1	CERTAIN MUSCLE PROPERTIES IN RELATION TO DEGREE OF FATNESS AND
2	MUSCLING IN PIG CARCASSES *
3	
4	A.H. Martin and H.T. Fredeen
5	C.D.A. Research Station, Lacombe, Alberta
6	
7	
8	Increasing the lean to fat ratio is a primary objective in
9	swine improvement and selection for this trait has been shown to be effective
10	(e.g. Fredeen, 1971). Although qualitative characteristics of muscle have
11	been the focus of intense research in recent years, the consequences of
12	selection procedures aimed at maximizing lean meat yield remain unresolved.
13	Martin et al. (1971) reporting on certain meat quality parameters for a
14	control population versus lines selected for leanness found line differences
15	to be relatively insignificant. However others (e.g. Weiss et al., 1971a, b)
16	have concluded that selection for a meat-type hog leads to alterations in
17	hormonal and metabolic functions which in turn influence qualitative
18	characteristics. Supporting evidence of relationships between quality/
19	quantity parameters is also provided by various reports indicating that
20	breeds superior in muscling are more prone to produce pale soft exudative
21	(P.S.E.) pork resulting in reduced retail appeal and inferior processing
22	qualities (e.g. MacDougall and Disney, 1967; Hedrick et al., 1968).
23	Nonetheless the hog industry is not likely to compete and prosper without
24	
25	

\* Prepared for the 18th Ann. Mtg., Meat Research Workers, August 20-25, 1972, University of Guelph, Ontario, Canada.

(177)

continued improvement in carcass merit and the important question to be answered is how far and how fast may we proceed to alter tissue proportions without compromising important muscle properties? Investigation of this question obviously requires examination of various physiological parameters related to growth, and to meat quality.

6

12

13

14

The present study was conducted to evaluate the interrelationships among variations in carcass fatness and muscling, protein solubility, muscle pH, intramuscular ether extract, color reflectance, tenderness as evaluated by shear value, and finally subjective color-structure and marbling scores.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

146 barrow, 192 gilt and 120 boar carcasses of mixed breeding 15 (Lacombe, Hampshire x L and Poland China x L) were slaughtered at approximately 16 90 kg liveweight. Hot pH was taken on the kill line after scalding at about 17 18 40 minutes post mortem using a probe type electrode inserted into the 19 gracilis muscle. After a 24 hr chill a second pH measurement was taken 20 at the same site. Color-structure scores and marbling scores similar to 21 those described by Forrest et al. (1963) were assigned and carcass 22 measurements were taken. The entire loin section was excised and taken for 23 laboratory dissecting into lean, fat and bone. At 48 hrs post mortem a 24300 gm sample of the longissimus dorsi muscle, dissected to eliminate all 25 surrounding tissue and homogenized by repeated grinding through a power

(178)

grinder, was analyzed for ether extractable fat, moisture and ash by 1 standard AOAC methods (1960). The ground material was also sampled for 2 water soluble proteins and ultimate pH by the method of Hart (1962). 3

4

5 After dissection a slice about 3 cm thick was cut from the centre of the longissimus dorsi and a probe reading of pH taken. 6 Subsequently the steak was measured for color using a photoelectric color 7 8 meter\* and then cooked in a microwave oven. Cooked steaks were placed in a plastic bag and chilled overnight after which two 2-cm cores were 9 taken for electronic recording of maximum shear values using a Warner-10 Bratzler shear device. 11

12

Standard errors were calculated for each mean. A rapid 13 and robust test of the significance of the difference between any two means 14 is provided by 3 times the greater standard error. 15

RESULTS

16

17

18

A 283

## A subjective division between P.S.E. and non-P.S.E. muscle is 19 20 of necessity arbitrary. However, for purposes of this report the primary 21 criterion used was whether or not "weeping" occurred. Thus subjective color structure scores of 2 or less were classified as mildly (scores 1.5 and 22 23 2.0) or extremely (scores 0.5 and 1.0) P.S.E., while scores above 2.5 were <sup>24</sup> considered non-P.S.E. On this basis, 13% of the barrows, 11% of the gilts 25 and 18% of the boars were designated as having P.S.E. properties. Only

\* Manufactured by Ernst Schutt Laboratory, Gottingen, Germany.

