FOOD IRRADIATION IN THE UNITED STATES

ARI BRYNJOLFSSON

Food Engineering Laboratory, U.S. Army Natick R&D Command, Natick, Massachusetts 01760, U.S.A.

INTRODUCTION

Conceived some thirty years ago, as a practical method of preservation, food irradiation, especially the whole someness aspect, has been studied extensively. No other food preservation method has been so thoroughly scrutinized. As the methodology for detecting harmful effects in food have improved, we have become more confident that this is a safe process; and the results of these comprehensive research and development allow us now to predict that in the eighties we will see this new method widely accepted, and irradiated foods move in international trade.

The early man's "wholesomeness studies" of food processes were obtained by observing the effect on humans eating the food. These tests were direct and relevant, although less sophisticated than our wholesomeness testing of irradiated food. The caveman invented the cooking of food and the smoking of meat and fish, as well as sundrying, to prevent the microbial spoilage. Use of salt and oil to preserve food is mentioned by Homer. Recent studies indicate that salt and curing by nitrates and nitrites was used already by the Sumarians some 5000 years ago. In the seventeenth century, following Leeuwenhoek's discovery of the microbes under the microscope, we see the first steps towards heat sterilization, which led to Nicholas Appert's pioneering research in the years 1787-1810. Refrigeration and freezing has long been known to extend shelf-life of food, but not before about 1865 did commercial freezing begin. Today, we see these old methods being improved and modified.

Irradiation, the newest method, is perceived by many to be radically different from the older methods. In the past, when we discovered a new method, we did not stop using the old methods; instead, we used the new method to solve problems we could not, or could barely solve before. That is the way it will be also with food irradiation. It is not a panacea, but sometimes it is the best method.

Let us consider a need to sterilize dryed, powdered, and packaged onions. The alternative to irradiation is often fumigation with ethylene oxide. Before fumigation, we must rehydrate the product by steam, preferably for 24 hours. We then expose it to the ethylene oxide for about 16 hours, remove the ethylene oxide by flushing the product with air; dry it; and regrind it, as the powdered onions will have clumped together. Similarly involved procedures are required for effective sterilization of many other food ingredients, condiments, food colors, food enzymes, as well as dryed animal feed.

Irradiation, on the other hand, is relatively a simple process; it does not require exposure to steam; it can be applied to the product as is without repackaging. It is analogous to x-raying our luggage at the airport. The medical industry is already making extensive use of this method because it is simple, reliable, and less damaging to their product than some of the older methods.

Historical milestones. Before the end of the century, scientific curiousity established that x-rays could pathogenic microorganisms(1). In 1909, a patent for destruction of Lasiderma beetles in tobacco by means of x-rays was issued in USA. In 1930, 0. Wust obtained a patent in France for food preservation by ionizing radiation, but the scientific evidence for the feasibility of industrial application was still meager. 1943, Proctor, Van de Graaff, and Fram at MIT reported on the "Effect of x-ray irradiation on the bacterial count of ground meat"(2). In 1947, Brasch and Huber(3) showed that high dose-rates, exclusion of oxygen, irradiation in frozen state reduced undesirable side reactions. In 1948, The Office of Surgeon General Medical Nutrition Laboratory initiated some exploratory animal feeding studies on irradiated food, and in September 1950, Swift & Co. initiated a major multigeneration, long-term animal feeding study on irradiated food. These early wholesomeness studies did not find any harmful effect from consumption of irradiated In 1953, a National Academy of the control of

In 1953, a National Academy of Sciences ad hoc committee recommended that Office of the Quartermaster $\frac{Gene^{ral}}{General}$ correlate and support research in this field. In 1954, The Surgeon General and the Quartermaster launched a systematic wholesomeness study of representative foods, shown in Table 1 and 2, with the aim of clearing intain of food as a process. In 1964, these studies were completed and The Surgeon General's scientists cluded that(5):

"Food irradiated up to absorbed doses of 5.6 megarads with Co-60 source of gamma radiation or with electrons with energies up to 10 million volts have been found to be wholesome, i.e., safe and nutritionally adequate."

In 1960, the Atomic Energy Commission (AEC) (now under Department of Energy (DDE)) stepped up its efforts food irradiation while Department of Army (DA) phased out its wholesomeness studies and stepped up its food in engineering and prepared for transfer of the technology to industry. All this changed in 1968 when Drug Administration (FDA) turned down a 1966 petition by DA for irradiated ham. Previously, FDA had approved irradiated potatoes, wheat and bacon. In 1968, FDA revoked the approval for irradiated bacon.

