<sup>161</sup>Sch ein Enzymgehalt von ungefähr 5 ppm. <sup>165</sup>betraugungen wurden unternommen um die Kundenzufriedenheit <sup>94</sup>Wirksamkeit des PROTEN Prozesses zu beurteilen. Diese <sup>94</sup>Wagen ergaben, dass die durch diesen Prozess erzielte Zart-<sup>94</sup>Von 955. Versuche zeigen auch, dass bei der Erhöhung der <sup>164</sup>Voortreten und werden vom Käufer besser beurteilt. <sup>95</sup>Mendien.

der Porschung in den 50er Jahren ist ein Verfahren entwickelt den, wobei ein proteolytisches Enzym, namens Papain, in das stor der Schlachtung noch lebende Tier eingespritzt wurde. Schanssige Verteilung des Kreislaufsystem des Tieres um eine Heisch ein Enzymgehalt von ungefähr 5 ppm. der die Kundenzufriedenheit

A sind gemacht worden, die zeigen, dass die Fleischzartheit andpunkt des Käufers als wichtigste Eigenschaft vorzuziehen Man gefragt wird, ob Enttäuschung beim Einkauf des Fleisches win weit, dann bejahen bis zu dreiviertel der befragten Kä-ter weiter um den Grund der Enttäuschung gefragt wird, ist Pleisches gebene Grund. Diese Beanstandungen wurden Auflachndustrie anerkannt und die Forschung versucht durch Auflagung der proteolytischen Enzyme die Zartheit mechanisch er.

E. I. PRITCHARD, SWIFT & COMPANY LIMITED, LONDON when the set of the set o

H. F. BERNHOLDT, SWIFT & COMPANY, CHICAGO, ILLINOIS E. I. PRITCHARD, SWIFT & COMPANY LIMITED, LONDON

RIRESAMKEIT DER ENZYMATISCHEN ZARTMACHUNG VON RINDFLEISCH UM H KAUFERANFORDERUNGEN GERECHT ZU WERDEN

This paper will review some of the tenderness studies that <sup>This</sup> been conducted and will also discuss results of studies <sup>Anaguring</sup> consumer reaction to tenderized meat.

In order to measure the effectiveness of the ProTen process, acceptance studies were conducted to evaluate overall consumer increase. These studies demonstrated that the tenderness is easily recognized by the consumer. Tests also demonstrated as tenderness increased other meat quality attributes such a juiciness are also rated higher by the consumer.

Starting with research in the early fifties, a method was developed in which a proteolytic enzyme, papain, is introduced taken in order to achieve the uniformity of distribution afforded of approximately 5 ppm of enzyme in the meat tissue.

Studies have been conducted demonstrating that tenderness is by far the most important attribute of meat guality from the means standpoint. When asked if she were disappointed in the an affinative unchased, up to three-fourths of the consumers gave disappointment, toughness was by far the largest single cause for complaint. Recognizing this, the meat industry has attempted sechanically and in more recent times through the addition of Proteolytic enzymes.

Tenderness has been defined as that quality of cooked meat loss of ed by the characteristic of easy chewability without the are not completely understood. Certainly the type and amount of ints inportant in determining meat tenderness. Chronological younger well finished cattle will tend to be more tender. Rigor their role in determining tenderness is not fully understood. Managel to solve the solve tender is solved from increase and these no doubt play a role in the tenderness that the tessue show neat is aged. However, in spite of fully controlling or modifying these factors has not yet been fully controlling or modifying these factors has not yet been at yet achieved.