(179)

(180)

1 two of the 458 carcasses were judged to be extreme P.S.E.

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

Tabulation of the data according to color structure score 3 4 (Table 1) demonstrated statistically significant differences in post mortem pH. protein solubility, shear values, scores for marbling and color 5 6 reflectance readings. Increasing values for color structure scores were 7 accompanied by significant increases in pH, marbling score and color and 8 decreasing values in transmission values and shear values. There were no 9 significant differences in carcass leanness between P.S.E. and non-P.S.E. 10 groups of carcasses.

11

All pH values, % intramuscular fat and subjective quality 12 scores (Table 2) were unrelated to measures of carcass muscling. As 13 14 carcass muscling increased (or backfat measures decreased), transmission and shear values increased and marbling scores decreased. However measures 15 of carcass muscling had little predictive value for any of these variables. 16 17 The closest association involved % lean in loin and shear values (r = 0.30) 18 indicating that the heavier muscled or leaner carcasses tended to produce tougher pork. However r<sup>2</sup> value for these traits was less than 10%. 19 20

Color reflectance was not closely related to other measurements of pork quality (Table 3). Final (48 hr) pH was lowly correlated with initial pH (r = .36) and moderately correlated with 24 hr pH (r = .62).
Final pH and transmission value were quite highly correlated (r = -.72) and each was a good predictor of subjective quality score (r = .61, r = -.56 respectively).

## (181)

## DISCUSSION

3 The positive association between color-structure scores and 4 pH values observed in this study is in accord with conclusions reached by Topel et al. (1967), Sayre et al. (1964) and Dildey et al. (1970). Although 5 6 McClain et al. (1969) were unable to detect any difference in ultimate pH 7 for P.S.E. vs normal longissimus dorsi muscle tissue the inverse 8 relationship they reported between transmission value (protein solubility) 9 and P.S.E. score is in agreement with our results and is also in accord 10 with the conclusion of Ockerman and Cahill (1968) and Sybesma and Hart (1965). 11 Penny et al. (1969) found 24-hr pH to have a small but significant influence 12 on water holding capacity. Rapid pH decline at high carcass temperatures 13 is a well established phenomenon of P.S.E. type pork muscle. However 14 results of the present study suggest that the rate of pH decline between 24 15 and 48 hrs post-mortem is also most extensive in P.S.E. muscle and that 16 ultimate pH (48 hrs post mortem) provides a simpler but equally good 17 objective method for estimating muscle quality (r = 0.61) as the more complex 18 procedure of turbidity measurements as suggested by Ockerman and Cahill (1968) 19 (r = -.56).

20

1

2

Color reflectance values increased linearly as subjective quality scores increased. However, color reflectance did not provide an adequate method for pork quality evaluation, giving correlations with quality score and pH and % transmission of only .41 and .26 and -.27 respectively. A partial explanation of these low correlations may be provided by the

(182)

observation by Sayre et al. (1964) that some muscles with low pH and
exudative properties do retain a dark color. Topel et al. (1967) also
reported that low muscle protein extractability was not entirely consistent
with visible P.S.E. muscle characteristics.

5

Briskey (1964) reported lesser amounts of visible marbling in 6 P.S.E. muscle while Sink et al. (1967) noted no significant differences 7 between P.S.E. and non P.S.E. muscle for total lipid percent. Our results 8 support both of these apparently conflicting reports and we have concluded 9 that, while intrinsic differences in lipid content do not exist between P.S.E. 10 and normal muscle, subjective marbling scores tend to be biased upwards in 11 12 the presence of the P.S.E. condition. This conclusion is supported by the lack of correlation between transmission values and % intramuscular fat. 13 14

