INHIBITION OF LIPID OXIDATION AND ENHANCED ACCEPTABILITY OF PRERIGOR GROUND AND SALTED PORK

E. D. ABERLE, D. L. DRERUP and M. D. JUDGE

Purdue University, West Lafayette, Indiana, U.S.A. 47907

INTRODUCTION

PRERIGOR PROCESSING of meat offers potential advantages in energy savings and speed of processing (Kastner, 1971) and improved binding properties (Hamm, 1960: Trautman, 1964). Only light to be the and improved binding properties (Hamm, 1960; Trautman, 1964). Only limited information is available on the flavor stability and rate of lipid oxidation in products processed from prerigor meat. Honikel and Hamm (1978) have shown that prerigor salting (NaCl) of boof have shown that prerigor salting (NaCl) of beef preserves the water binding capacity of prerigor meat. Salt salt a prooxidant effect in meat products (Watter 1954; Watter 1962) a prooxidant effect in meat products (Watts, 1954; Watts, 1962). However, prerigor salting of meat inhibits glycolysis and increases meat pH (Honikel and Hamm, 1978). High muscle pH is associated with lower rates of autoxidation during storage at 5°C (Keskinel et al., 1964) or in the frozen state (Owen and Lawrie, 1975).

In this study, we have investigated the effects of prerigor grinding and salting on autoxidation of pork muscle and fresh pork sausage and on binding and textured and and fresh pork sausage and on binding and textural properties of pork sausage prepared from prerigor ground and salted meat.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

PORK semitendinosus or shoulder muscles were obtained within 45 min or at approximately 24 hr postmortem for pre- and postrigor samples. Samples were ground immediately and those to be salted were mixed with 2% NaCl. Semitendinosus muscle samples were mixed with 1% antibiotic (Polymyxin B-bacitracin-neomycin), pressed into thin sheets and wrapped in oxygen permeable polyethylene film. Oxidation was measured by the 2-thiobarbituric acid procedure after 0, 3, 7 and 10 days of storage at 2°C.

Shoulder muscle samples were placed in polypropylene tubes and the tubes were closed with plastic stoppers and held at 0, 5, 10, 15 or 20° C for 5 br to allow river limit in the stopper held at 0, 5, 10, 15 or 20°C for 5 hr to allow rigor development in prerigor ground samples. At 24 hr post-bath for 1 hr, centrifuged while still hot at 1000 x G for 10 min and the supernatant fluid was decanted and cook loss was calculated.

Fresh pork sausage containing 20 to 25% fat was prepared from boneless shoulders and jowls. The following combinations of prerigor or postrigor grinding and salting were used: 1) prerigor ground and salted (2% NaCl), 2) prerigor ground and postrigor (5 hr after grinding) salted and 3) postrigor (24 hr postmortem) ground 12 and salted. Prior to fine grinding, 2.5% chipped ice, 0.25% black pepper Ш and 0.2% ginger were added. Powdered CO2 was added to prerigor ground 10 meat to reduce temperature to 4°C immediately prior to the final grinding 9 procedure. The sausage was packaged in vapor impermeable polyethylene NUMBER tubes and stored at either 0° or -20° C.

Sausage patties (1 cm thick x 5 cm diameter) were prepared after 2 week storage at 0°C and placed on wire mesh and cooked to an internal temperature of 80° C in an oven heated to 150° C. Cooked patties were cooled to 20°C and breaking strength was measured using an Instron Universal Testing Machine. Other patties were evaluated immediately after cooking for juiciness and texture by a taste panel using a scoring system where 1 = extremely dry or extremely crumbly (mealy) and 8 = extremely juicy or extremely rubbery. Oxidation was determined by TBA analysis every 5 days for product stored at $0^{\circ}C$ and every 10 days for product stored at $-20^{\circ}C$. The entire experiment was replicated three times.

Table 1. Influence of pre- and postrigor grinding, salt and muscle type on ultimate pH of pork muscleb

Time of	n	Sal	ted	Unsalted		
grinding	1	Light	Dark	Light	Dark	
Postrigor	10	$5.77 \pm .07^{c}$	5.92 ± .08	5.73 ± .10	5.90 ± .07	
Prerigor	10	6.14 ± .02	6.26 ± .02	5.93 ± .06	6.06 ± .05	

^aLight and dark portions of <u>semitendinosus</u> muscle

^bFrom: Judge and Aberle. 1980. J. Food Sci. (accepted)

^CMean ± standard error.