At that juncture, AEC terminated its food irradiation program, and also DA wanted to terminate its food irradiation program, but was persuaded by scientists and Congress, in 1970, to continue its program. The basis this request was that in spite of FDA's refusal to approve the petition for ham, nothing in these extensive

Mholesomeness studies had shown harmful effects of irradiation.

The 1968 refusal by FDA to approve the petition for irradiated ham resulted in reduction of food irradiation merits of food irradiation throughout the world. Abroad, like in USA, scientists familiar with the problems recognized the of food irradiation process and pushed for formation, in 1970, of the International Project in the Field Cooperation (IFIP), sponsored now by 24 countries, including USA, and the Organization for Economic Organization and Development (OECD), the International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA), the Food and Agricultural organization (FAO), and the World Health Organization (WHO), who is associated in advisory capacity.

TABLE 1. List of 54 Foods Tested on Humans in the Short-Term Toxicological Studies

Bacon	5 Fish Items	9 Fruit Items	9 Cereal Product Items	14 Vegetable Items	6 Miscellaneous Items
Corned beef Ground beef Beef steak Chicken stew Frankfurters Ground ham Ham steak Ground pork Sausage	Cod Haddock Salmon Shrimp Tuna	Dried apricots Cherries Dried fruit compote Melon balls Oranges Orange juice Peaches Dried pears Strawberries	Bread Crackers Cereal bar Flour Macaroni Nut roll Pound cake Rice Corn	Asparagus Green beans Lima beans Beets Brussel sprouts Cabbage Carrots Cauliflower Celery Cole slaw Mushrooms Peas Sweet potatoes White potatoes	Dessert powder Powdered whole milk Peanut butter Pineapple jam Strawberry jam Sugar

TABLE 2. List of 22 Foods Testing in Long-Term Toxicological Studies During the 1956 - 1965 Period

8 Animal	E TOOLS TESTING IN LONG TETM TOXICO	rogroup obtained but my on
8 Animal Products	3 Sea Food Items	4 Fruits
Ground beef Beef stew Chicken Chicken stew Bacon loin	Codfish Tuna Shrimp	Fruit compote Pineapple jam Peaches Oranges
Dn:	5 Vegetable Items	
Dried eggs Evaporated milk	Green beans	2 Cereals
milk	Cabbage	Flour
	Carrots White potatoes Sweet potatoes	Corn

The DA wholesomeness studies were restarted with a more thorough study on individual items and using thorough broad spectrum of the radiolytic products to extrapolate and interpolate the validity of these studies to a the fact tum of food. The animal feeding studies were contracted out to industrial laboratories. In spite of contracts that these contractors were considered highly qualified, some of the major ones have faulted on their well and their results to date will be reported by Major Chapple at this conference. The in-house work at their results to date will be reported by Major Chapple at this conference. The in-house work at analysis of the radiolytic products at Natick, carried out partly in-house and partly through well coordinated the in-house with several universities as described by Dr. Taub at this conference.

The international cooperation in food irradiation has been very constructive. Important milestone was the someoness of in 1976 by IAEA/FAO/WHO Joint Expert Committee on Food Irradiation (JECFI) of the data on whole-safe for human consumption and three others receive provisional acceptance. Subsequently, the influential irradiated foods commission developed General Standards for movement in international trade of these eight commission commission developed General Standards for movement in international trade of these eight commission from the commission procedures. Simultaneously, the Codex in International acceptance in December 1979, at Step 8 of the Commission procedures. Simultaneously, the Codex international Trade.

in 1977, the cooperation in the field of radiation chemistry was expanded by formation of CORC (Coordinated as described by Dr. Elias at this conference. The radiation chemistry data, together with the animal feeding trade. Will be used to seek a significant expansion of the acceptance of irradiated food in international categories of foods irradiated with a dose less than 10 kGy.

degories of foods irradiated with a dose less than 10 kgy.

If the has improved greatly because of higher hygenic standards have improved the health of the consumer (with its many associated). The high hygenic standards have improved the health of the consumer (with its many associated)

benefits); they have extended the storage life of the food; and they have increased radius of distribution. Still, advanced societies, like USA, could do even better. In a 1976 report from Comptroller General to the

US Congress(6), it is estimated that two million cases of salmonellosis occur annually, resulting in losses of at least \$300 million. Other reports have estimated the cost closer to \$1500 million.