Palatability characteristics of P.S.E. muscle have not been 15 clearly established. Briskey (1963) indicated P.S.E. muscle to be less 16 tender than normal muscle. Sayre et al. (1963) found markedly lowered shear 17 values in pork muscle for which pH exceeded 6.0 at onset of rigor mortis and 18 reported high negative correlations between pH readings at four sampling 19 times and shear values. Judge et al. (1960) on the other hand reported a 20 negative correlation between tenderness and degree of muscle firmness. Our 21 data also indicate trends toward lower shear values for darker, higher pH 22 muscle. However, differences in mean shear values among color-structure 23 groups were small and the correlation between pH and shear value was low 24(-0.24) compared with values > -.60 reported by Sayre et al. (1963). Our 25

correlations were however in accord with those reported by Kaufman et al. 1 (1964) and do not indicate major influences on shear values due to degree 2 of visual P.S.E. development. However it is possible that more extreme 3 relationships between pH and shear values would be observed in populations 4 characterized by a higher incidence and more intense development of the 5 P.S.E. condition than was apparent in our data. Indeed, MacDougall and 6 Disney (1967) reported substantially higher shear values for the Pietrain 7 breed which they attributed to faster rates of post-mortem glycolysis. 8

9

Extreme muscularity and stress-susceptibility with a consequent 10 high incidence of P.S.E. pork are documented characteristics of the Pietrain 11 12 breed. This has led to speculation that selection for increased lean content 13 will result in reduced meat quality. Evidence has been presented for breed-14 based associations between quantity and quality of lean (MacDourall and 15 Disney, 1967; Hedrick et al., 1968) with certain breeds identified as "stress 16 susceptible". Also Dildey et al. (1970) found a correlation of -.73 between 17 color-structure score and % ham and loin, and a similar relationship was 18 reported by Hedrick et al. (1968) when data for several breeds were 19 combined. However these authors found no consistent evidence for this 20 relationship on a within-breed basis. Data from the present study indicated 21 no significant relationships between quality scores and any of the measures 22 of muscling and it would appear that, within a breed, a considerable change 23 in mean carcass muscling and/or fatness will not necessarily entail any 24 meaningful change in quality parameters. On the other hand the contribution 25 of "muscle breeds" in crossbreeding programs should be further investigated.

(184)

The present data also indicate that less than 10% of the variation in tenderness is accounted for by variation in muscling and/or fat content. This is in agreement with the conclusions of Skelley and Handlin (1971).

5 Weiss et al. (1971) suggested that selection for a meat 6 type hog may be expected to influence metabolic and/or hormonal functions. 7 Thus breeds and/or lines within breeds may differ in basic metabolism 8 according to differences in their selection background. That such 9 differences may affect post mortem pH change, and thus carcass "quality" 10 attributes, is suggested by comparing the averages for ultimate pH values 11 of 5.68 and 5.50 reported by Bendall et al. (1966) for large populations 12 of pigs in Britain with the average of 5.75 obtained in the present study. 13 However, the present data suggest that substantial genetic changes in body 14 composition of the pig can be achieved without encountering problems of 15 pork quality.

- 16
- 17 18
- 19 20
- 21 22
- 24

23

25

A.O.A.C. 1960. Official methods of analysis. 9th ed. p. 264. Assoc. Offic. Agr. Chemists.

- Bendall, J.R., A. Cuthbertson and D.P. Gatherum. 1966. A survey of pH<sub>1</sub> and ultimate pH values of British progeny-test pigs. J. Fd. Technol. 1: 201-214.
- Briskey, E.J. 1963. Influence of ante- and post-mortem handling practices on properties of muscle which are related to tenderness. Proc. Meat Tenderness Symposium., Campbell Soup Co., p. 195.
- Dildey, D.D., F.D. Aberle, J.C. Forrest and M.D. Judge. 1970. Porcine muscularity and properties associated with pale, soft, exudative muscle. J. An. Sci. 31: 681-685.
- Forrest, J.C., R.F. Gundlach and E.J. Briskey. 1963. A preliminary survey of the variations in certain pork ham muscle characteristics. Proc. 15th Res. Conf., 81-91.
- Fredeen, H.T. 1971. Index and single trait selection for growth rate and carcass merit in a closed pig population. C.S.A.P. Ann. Mtg., Lethbridge, Alta.

Hart, P.C. 1962. Tijdsshrift voor diergeneeskinde 87: 156-167.