H. F. BERNHOLDT, SWIFT & COMPANY, CHICAGO, ILLINOIS E. I. PRITCHARD, SWIFT & COMPANY LIMITED, LONDON

# EFFECTIVENESS OF ENZYMATIC TENDERIZATION OF BEEF IN MEETING CONSUMER DEMANDS

L'EFFICACITÉ DE ATTENDRISSEMENT ENZYMATIQUE DU BOEUF VIS-A-VIS LES DEMANDES DU CONSOMMATEUR

La tendreté de la viande a été défini comme cette qualité quon reconnais comme la facilité de macher sans perte de texture. Les facteurs qui influencent la tendreté de la viande ne sont pas completement connus. Lège, la nourriture et la race de l'animal ont une importance similaire, et en géneral le bétail le plus jenne et le mieux fini sera le plus tendre. Le patron de rigueur aussi bien que les facteurs qui affectent la rigueur sont impor-tants, mais jusqu' aujourd'hui leur role n'est pas complètement compris. Des enzymes proteòlètiques naturels ont été isolès des muscles, et il-y-a aucun doute que ceux-ci ont un role important dans l'attendrissement de la viande vieilli. Cependant, en dépit de tout les facteurs connus, on né pas encore pu obtenir une tendreté uniforme en controlant ou modifiant ces facteurs.

Des études ont été faites qui démontrent qu'au point de vu de la cosommatrice la tendreté est la qualité de la viande la plus im-portante. Quand on lui demandais si elle était désapponté avec la viande qu'elleavait acheté les trois-quarts répondaient affirma-tivement. Quand on demandais la raison pour son désappointements, la plupart donnait la dureté de la viande comme la plus fréquente cause. Reconnaissant ceci l'industrie de la viande a essayé d'amiliarer la tendreté par; l'elevage, la noumiture, le vieilli-ssage, la cuisson, par moyens mechaniques, et plus récemment par l'additionement d'enzymes protéolitiques.

Commencant avec des investigations faites vers mil neuf cent conquente, une mèthode fut développé dans laquelle une enzyme protéolytique, la papaïne est introduite dans l'animal quelques minutes avant de le tuer. Ceci permet d'obtenir une uniformité de distribition utilizant le systeme circulataire de l'animal pour obtenir un niveau de 5 ppm de l'enzyme dans la viande.

Pour mesurer l'efficacité du procédé ProTen, des études ont éte' faites chez le consommateur pour de'terminer son acceptation. Cer études ont démontrées que l'augmentation de tendreté est signifi-cative à un niveau de confiance de 95% et que celle-ci est facile ment reconnu par le consommateur. Ces tests ont aussi démontrés qu'en obtenant une augmentation dans la tendreté de la viande le consommateur pouvait aussi noter, en meme temps une amilioration d'autres qualités telles que la saveur et la quantité de jus dans la viande. facile-

Cet article passe en revue quelques unes des études faites sur la tendreté de la viande et décrira les resultats obterius sur des études faites pour mésurer la réaction du consommatuer vis-à-vis la viande attendri.

## ЭФФЕКТИВНОСТЬ ФЕРМЕНТНОГО СМЯГЧЕНИЯ ГОВЯДИНЫ КАК СРЕДСТВА УДОВЛЕТВОРЕНИЯ ЗАПРОСОВ ПОТРЕГИТЕЛЯ

Х.Ф. Fepнхольдт, Свифт и Компания, Чикаго, Иллинойс

Е.И.Притчард, Свифт и Компания Лимитед, Лондон

<text><text><text><text>

Эта работа рассмотрит некоторые из проведённых исследований мягкости, а также о<sup>с</sup>судит результаты исследований по измерению реакции потребителя на смягчённое мясо.

P1.

## REFRIGERATION, FREEZING AND THAWING

## EFFECTIVENESS OF ENZYMATIC TENDERIZATION OF BEEF IN MEETING CONSUMER DEMANDS

H. F. BERNHOLDT, SWIFT & COMPANY, OAK BROOK, ILLINOIS AND

E. I. PRITCHARD, SWIFT & COMPANY LIMITED, LONDON

E. 1. PRITCHARD, SWIFT & COMPART HIMITED, DONDOR Tenderness has been defined as that quality of cooked meat recognized by the characteristics of easy chewability without th loss of desirable texture. Factors influencing meat tenderness are not completely understood. Certainly the type and amount of connective tissue present as well as the muscle fibers are meat components important in determining tenderness. Chronological age, feeding and breeding are equally important and in general younger well-finished catle will tend to be more tender. Rigor pattern as well as factors affecting resolution of rigor are im-portant but as yet their role in determining tenderness is not fully understood. Naturally occurring proteolytic enzyme have been isolated from muscle tissue and these no doubt also play a role in tenderness, particularly that tendering we see occurring as meat is aged. However, in spite of these many known factors, the ability to provide uniformly tender meats by controlling or modifying these factors has not yet been fully achieved. the of curring