Microbial reduction. Besides salmonella, irradiation can be used to reduce or eliminate other food borne pathrogens such as E. Coli, Staphylococcus aureus, Clostridium perfringens and Yersinia enterocolitica. The resistance of microorganisms depends on the medium and the temperature. The vegetative organisms are often two or three times more resistant in dry, or frozen state, than in water, and they are more resistant when irradiated anaerobicly, i.e., in vacuum or in inert atmosphere like N_2 , than when irradiated in oxygen atmosphere. 3 to 7 give a rough guide to resistance of the microorganisms. Table 8 lists some of the applications and the corresponding dose range.

TABLE 3. Viruses (Ref. 8 to 12)

Microorganism	TABLE 3. VIruses (Dose in kGy for Reducing the Number by 10 ⁶
Foot-and-mouth disease virus (FMDV) FMDV Type D FMDV Type O FMDV Type O FMDV Type O Teschen disease virus Vesicular stomatitis virus Rinderpest virus Swine fever virus African swine fever Adenovirus Coxsachievirus Echovirus Poliovirus Herpes simplex virus Influenza virus A Reovirus I Simian virus Rabies virus	Types 0, A and C	Calf kidney-cells lactalbumin As above - wet Frozen -60°C 20% peptone 0.1% peptone 20% peptone 20% peptone Frozen Frozen Frozen Eagle's minimum essential medium plus 2% fetal bovine serum pH=7	36 30 36 36 36 10 26 12.3 Expected less than 25-30 25-30 26-33 26-31 26 28 26
nubics viius		TOW DIGITI GIIIGIZIOII	U

Reduction of parasites. Irradiation can be used to eliminate parasites. Trichinella spirales in meat can be sterilized, and thus the major pathological symptoms eliminated by a dose of only 0.15 kGy. Complete inhibition of maturation is obtained by a dose of 0.3 kGy (48). However, to kill Trichinella spiralis, Cysticereus (Ref. 23 to 29)

TABLE 4. Salmonella (Ref. 16 to 22)

TABLE 5. Vegetative Bacteria (Ref. 23 to 29)

Microorganism Tempo	Dose in kGy Reducing th Number by erature Factor 106		<u>Temperature</u>	Dose in kg Reducing th Number by Factor 106
Salm. heidelberg Salm. oranienberg Salm. oranienberg Salm. oranienberg Salm. typhimurium Salm. typhimurium Salm. thompson Salm. thompson Salm. newport Salm. newport Salm. gallinarum Salm. gallinarum Salm. senftenberg Salm. senftenberg Salm. pullorum Salm. choleraesuis Salm. paratyphia Salm. typhosa Salm. tennesee Salm. pigmented str. Fish-Salm. derby Salm. weltevreden Salm. saint paul Beef	-18°C 6.0 ken 0°C 3.8 ken -18°C 5.0 ken 0°C 3.0 ken 0°C 3.0 ken -18°C 5.0 2.6 Frozen 3.4 3.0 7.2 mp 5°C 4.5 ers 5°C 4.5 ers 5°C 4.5 ers 5°C 5.1 meat 5°C 6.0 Liver 5°C 1.8 e Meat Frozen 6.4 meat 5°C 6.0 ed Beef 25°C 7.2 ed Beef 25°C 6.0 2.3 e Meat Frozen 6.4 emeal 4.8 emeal 4.8 emeal 6.6 10°C 2.0	Yersinia enterocolitica Yersinia enterocolitica Escherichia coli Staphylococcus aureus Staphylococcus albus Streptococcus faecalis Streptococcus faecium Streptococcus pyogenes Shigella sonnei Shigella paradysenteria Mycobacterium tuberculosi Aerobacter aerogenes Aerobacter cloacae Salmonella (diff. str.) Proteus vulgaris Serratia marcescens Pseudomonas fluorescens Pseudomonas aeruginosa Pseudomonas aeruginosa Pseudomonas geniculata Brucella abortus Vibro parahaemolyticus Vibro parahaemolyticus Lactobacillus hetero- fermentative Lactobacillus homo- fermentative Leuconostoc sp. Sarcina flava Moraxella-Acinetobacter Micrococcus radiodurans	Ground Beef 0°C Ground Beef -30°C Beef +25°C Beef Broth Broth Broth Oysters Crabmeat Oysters S Broth +20°C Broth +20°C Broth +20°C Dif. Med. Unfrozer Oyster Broth Beef Broth	1.2 2.3 2.1 9 3.3 2.7 - 3.3 2.7 - 3.5 1.6 0 1.6 6 2.4 1.5 6 6 2.2 1.2 2 1.2 2 1.3 0.8 1.3 0.8 0.8 0.5 3.3 0.8 0.5 3.3 0.8 0.5 3.3 4.9 0.5 5.5 5.60