- Hedrick, H.B., R.K. Leavitt and M.A. Alexander. 1968. Variation in porcine muscle quality of Duroc and Hampshire barrows. J. An. Sci. 27: 48-52.
- Judge, M.D., V.R. Cahill, L.E. Kunkle, J.W.H. Bruner. 1959. Pork quality.
  I. Influences of some factors on pork muscle characteristics. J.
  An. Sci. 18: 448.
- Martin, A.H., H.T. Fredeen and H. Doornenbal. 1971. Pork quality parameters from lines selected for rate of gain and carcass backfat. C.S.A.P. Ann. Mtg., Lethbridge, Alta.
- McClain, P.E., A.M. Pearson, J.R. Brunner and G.A. Crevasse. 1969. Connective tissues from normal and PSE porcine muscle. I. Chemical characterization. J. Fd. Sci. 34: 1-5.
- MacDougall, D.B. and J.G. Disney. 1967. Quality characteristics of pork with special reference to Pietrain, Pietrain x Landrace and Landrace pigs at different weights. J. Fd. Technol. 2: 285-297.
- Ockerman, H.W. and V.R. Cahill. 1968. Water extractability of muscle protein and factors which affect this procedure as a method of determining pork quality. J. An. Sci. 27: 31-38.
- Penny, I.F. 1969. Protein denaturation and water-holding capacity in pork muscle. J. Fd. Technol. 4: 269-273.

- Sayre, R.N., B. Kiernat and E.J. Briskey. 1964. Processing characteristics of porcine muscle related to pH and temperature during rigor mortis development and to gross morphology 24 hr post-mortem. J. Fd. Sci. 29: 175-181.
- Sink, J.D., R.W. Bray, W.G. Hoekstra and E.J. Briskey. 1967. Lipid composition of normal and pale, soft, exudative porcine muscle. J. Fd. Sci. 32: 258-260.
- Skelley, G.C. and D.L. Handlin. 1971. Pork acceptability as influenced by breed, sex, carcass measurements and cutability. J. An. Sci. 32: 239-244.
- Sybesma, W. and P.C. Hart. 1965. Symposium on carcass value. Hart's transmission value, a method for meat quality evaluation. European Assoc. for An. Prod., Noordwijk, June 22-25, 1965.
- Topel, D.G., R.A. Merkel and J. Wismer-Pedersen. 1967. Relationship of plasma 17-hydroxycorticosteroid levels to some physical and biochemical properties of porcine muscle. J. An. Sci. 26: 311-315.
- Weiss, G.M., D.G. Topel, R.C. Ewan, R.E. Rust and L.L. Christian. 1971. Growth comparison of a muscular and fat strain of swine. I. Relationship between muscle quality and quantity, plasma lactate and 17-hydroxycorticosteroids. J. An. Sci. 32: 1119-1123.
- Weiss, G.M., D.G. Topel and R.C. Ewan. 1971. Growth comparison of a muscular and fat strain of swine. II. Portein solubility characteristics and m. longissimus and serum electrolyte. J. An. Sci. 32: 1124-1127.

Table 1. Pork longissimus dorsi parameters as related to subjective color-structure scores.