modifying these factors has not yet been fully achieved. Studies have been conducted demonstrating that tenderness is by far the most important attribute of meat quality from the con-sumer standpoint. When asked if she were dissapointed in the meat she had purchased, up to three-fourths of the consumers gave an affirmative answer. When asked to express a reason for her dissa-pointment, toughness was by far the largest single cause for com-plaint. Recognizing this, the meat industry has attempted to im-prove tenderness through breeding, feeding, aging, cooking, mech-anically and in more recent times through the addition of proteo-lytic enzyme. In the latter case, the enzymes have been added to meat cuts either by dipping meat cuts in a solution of proteo-lytic enzyme or by injecting the enzyme into the meat using var-ious pumping systems. While these methods have been relatively successful, their success has been limited since both dipping and injecting results in relatively poor distribution, a lack of uni-formity in tenderness, and often times, mushiness or overtender-ization.

Recognizing the limitations of existing tendering systems our research staff commenced work in the early 1950's to develop a method for providing uniform tenderness. The approach that was taken considered the fact that by utilizing the circulatory sys-tem we could indeed achieve uniform distribution throughout the meat tissues. After considerable research, including investiga-tion of various proteolytic enzymes, papain, derived from the specific blochemical techniques we are able to control its action eliminating problems of animal reaction resulting from introduc-tion of a foreign protein into the blood stream. In order to

As our studies continued, it became important to know whether or not the consumer, like the expert, could recognize the tender-ness increase achieved with the pretendering process. Numerous studies were conducted, first in our consumer test kitchens and later through home-placement tests. As an example, in our con-sumer test kitchen consumers wer provided with samples of three sirloin tip roasts. The sirloin tip was selected since it is frequently troublesome to the homemaker because of toughness. One of the samples was from an untendered control, one from beef that had been pretendered (ProTen) and the other from a competitive method of tendering meat. These studies are summarized in the Table II

| Consumer Ratings - Tendern | ess Sirloin Tip Roast |
|----------------------------|-----------------------|
| Treatment                  | Tendering Rating      |
| Control                    | 4.5                   |
| Competititve Method        | 4.4                   |
| DroTen                     | 7.5                   |

Based on 10 Point Scale Where 10 = Very ) ender, 1 = Very Tough.

Obviously, the tenderness increase, amounting to three points on a ten point scale, was highly significant and demonstrated that the consumer could easily recognize the tenderness increase achieved with ProTen.

In another study, consumers were provided with three samples of roasts. One of these was a ProTen chuck roast (shoulder), one a non-tenderized chuck roast and the third was a non-tenderized rib roast. The chuck roast was selected because it is usually recognized as a tough cut and requires long, slow, moist-heat cooking in order to provide eating satisfaction. The rib-roast from non-tendered cattle was selected since it is considered to be a relatively tender cut of meat that can be oven-roasted (dry heat). Consumers were asked to oven-roast all three cuts of meat since this is the traditional cooking method for the preparation of a tender cut of meat. Results of these studies are demonstra-ted in the following table. Table III

Table III Comparison of ProTen Chuck and Regular

| Demiler Dool | Rib a   | and ( | Chuck    | Cooked | WITU | Dry | Heat   |   |      |
|--------------|---------|-------|----------|--------|------|-----|--------|---|------|
|              | 1120 00 |       | 01100010 |        |      |     | Degula | - | Poof |

| Characteristic    | ProTen Chuck         | Chuck        | RID            |
|-------------------|----------------------|--------------|----------------|
| Tenderness        | 8.8                  | 6.1          | 8.5            |
| Flavor            | 7.8                  | 7.2          | 7.4            |
| Tuiciness         | 7.8                  | 7.7          | 7.5            |
| Over-All          | 7.9                  | 6.5          | 7.8            |
| Deced on 10 Point | Scale Where 10 = Ver | v Tender and | 1 = Very Tough |

You will note that the ProTen chuck roast, was equal in tenderness to the rib roast and superior to the non-tendered chuck roast. This again demonstrated that the tendering process was effective and that the tenderness increase that was observed by the expert panels was also recognized by the consumer.