Reducing by 106 the number of veneting by 106 the number and

Reducing by 10⁶ the number of

dryed or frozen vegetative

), Sterilization of food

bacteria, fungi, and spores Reduction by 10^6 the number of

vegetative bacteria, molds and

Microorganism	Temperature	Dose in Reducin Number Factor	ng the	Microorganism		Dose in kGy Reducing the Number by Factor 106
Bacill	Dried Broth (N2)	18		Trichoderma viride	Citrus fruit	1.0
Bacillus subtilis Bacillus subtilis	Dried Broth (0^2)	12		Trichoderma viride	Water	1.6
Bacillus pumilus Bacillus pumilus	Buffer	18		Phomopsis citri	Citrus fruit	1.2
Bacillus stearo-	Broth	18		Phomopsis citri	Water	1.5
thermophilus				Penicillum italicum	Citrus fruit	1.7
Bacillus cereus	Physiol. NaCl Sol.	14		Penicillum italicum	Water	2.1
Bacilias anthracis	Physiol. NaCl Sol. Physiol. NaCl Sol.	12		Penicillum expansum	Prunus fruit	2.3
01. 05 3.001911		10		Penicillum expansum	Water	2.1
C) Por ogenes	Buffer	13		Penicillum digitatum	Citrus fruit	1.9
Clariciti	Broth	8 -	22	Penicillum digitatum	Water	2.8
cl. botulinum 33A	Beef - frozen	24.5		Penicillum camembertii	Water	1.2
		20 -	19	Penicillum notatum	Water	1.8
C1. b. 41B,53B 77A,12885A, C1. 9B,62A				Geotrichum candidum	Citrus fruit	2.5
7/A,12885A,	Phos. buffer	15 -	13	Geotrichum candidum	Water	2.9
				Monilinia fructicola	Prunus fruit	3.0
Cl. b. 33A-36A	Phos. buffer	8 -	10	Monilinia fructicola	Water	3.1
CI , 53A-36A	Bacon 15°C	12.5		Botrytis cinerea	Prunus fruit	3.8
resist.)	Ham 15°C	15.5		Botrytis cinerea	Water	4.5
Cl. b. 12885A	D. 1. 1500	01 5		Diplodia natalensis	Prunus fruit	4.5
Cl. b. mix. of 10	Pork 15°C	21.5		Diplodia natalensis	Water Prunus fruit	4.1
most resist str.	Ham -30°C	18		Rhizopus stolnifer Rhizopus stolnifer	Water	6.5
most resist str.	D 5 200c	00		Alternaria citri	Citrus fruit	7.4
most resist str.	Beef -30°C	20		Alternaria citri	Water	9.0
Cl. b. 77A	Council book 3000	12		Cladosporum herbanum	Prunus fruit	6.1
C1. b. 41B	Corned beef -30°C	13.5		Cladosporum herbanum	Water	7.5
C1. b. 53B	Pork sausage -30°C Codfish cake -30°C	16		Gilbertella persicaria	Water	8.0
incub. 80C	Haddock	9.7		Saccharomyces cerevisiae		
incub. 80C	naudock	9.7		Saccharomyces cerevisiae		2.5-3.6
ina : Es Beluga	Haddock	17.1		Candida species	Broth	7.5
incub. 200C	Haddock	1/.1		Torulopsis candida	Broth	3.6
83F - 800C	Phos. buffer	13.0		Aspergillus niger	Water	2.7
83F - F.Eklund,		10.0		A. flavus (spores)	Water	2.5
ABLE 8			1			
TABLE 8. Uses of ioni	zing radiation			s (49) and many other paras		
Inhibition		0 0 1		equired. For example, to k		e in her-
Inhibition of spro control of	outing in 0.03	3 - 0.1	ring	(50), a dose of 10 kGy is 1	requirea.	
n. 1172+in- c	0.00	0 0 0	Redu	ction of insects. Steriliza	ation of insects i	s usually
arasites	insects and 0.03	3 - 0.2	obta	ined by doses in the range of	of 0.03 - 0.2 kGv	(51). How-
Killing			ever	, for some moth species (Sit	totroga cerealla).	doses in
Reducts of insects	and parasites 0.5	- 5	exce	ss of 1 kGy are required. A	A dose of about 5	kGy is
Reducing by 106 th	and parasites 0.5 ne number of 1	- 10	some	times required for killing	the insects (52) a	nd the

