g meat), the capillaries were melted at both ends on the burner. In the oil bath with contact thermometer, accuracy  $\pm$  0,1°C, the capillaries were treated at the temperatures of 100, 110, 115 and 121°C for the period ranging from 15 seconds to 130 minutes.

From the results obtained the thermal death time curves, <sup>Survivor</sup> curves and thermal destruction curves are plotted on the semi-log paper and from those curves the F, D,  $z_F$ and  $z_D$  values are determined.

## Results and discussion

The fundamental task of this study was to investigate the effect of the curing ingredients on the thermoresistance of the selected bacilli species. As regards the above, the basic conclusion is that the curing ingredients in the concentrations used, increase the thermoresistance of all five studied bacilli. In the water solution of the brine ingredients, the thermoresistance was higher as compared to the neutral phosphate buffer, it was higher in the beef and pork with the curing ingredients added as compared to the meat without those ingredients added.

Out of five bacilli species studied, the highest thermoresistance was found in Bac. licheniformis in all sub-

strates usel. It is worth mentioning that the greatest protective power was exhibited by the pork with the curing ingredients added. In this substrate, the  $F_{212}$ is for five minutes higher in comparison with the pork without the curing ingredients added. In the beef this difference was smaller and amounted only 3 minutes for the F212. At higher temperatures these differences decreased, thus, the  $F_{250}$  amounted to 1,3 and 1,2 in pork with and without the curing ingredients added, respectivelly. In beef, at that temperature, the difference was greater and the F250 was 0,5 and 1,1 with and without the curing ingredients added, respectivelly. Almost the same relation were mantained in the case of the D values. Bac. licheniformis had the highest  $z_F$  and  $z_D$  values in comparison with the other bacilli tested.

Considering the F, D,  $z_F$  and  $z_D$  values obtained for the other studied bacilli it could be concluded that their thermoresistance, on the basis of those indices, is very similar and considerably lower than that of Bac. Licheniformis. Anyhow, in this group, Bac. subtilis and Bac. cereus have slightly higher thermoresistance as compared to the others.

The other workers as well as the authors in the previous papers have found that Bac. licheniformis species has higher thermoresistance than the other species from the same genus. The rise of the thermoresistance of bacilli in the presence of the curing ingredients could be explained by the fact that the salt, in the concentration we have used  $(3 \ )$ , increases the thermoresistance of spores while the other curing ingredients in the presence of a higher count of the spores (in out case  $10^7$ ) do not show any inhibitory activity. Pork has a better protective power as compared to beef, maybe, owing to its higher fat content (pork 3 %, beef 1,5 %) or, that seems more likely, due to the different chemical composition of fat of these two kinds of meat. The above is under study.

Conclusion

On the basis of the results obtained the following conclusion can be drawn:

- Out of five bacilli species studied the highest thermoresistance in the presence of the curing ingredients in all substrates was exhibited by the spores of Bac. licheniformis,
- lower but rather good thermoresistance of the others
  was found in Bac. subtilis and Bac. cereus spores,
- according to the protective power, the substrates can be classified in the following manner: pork with the curing ingredient added > pork without the curing ingredients > beef with the curing ingredients added > beef without curing ingredients > the water solution of the curing ingredients > the neutral phosphate buffer,
- in the complexity of the factors in meat affecting the bacterial thermoresistance, certainly, fat has its determined role,
- a better protective power of pork, in our opinion, could be explained rather on the basis of the difference in the chemical composition of pork and beef fat than on the basis of the difference in the percentage, within our studies; in order to elucidate these phenomena the experiments are undertaken that are in the course of the study.

### Comparative F, D, $\boldsymbol{z}_{\mathrm{F}}$ and $\boldsymbol{z}_{\mathrm{D}}$ values for five Bacillus species

		8	

Bacillus species	Temperature		Neutral phosph.				Beef without		Beef with		Fork without	Pork with	
	°F	°C	bui F	fer D	of cur. F	D D	cur.i F	ngred. D			cur.i F	ngred. D	cur.ingred. F D
Bac.liche- niformis	212 221 230 250	100 110 115 121	110 30,5 7,5 0,45 z <sub>F</sub> 17	17,0 4,0 0,9 0,06 z <sub>D</sub> 17,0	112,5 32,5 8,0 0,52 z <sub>F</sub> 18	17,5 4,0 0,9 0,06 z <sub>D</sub> 17,5	115 35 11 0,72 z <sub>F</sub> 18		118 35 12 1,1 z <sub>F</sub> 18	18,0 6 2,0 0,18 z <sub>D</sub> 18	120 45 14,8 1,2 3 2 <sub>F</sub> 18	0,18	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
Bac.subti- lis	212 221 230 250	100 110 115 121	105 28 5,5 0,23 z <sub>F</sub> 15	16 3,3 0,7 0,03 z <sub>D</sub> 15	109,5 31,5 7,0 0,42 z <sub>F</sub> 17	17,0 4,5 1,1 0,07 z <sub>D</sub> 17,5	108 32 8,1 0,50 z <sub>F</sub> 16	16,0 4,0 0,9 0,04 z <sub>D</sub> 15	110 50 15 0,8 z <sub>F</sub> 16	16,0 4,5 1,2 0,08 z <sub>D</sub> 17	112 41 13 0,9 z <sub>F</sub> 17	17,5 3,5 0,8 0,04 5 2 <sub>D</sub> 15	
Bac.ce- reus	212 221 230 250	100 110 115 121	103 25 4,5 0,13 z <sub>F</sub> 14	15,5 3,8 0,8 0,045 z <sub>D</sub> 16,5	107 30 6 0,31 z <sub>F</sub> 16	15,5 3,5 0,75 0,037 z <sub>D</sub> 15	104 30 7,5 0,38 2 <sub>F</sub> 15,5	15,5 -3,5 0,82 0,04 z <sub>D</sub> 15	108 38 10 0,65 z <sub>F</sub> 16,5	16,5 3,8 1,4 0,8 zp16,	110 40 11 0,7 5 z <sub>F</sub> 16	16,5 3,5 0,85 0,04 5 2,15 2	112 16,5 41 4,0 11,5 0,9 0,7 0,04 F16,5 z <sub>D</sub> 15
Bac.mega- terium	212 221 230 250	100 110 115 121	100 21 3,3 0,1 z <sub>F</sub> 14	15 3,5 0,65 0,03 z <sub>D</sub> 15	103 25 4,2 0,17 z <sub>F</sub> 15	13,5 3,0 0,7 0,037 z <sub>D</sub> 16	100 35 10 0,70 z <sub>F</sub> 17,5	17,5 3,0 0,7 0,03 5 z <sub>D</sub> 15	105 32 9,5 0,6 z <sub>F</sub> 17	17,0 4,5 1,5 0,1 z <sub>D</sub> 17,	107 38 10,3 5,2 <sub>F</sub> 16	16,5 3,5 2 0,8 5 0,04	
Bac.poly- mixa	212 221 230 250	100 110 115 121	lol 23 3,5 0,12 z <sub>F</sub> 14	16 4,0 0,7 0,04 z <sub>D</sub> 15	105 28 5 0,25 z <sub>F</sub> 15	16 3,3 0,6 0,027 z <sub>D</sub> 14 z	104 33 9,8 0,70 F <sup>17</sup> ,5	17,5 3,5 0,8 0,04 z <sub>D</sub> 15 z	106 38 9,5 0,60 2 <sub>F</sub> 16,5	16,5 4,2 1,3 0,12 z <sub>D</sub> 18	108 38 10 6,5 2 <sub>F</sub> 17	3,8 0,95 0,5	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$