Following our consumer panel tests, we embarked upon a pro-of store testing. The purpose of these tests were to deter-

assure that the enzyme introduction had no effect on the live and mal numerous studies were conducted. These studies included blow chemistry, histology, and hormonal studies to name a few. In ad-dition, numerous tendering studies were required to demonstrate the effectiveness of the process. The result of this overall re-search program was culminated with granting of the approval to use the pretendering process by the United States Department of Agriculture in 1959. The use of papain as a tendering enzyme at fully approved by the Food and Drug Administration, United States operatment of Health, Education and Welfare. Papain, of food or gin, is derived from the papaya fruit and has a long history of safe usage.

In the process the live animals are first graded, sorted, and brought to a special processing room designed to provide for sanitation. The animals are placed in a restrainer, and the head turned to one side using a rope halter. The animal is prac-tice, a needle inserted into the jugular vein. Then, based the animals grade, weight, the enzyme solution is automatically metered to the live animal. The amount of enzyme solution duced is approximately 80 milliliters for a 1000 hb. U.S. choid metat tissue. Following processing the animal is released and slaughtered in the normal manner. We require that an animal held a minimum of two minutes and a maximum of 30 minutes from iform distribution of the enzyme.

Today I would like to share with you some of the tendering studies that have been conducted over the years including cons research studies which demonstrate the viability of the process and its importance in providing uniformly tender meat for the consumer. tendering

Throughout the development numerous tenderness tests were conducted. One of the more significant studies was that conduct under the supervision of the Meat Inspection Division of the United States Department of Agriculture. These studies are the marized in Table I and resulted in granting of approval for the process by the Department of Agriculture. It also permitted us label the product "Tendered with Papain."

### Table I Tenderness of Beef Steaks and Roasts - U.S.D.A. Tests

|                               | STEA                | AKS               | ROASTS              | Good       |  |
|-------------------------------|---------------------|-------------------|---------------------|------------|--|
| Enzyme<br>Level               | Commercial<br>Grade | Good<br>Grade     | Commercial<br>Grade | Grade      |  |
| None<br>Suboptimum<br>Optimum | 5.4<br>6.0<br>6.5   | 6.8<br>7.7<br>8.1 | 7.2<br>8.2<br>9.0   | 9.3<br>9.5 |  |

Based on 10 Point Scale Where 10 = Very Tender and 1 = Ver

mine whether the consumer could detect the improvement in tender, beef merely by substituting it, unidentified, for the beef set, were able to detect changes in attitudes toward the beef being what item was served, and how it compared with other previous chases. She was asked to state whether it was the same, better or not as good as meat she usually purchased from this store, stor ted in the following table.

Percent of Consumers Rating Last Steak Better than Previous Purchase Control 22% ProTen 48% Attribute Tendernes 38 Flavor 20% 38% Juiciness 18% 35% One of the interesting discoveries that came out of this series of tests was the fact that other attributes of proreno namely, flavor and juiciness were also rated higher by the ave sumer. This in spite of the fact that laboratory studies demonstrated that the tendering process has no effect on juicing and flavor. Obviously, to the consumer, when meat is tender eating quality is improved in all respects. 20%

In these early studies, we also investigated consumer attain tudes toward ProTen Beef. Using interview techniques, wome as told about the process and the benefits to the consumer and in whether they would be interested in buying the beef. Two-thind would definitely want to try it. Better than nine out of ter fourths expressed a definite purchase interest and an addia fourths expressed a definite purchase interest and an addia tor may try it again. When users reported their experience product. You will recall that in the beginning of this paper studies by University of Missouri were cited indicating that of the consumers were dissatisfied.