obtained by doses in the range of 0.03 - 0.2 kGy (51). However, for some moth species (Sitotroga cerealla), doses in excess of 1 kGy are required. A dose of about 5 kGy is sometimes required for killing the insects (52) and the parasites.

Stabilization of food. Irradiation kills the microorganisms, but does not, otherwise, cause many chemical changes in the food. It thus does not destroy the enzymes in the food and it does not stop, therefore, its decomposition by protolytic and lipolytic enzymes. Also, irradiation does not prevent oxidative reactions, and it does not prevent recontamination by microbes and insects. When meats, poultry, and fish are to be preserved properly for long-term storage, we must use other means to inactivate the enzymes. At Natick, we use mild heat treatment (about 72°C) to inactivate protolytic

mild heat treatment (about 72°C) to inactivate places of the product in mild heat treatment (about 72°C) to inactivate places. It is way, we have material and insects. This way, we have durable materials that are impermeable to oxygen and that withstand bacteria and insects. This way, we have severed at Mala that are impermeable to oxygen and that withstand bacteria and insects. This way, we have severed at Mala that are impermeable meat, poultry, and fish products that are stable for produced at Natick a long series of highly acceptable meat, poultry, and fish products that are stable for poultal years Several at Natick a long series of highly acceptable meat, poultry, and fish products that are stable meat, poultry, and fish products that are impermeable to oxygen and the meat, poultry, and fish products that are impermeable to oxygen and the stable meat, poultry, and fish products that are impermeable to oxygen and the series of the stable meat, poultry, and fish products that are impermeable to oxygen and the series of the stable meat, poultry, and fish products that are impermeable to oxygen and the series of the se poultry years at room temperature (53). Irradiation can also be used to reduce the microfford in the management of the product tamination. Use of low doses will reduce off-flavor caused by irradiation. On the other hand, the low dose necessary to store the product at refrigerated temperatures or in frozen state.

2 - 20

10 - 40

20 - 45

Chemiclearance and the magnitude of radiolytic changes. Irradiation damage to a molecule is approximately pro-Porticlearance and the magnitude of radiolytic changes. Irradiation damage to a molecule is approximately properly to the magnitude of radiolytic changes. Irradiation damage to a molecule is approximately properly to the molecule in microorganisms is the largest molecule there is, about like altons. It is also essential for survival of the microorganisms. That is the reason that these organisms, by other live form are so sensitive to radiation. Food nutrients, such as proteins, lipids and carbotately other live form are so sensitive to radiation. Food nutrients, such as proteins, lipids and carbotately other live form are so sensitive to radiation. like daltons. It is also essential for survival of the microorganisms. That is the reason that these of the darkers of the survival of the microorganisms. That is the reason that these of the darkers of the survival of the microorganisms. That is the reason that these of the survival of the microorganisms. That is the reason that these of the survival of the survi hydrates, and other live form, are so sensitive to radiation. Food nutrients, such as proteins, lipids and carbo-befores, are digested into amino acids, fatty acids, and monosaccharides, with molecular weights about 150-250 than absorbed in the lymphatic system. The damage to these molecules is thus about a million times smaller to the DNA molecular. A sterilizing dose that causes about 10-12 reduction of Cl. botulinum will cause a thore a, are digested into amino acids, fatty acids, and monosaccide. So thus about a million times smaller absorbed in the lymphatic system. The damage to these molecules is thus about a million times smaller to the bound of the lymphatic system. The damage to these molecules is thus about a million times smaller to the lymphatic system. The damage to these molecules is thus about a million times smaller to the lymphatic system. The damage to these molecules is thus about a million times smaller to the lymphatic system. The damage to these molecules is thus about a million times smaller to the lymphatic system. The damage to these molecules is thus about a million times smaller to the lymphatic system. The damage to these molecules is thus about a million times smaller to the lymphatic system. The damage to these molecules is thus about a million times smaller to the lymphatic system. The damage to these molecules is thus about a million times smaller to the lymphatic system. The damage to these molecules is thus about a million times smaller to the lymphatic system. The damage to these molecules is thus about a million times smaller to the lymphatic system. The damage to these molecules is thus about a million times smaller to the lymphatic system. O'Cal number of all changes in these macro nutrients when irradiated in frozen or dry state that amount to about in proteins, 0.3% in carbohydrates, and 0.4% in all lipids. Specific changes in the molecules are an order or even several orders of magnitude smaller. When irradiated in unfrozen state, the total number of changes may be about 3 times greater. These changes are much too small to be measurable in any protein efficiency study, as confirmed in many experiments. This is especially true when we take into consideration that most of these changes result in products that are commonly found in food, as well as products that result from digestion of the food. We should remember in this context that the changes that occur during storage and especially during thermal processing often result in significant and measurable losses (5-40%) in protein value of the food. In the early experiments, the irradiated food was often improperly packaged in oxygen permeable films. It therefore became oxidized, as evidenced by high peroxide values. Some of the experimentors thought incorrectly that this was due to irradiation. This has caused some confusion. Irradiation makes it possible to extend the storage of food because it reduces or eliminates the microbial problem. Oxidation becomes then often the limit ing factor. It therefore becomes important to eliminate oxygen from irradiated foods. Exclusion of oxygen reduces detrimental oxidative reactions during irradiation.