TBA

Figure 1. Effect of NaCl and muscle type on TBA numbers in postrigor ground pork semitendinosus muscle stored aerobi-cally at 2°C. Each data point is the mean of 10 observations Judge and Aberle, 1980). (From:



TIME POSTMORTEM, DA Figure 2. Effect of NaCl and muscle type on TBA numbers in prerigor ground pork semitendinosus muscle stored aerobi-cally at 2°C. Each data point is the mean of 10 observations (From: Judge and Aberle, 1980).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

5

7)

5

PRERIGOR grinding and salting resulted in higher ultimate pH in pork <u>semitendinosus</u> (table 1) and shoulder Muscles (table 1) and shoulder (1977) ^{44GOR} grinding and salting resulted in higher ultimate pH in pork <u>semitencinosus</u> (table 1) and charge (table 2) compared to postrigor grinding and salting as has been previously reported by Hamm (1977). Temperature during rigor development also affected lactic acid production in ground muscle, particularly in the absence during rigor development also affected lactic acid production in ground, unsalted muscle was lowest at the absence of salt (table 2). Lactic acid accumulation in previous of 2% NaCl, lactic acid concentration v ³⁰ ^{absence} of salt (table 2). Lactic acid accumulation in prerigor ground, unsalted muscle was lower as a lower agreement with Honikel and Hamm (1978), but in the presence of 2% NaCl, lactic acid concentration was and affect affect. Not affected by temperature during rigor development (table 2).

Pretigor grinding without prerigor addition of salt appeared to limit the extent of pH decline (tables 1, 2 and) as composition of the president of the president of the president of the pH decline is accelerated ^{(ceri}gor grinding without prerigor addition of salt appeared to limit the extent of pH decline (tables 1, 2 and as compared to postrigor grinding. It has been documented by several workers that pH decline is accelerated accound, prerigor muscle (Hamm, 1977). However, the extent of pH decline may have been limited by prolonged to be more than the pre-^{sco}und, prerigor muscle (Hamm, 1977). However, the extent of pH decline may nave been finited by pre-^{sence of} metabolism supported by the oxygen introduced during grinding. Lawrie (1979) indicated that the pre-Sence of Oxygen will stimulate respiration in muscle during development of rigor mortis.

n	Prerigor ground- Temperature for rigor development ([°] C)					Postrigor ground			
	0	5	10	15	20	S.E.a	Mean	S.E.a	
				pl	H				
	10	6.04	5.99	6.02	6.05	5.98	.11	5.85	.04
	10	6.27	6.28	6.31	6.29	6.25	.11	5.84	.04
			Lact	ic acid (µ	moles/g ti	ssue)			
	10	49.3	44.7	53.0	53.0	55.4	1.3	56.7	4.1
	10	37.9	34.3	37.0	38.3	36.3	1.3	50.1	4.1
				% Cool	k loss				
	10	20.0	20.6	21.3	22.0	22.0	.3	23.6	1.3
	10	8.8	6.8	6.9	6.4	7.2	.3	18.0	1.3

Table 2. Influence of pre- and postrigor grinding, temperature during rigor development and salt on ultimate pH and lactate and cooking loss in pork shoulder muscles.

^aPooled standard error.

Pooled standard error. ^{Salted} and unsalted <u>semitendinosus</u> muscle samples that were ground prerigor had lower TBA values during storage ^{Vas c} C than corresponding samples that were ground postrigor (figures 1 and 2). The prooxidant effect of salt ^{Cent} vident to responding samples that were ground postrigor (figures 1 and 2). The provident effect of salt Was evident in both prerigor and postrigor salled muscle. In postrigor muscle, the dark portion of the semi-^{vs} evident corresponding samples that were ground potential potential corresponding salted muscle was too the ^{vep}tible to autoxidation than was postrigor salted muscle. In postrigor muscle, the dark portion of the <u>semi-</u> ^{ven}dinosus muscle was more susceptible to oxidation, but in prerigor muscle the light portion was more easily

Correlation analyses within each processing condition and day of storage revealed that high ultimate pH was Were lated within each processing condition and day of storage revealed that high ultimate pH was were lated within the condition of the storage revealed that high ultimate pH was an investigated within the storage revealed that high ultimate pH was as investigated within the storage revealed that high ultimate pH was as a storage revealed that high Associated with low TBA values (All "r" values between pH and TBA number were negative and 14 of 16 "r" values Vere signify Were significant, P<.05). Other reports show that high pH delays autoxidation in meat. There was an inverse (Realignship) and stored for 10 days autoxidation in meat. There was an inverse in the second beef adjusted to various pH values and stored for 10 days and lawrie. relationship between pH and TBA number in ground beef adjusted to various pH values and stored for 10 days (Reskinel of the store of th $(k_{e_{gkinel}}^{e_{dionship}}$ between pH and TBA number in ground beef adjusted to various pH values and stored for the large $(k_{e_{gkinel}}^{e_{gkinel}})$ et al., 1964) and peroxide values were reduced in frozen pork with induced high pH (Owen and Lawrie, 1975).





Figure 4. Effect of prerigor and postrigor grinding and salting on cooking losses in seasoned fresh pork sausage. Prerigor ground and salted-A. Prerigor ground and postrigor salted-B. Postrigor ground and salted-C. (From: Aberle et al., 1980).

Figure 3. Effect of prerigor and postrigor grinding and salting on T_{RA} TBA numbers of seasoned fresh pork sausage stored at 0°C. Prerigor ground and salted $\Delta - - \Delta$. Prerigor ground and postrigor salted $\Box - \Box$. Postrigor ground and salted O...O. (From Aberle et al., 1980).