These studies also pointed out the importance of providing the consumer with additional information about ProTen, the providing including extensive programs to educate the consumer. Point purchase materials, news media, recipe booklets and cooking schools were utilized to tell the story. Special cutting the ded tenderness. This resulted in a greater utilization of over carcass, with more cuts being acceptable for steaking and that has continued to conduct

We have continued to conduct consumer studies using sim-in-depth interview techniques in various markets throughout p country. In general ProTen is recognized as a high-quality of beef. It tends to be rated favorably on all dimensions

### 251 REFRIGERATION, FREEZING AND THAWING

ty, particularly on tenderness. Customers at stores purchas-and stores carrying ProTen beef rate consistently higher than stores carrying competitor brands of beef.

We have also continued to monitor the program using expert ompetitor brands for a second seco Table V

| Product     | Tenderness<br>Vs. Compe | Study - ProTen<br>titive Brands |              |
|-------------|-------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------|
| Steak       | ProTen                  | U.S.Choice                      | Competitive  |
| Round Rosan | 8.72                    | 7.50                            | 8.00         |
| Roast Roast | 8.89                    | 7.32                            | 6.92         |
| ased on le  | 8.71                    | 7.62                            | 7.59         |
| on 10 Poi   | int Scale Where         | 10 = Very Tender, 1             | = Very Tough |

While the process is utilized principally in the United is applicable throughout the World. Both commercially and on a his basis the throughout the World. Both commercially and on a his basis the process has been effectively demonstrated in Europe ted in Lagran, and South America. In a recent study, conduc-tesponse was obtained. blue the term of term of term of the term of Table VI

| Tend          | derness Studies | - Brisket |               |        |
|---------------|-----------------|-----------|---------------|--------|
| Type of no.   | Trained Panel   |           | Consumer Pane |        |
| Heis          | Control         | ProTen    | Control       | ProTen |
| One Calf      | 2.0             | 5.0       | 4.0           | 5.0    |
| Con Cow Cow   | 2.4             | 4.8       | 1.4           | 4.0    |
| Co 3-1 -      | 1.9             | 4.7       | 1.4           | 4.0    |
| Ws Over Years | 2.3             | 4.8       |               |        |
| ver 4 Years   | 1.8             | 4.6       |               |        |

Pactorn Fure

 $b_{ased}$  on 5 Point Scale Where 5 = Very Tender

Table VII

methods.

Eastern Europe Tenderness Studies - Silverside

| men of Animal  | Trained Panel<br>Control ProTen |                                 | Consumer Panel<br>Control ProTen |                       |  |
|--|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------|--|
| Heifer<br>One Calf Cow<br>Old Cow<br>Cows 3-4 Years<br>Cows Over 4 Years | 2.5<br>3.6<br>2.9<br>2.4<br>2.1 | 4.8<br>4.8<br>4.0<br>4.7<br>4.7 | 3.7<br>1.5<br>1.5<br>            | 5.0<br>4.1<br>1.9<br> |  |
|  |                                 | man do m                        |                                  |                       |  |

Based on 5 Point Scale Where 5 = Very Tender.

The process is applicable to all species. While the data pre-sented here has been on beef cattle, experimentally and for qual-ity control purposes, we routinely process aged ewes. In addi-tion, earlier studies have demonstrated that the process is equally effective on hogs and turkeys.

The growth of the process has been steady. Starting with the first introduction of the process in 1959 there has been a steady increase in the number of animals tendered. Today we are pre-tendering beef at all our major plants and ProTen in the neighborhood to 700,000 cattle annually. This amounts to approximately 40% of our total slaughter operations and 2.5% of the total U.S.

ProTen has a distinct advantage over other methods of ten-dering meat:

- It provides a method for guaranteed tenderness without the disadvantages associated with aging and other tendering
- Utilizes an enzyme (papain) derived from food source, the papaya.
- Uniform enzyme distribution is achieved resulting in increased tenderness of all cuts of meat.
- Has no effect on flavor, appearance or keeping quality. 4.
- There is a greater utilization of the carcass with more cuts tender enough to permit steaking and oven-roasting. 5.

In this brief paper we have attempted to demonstrate the effectiveness of the ProTen process and in particular to point out the fact that through consumer studies we can demonstrate increased consumer satisfaction. Indeed, ProTen has gone a lor way toward meeting the consumer demand for more tender beef. long

au. 1000 ad-

is tes ori f

and

en C

ce he ve I un

ig iumei is

icted

un ti