In proteins, irradiation causes disruption of hydrogen bonds and unfolding of the molecules, similar to the changes caused by mild heat treatment. Relatively frequent, are also reductive deamination and decarboxy lation. From alanine, we thus get ethylamine and propionic acid; from glycine we get acetic acid and methylamine, etc.

 $\underline{\text{In polysaccharides}}$, irradiation causes depolymerization and fragmentation into simpler molecules, such as $\underline{\text{glu}}^{\text{IU}}$ cose, maltotes, maltotrose maltotetrose, maltopentose, carbon dioxide, hydrogen, formic acid, formaldehyde and acetone.

 $\frac{\text{In lipids}}{\text{fatty acids from the triglycerides}}$. It may also cause scission at the carbon-carbon bonds resulting in a spectrum of aliphatic hydrocarbons.

Irradiation can also cause <u>cross-linking</u>, <u>dimerization</u>, and <u>aggregation</u> along with degradation. These changes are very small compared to the cross-linking and aggregation caused by heating. Indications are that these changes are similar in nature to those found in fresh food and in processed and stored food.

In unfrozen state, there are exceptions to the above quantitative estimates. This is especially evident for some of the micro nutrients such as the water soluble C-vitamin and B_1 -vitamin that act as scavenger for the radiolytic product of water. These vitamins are destroyed about to the same extent as when the food is heat sterilized. The other soluble vitamins, B_2 , B_6 , and B_{12} , are also good scavengers when they are in pure solution. In food, they are often complexed with other molecules and then more stable. In this context, it should be kept in mind that most vitamins are unstable in long-term storage, and irradiation does not change that fact. The question has been raised if antivitamins (that are often found in food) could be formed. This was checked for vitamins B_1 and B_6 in meats and no antivitamin activity could be detected.

Energy savings. The energy consumed in the irradiation process is very small compared with other processes to Table 9 shows typical energy values for processing the food. More relevant for national energy savings in clude the energy savings in distribution and in the homes. Table 10 gives a few examples.(54)

Cost of irradiation processing. The irradiation costs, like the costs of many other processes, depend on the scale of production and the product flow plan. It is cheaper to irradiate the finished packaged product as to comes off the production line, than to use central service irradiation facilities, because of the significant cost of loading, shipping and unloading the product. Practice has shown, however, that central service the tion facilities are needed especially in the beginning, when the quantities to be irradiated are small and the benefits great. Table 11 shows some typical costs of radsterilizing 100 million lbs per year of bacon in the kwatt plant, operated 6000 hours per year at a beam utilization efficiency fo 27%. The capital cost for the 4 MeV accelerator facility is about 1.8 million, for the 20 MeV accelerator facility 2.45 million, and for the Co-60 and Cs-137 facilities about 10 million. These costs are for simple, functionalistic facilities located between the production line and the storage rooms. (55-56)