		Subjecti	ve Quality	Score*				A STATE OF A
Numbers ] Castrates ] Females ] Boars	1 Extreme <u>P.S.E</u> .+ 1 1 0	2 Mild <u>P.S.E</u> . 16 18 18	3 Normal <u>Pink</u> 76 126 65	4 Slightly <u>D.F.D</u> . 47 44 34	$\frac{5}{\text{Extreme}}$ $\frac{\text{D.F.D.}}{6}$ 3 3	1,2 <u>P.S.E</u> . 17 19 18	3,4,5 Non- <u>P.S.E</u> . 129 173 102	Simple Corre- lations
Hot pH (45 min P.M.)	6.55 (0.05)	6.28 (0.03)	6.48 (0.01)	6.55 (0.02)	6.78 (0.04)	6.29 (0.03)	6.51 (0.01)	• 36
24 hr pH	5.70 (0.20)	5.82 (0.04)	5.89 (0.02)	6.09 (0.40)	6.64 (0.13)	5.81 (0.04)	5.98 (0.02)	.43
48 hr pH	5.35 (0.25)	5.55 (0.03)	5.68 (0.01)	5.92 (0.03)	6.53 (0.09)	5.54 (0.03)	5.78 (0.01)	.61
% transmission	96.5 (1.5)	88.0 (2.4)	64.4 (1.7)	40.8 (2.6)	16.5 (6.4)	88.3 (2.3)	55.7 (1.5)	56
Marbling score	2.0 (0.20)	2.52 (0.15)	3.52 (0.07)	4.21 (0.11)	4.42 (0.61)	2.50 (0.14)	3.76 (0.06)	.39
% Intramuscular fat	2.12 (0.62)	1.89 (0.09)	2.00 (0.04)	1.95 (0.06)	1.71 (0.15)	1.90 (0.08)	1.98 (0.03)	05
Color reflectance	52.0 (4.0)	63.3 (0.85)	66.1 (0.32)	69.1 (0.58)	74.9 (2.29)	62.9 (0.87)	67.3 (0.31)	.41
Join area sq cm	34.2 (4.51)	30.0 (0.52)	29.3 (0.19)	29.1 (0.32)	29.5 (0.84)	30.2 (0.52)	29.3 (0.19)	08
laximum shoulder fat cm	3.12 (0.07)	3.20 (0.07)	3.38 (0.03)	3.38 (0.05)	3.30 (0.04)	3.20 (0.07)	3.38 (0.03)	.06
6 lean in loin	43.4 (3.87)	42.9 (0.68)	41.2 (0.29)	41.5 (0.48)	40.9 (1.54)	42.9 (0.66)	41.3 (0.25)	06
& fat in loin	41.0 (2.78)	41.3 (0.82)	43.1 (0.36)	42.8 (0.60)	43.2 (2.13)	41.2 (0.79)	43.0 (0.31)	02
Shear value	53.0 (4.0)	46.4	43.5	44.8	40.0	46.6	43.7	07

H

(189)

	2	
	0	
	1	
í.	9	
	-	
	1	
1	2	
1	4	
	5	
1		

( Ban 5)

(2.1)

(9.0)

Table 2. Various pork quality parameters as related to measures of carcass leanness and muscling.

Mean	Maximum 3.0	Shoulder 3.5		Simple Correlat	Lea	% Dissectible Lean in Loin *		
Numbers ] Castrates ] Females ] Boars	19 54 72	79 120 39	39 13 7	<u>lorrelat</u>	46 9 6	42 99 156 75	1 24 39	<u>orrelati</u> o
Hot pH	6.47 (0.02)	6.48 (0.01)	6.52 (0.03)	.01	6.52 (0.03)	6.49 (0.02)	6.45 (0.03)	
24 hr pH	5.94 (0.03)	5.92 (0.03)	6.11 (0.06)	.13	6.16 (0.06)	5.92 (0.02)	5.93 (0.04)	
Final pH	5.73 (0.02)	5.72 (0.02)	5.89 (0.05)	.12	5.93 (0.05)	5.72 (0.01)	5.75 (0.04)	
% transmission	65.2 (2.62)	59.6 (1.96)	46.5 (4.22)	17	39.4 (3.76)	62.7 (1.65)		.21
Color reflectance	68.1 (0.52)	66.4 (0.41)	65.6 (0.76)	15	65.1 (0.84)	66.7 (0.34)	68.6 (0.92)	.22
Shear value	47.5 (0.98)	43.5 (0.70)	38.1 (1.11)	28	39.4	43.9	49.2	.30
Marbling score	3.44 (0.12)	3.63 (0.08)	3.75 (0.19)	.10	3.93 (0.19)	3.64 (0.07)	3.18 (0.17)	18
Wality score	5.85 (0.12)	5.83 (0.08)	6.02 (0.15)	.06	6.16 (0.18)	5.80 (0.06)	5.94 (0.21)	06
<sup>k</sup> ether extract	2.01 (0.06)	1.93 (0.04)	2.05 (0.09)	.01	2.00 (0.09)	1.98 (0.04)	1.82 (0.07)	

\* Standard errors in brackets

	Final pH	% transmission	Color reflectance
Final pH			
% transmission	72		
Color reflectance	.37	27	
Hot pH	.36	43	.25
24 hr pH	.62	54	.26
% intramuscular fat	.01	.04	17
Maximum shoulder fat	.12	17	03
% dissectible lean in loin	18	.21	14
Shear value	24	.20	.03
Subjective score	.61	56	.41

Table 3. Simple correlations among pork muscle attributes.

N = 454

(190)