Treatment	рН			Breaking force (g)		
	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3
Prerigor ground + salted Prerigor ground + postrigor salted Postrigor ground + salted	6.30 ^c 6.01 ^d 5.95 ^d	6.35 ^c 6.09 ^c ,d 5.90 ^d	6.15 ^c 5.90 ^d 5.79 ^e	709 ^c 487 ^d 496 ^d	994 ^c 750 ^d 604	1222 ^c 1006 ^d 939
	Juiciness score ^b			Fragme	entation s	score
	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3
Prerigor ground + salted Prerigor ground + postrigor salted Postrigor ground + salted	5.88 5.31 5.06	5.50 ^c 5.44 ^c 4.69 ^d	6.13 ^c 6.00 ^c 5.06 ^d	5.44 4.31 4.25	6.44 ^c 5.44 ^d 4.44 ^e	5.19 4.88 4.50

Table 3. Influence of prerigor and postrigor grinding and salting on pH, patty breaking force and sensory evaluations of fresh pork sausage.^a

^aFrom: Aberle et al. 1980. J. Food Sci. (submitted).

^b1 = extremely dry or extremely crumbly 8 = extremely juicy or extremely rubbery.

c,d,e_{Means} in the same replicate with different superscripts are significantly different (P<.05).

TBA values of fresh pork sausage during storage at 0°C were generally lower in sausage produced from prerigor ground and salted meat compared to sausage prepared from either prerigor ground-postrigor salted or postrigor ground and salted meat (figure 2) Provide and salted meat (figure 2) Provide and salted meat (figure 2) ground and salted meat (figure 3). Prerigor ground and salted sausage also had higher pH than the other treat ments (table 3). Storage at -20°C reduced the oxidation rate in all treatments and there was little difference in TBA values among treatments (data not shown) in TBA values among treatments (data not shown).

force required to break each patty and by taste panel evaluation of fragmentation (table 3). Postrigor ground and salted sausage had the lowest binding strength. and salted sausage had the lowest binding strength. Prerigor ground and salted sausage had lower percentage the cooking loss that the other treatments (figure 4) and as a result, received higher juiciness scores from the taste panel (table 3). Retention of high binding capacity by prerigor salting of meat has been shown by Hamm (1960). Trautman (1964). Signal and Scheride (1970) (1960), Trautman (1964), Siegel and Schmidt (1979) and others.

SUMMARY

PRERIGOR grinding and salting of pork reduced the amount of lactic acid formed during postmortem glycolysis and resulted in higher than normal ultimate pH. To a lesser extent provider with the postmortem glycolysis and taken at the provider with the postmortem glycolysis and the provider with the postmortem glycolysis and the postmort resulted in higher than normal ultimate pH. To a lesser extent, prerigor grinding alone resulted in higher ultimate pH than postrigor grinding. Prerigor grinding of <u>semitendinosus</u> muscle also reduced the rate of lipid oxidation compared to postrigor grinding. Prerigor grinding and solting and solting oxidation compared to postrigor grinding. Prerigor grinding of <u>semitendinosus</u> muscle also reduced the rate of ^{lire} to postrigor grinding and salting in pork muscle and in freeh pork sources and the rate of oxidation compared to were to postrigor grinding and salting in pork muscle and in fresh pork sausage. TBA number and ultimate pH were highly negatively correlated. Sausage prepared from prerigor ground and salted pork shoulders were more juicy, produced firmer, less easily fragmented patties and underwert less abriekers is the shoulders were more from produced firmer, less easily fragmented patties and underwent less shrinkage during cooking than sausage from postrigor ground and salted shoulders.

LITERATURE CITED

Aberle, E.D., D.L. Drerup and M.D. Judge. 1980. J. Food Sci. (Submitted). Gutman, I. and Q.W. Wahlefeld. 1974. In Method in Enzymatic Analysis. Academic Press, New York. Hamm, R.L. 1960. Adv. Food Res. 10:355. Hamm, R.L. 1977. Meat Sci. 1:15. Honikel, K.O. and R.L. Hamm. 1978. Meat Sci. 2:181. Judge, M.D. and E.D. Aberle. 1980. J. Food Sci. (Accepted). Kastner, C.L. 1977. Proc. Meat Ind. Res. Conf., Amer. Meat Inst. Found., Arlington, Virginia. Keskinel, A., J.C. Ayres and H.E. Snyder. 1964. Food Technol. 18:223. Lawrie, R.A. 1979. Meat Science, 3rd Edition, Pergamon Press, Oxford. Owen, J.E. and R.A. Lawrie. 1975. J. Food Technol. 10:169. Siegel, D.G. and G.R. Schmidt. 1979. J. Food Sci. 44:1686. Trautman, J.C. 1964. Food Technol. 18:1065. Watts, B.M. 1962. In Symposium on Foods: Lipids and Their Oxidation. AVI Publishing Co., Westport, Connecticut.