TABLE 9. Typical Energy Values in for Processing of Food	KJ/KG Used	TABLE 10. Summary: Energy Use (KJ/KG) Associated with Different Chicken Processing Methods			
Radpasteurization with 2.5 kGy Radsterilization with 30 kGy	21 157	Radsterilization cooked long chicken rolls 15,460 Radsterilization cooked individual servings 20,180			
Heat sterilization 918 Blast freezing chicken from 7552 4.4°C to -23.2°C		Frozen cooked long chicken rolls			
		Refrigerated raw cut-up chicken 17,760			
Storing the product at -25°C for 3.5 weeks	5149	Refrigerated and radpasteurized raw cut-up chicken			
Refrigerated storage for 5.5 days at 0°C	318	TABLE 11. Cost of Radsterilizing Bacon*			
Refrigerated storage for 10.5 days at 0°C	396	Source Depreciation costs in ¢ per lb 3.23			
		Co-60 isotope 2.03 1.2 2.38 Cs-137 isotope 2.03 0.32 0.92 10-MeV accelerator 0.49 0.43 0.76			

4-MeV accelerator

0.36

*Plant Size: 100,000,000 lb per year

REFERENCES Minch, F. (1896) "Zür Frage über die Einwirkung der Röntgenschen Strahlen auf Bakterien und ihre eventuelle Phochaputische Verwendbarkeit" Münch. med. Wochschr. 5. 101-102.

Röbotts on Quartermaster Contract Projects July 1942-June 1943 by the Food Technology Laboratories, Massa-Botts on Quartermaster Contract Projects July 1942-June 1943 by the Food Technology Laboratories, Massa-Botts, A. Huber, W. (1947) "Ultrashort application time of penetrating electrons: A tool for steriliza-Pula preservation of food in raw state". Science 105, p. 112.

dullo, preservation of food in raw state, F., Tehnin, W.M. and Rice, E.E. (1955) "Growth, reproductions, warner, W.D., Humburg, F.R., Reber, E.F., Urbain, W.M. and Rice, E.E. (1955) "Growth, reproductions, warner, W.D., Humburg, F.R., Reber, E.F., Urbain, W.M. and Rice, E.E. (1955) "Growth, reproductions, warner, W.D., Humburg, F.R., Reber, E.F., Urbain, W.M. and Rice, E.E. (1955) "Growth, reproductions, warner, W.D., Humburg, F.R., Reber, E.F., Urbain, W.M. and Rice, E.E. (1955) "Growth, reproductions". FOLING. "Preservation of food in raw state". Science 105, p. 112.

Guction, c., Warner, W.D., Humburg, F.R., Reber, E.F. Urbani, M.M. and Rice, E.E. (1955) "Growth, reproduction, c., Warner, W.D., Humburg, F.R., Reber, E.F. Urbani, M.M. and Rice, E.E. (1955) "Growth, reproduction, c., which is the substantial of the wind of the (5) (9) (12) (14) (15) (161 (50) (51) (55) (53) "NEW, Jr., C.F. (1958), "Microbiological Aspects of Radiation Preservation of Food", Ann. Rev. Microbiol. 15, pp. 507-524.

TOR, Jr., C.F. (1958), "Microbiological Aspects of Radiation Preservation of Food", Ann. Rev. Microbiol. 74, Jr., Norehouse, C.T. and Chandler, V.L. (1956), "Relative resistance of microorganisms to cathode Min. C.G., Spore forming bacteria". Appl. Microbiol. 4, pp. 243-246.

P. Steps. Campbell, W.L., Fram, H. and Hutchins, A. (1948), "Biological and Photo-Chemical Effects of LONARGE 166. Electrostatically Produced Roentegen Rays and Cathode Rays". Journ. Appl. Phys, Vol. 19, Microbiol. 9, 100-100. Dismit Non-Definition C.T. and Chandler, V.L. (1956). "Relative resistance of microorganisms to cathode Might C.G., Deport forming bacteria". App. Microbiol. 4, pp. 283-186.

Might C.G., Campbell, M.L., Fram, H. and Hutchins, A. (1948). "Biological and Photo-Chemical Effects of P. 6. Empedia. P. 6. Empedi (31) (32) (35) (36) (41) (42) (43) (44) (45) (47) (48) (49) (51) An Moderate Marmful Organisms from Food and Feed by Irradiation, IAEA, Vienna, STI/PUB/200, pp. 67-611.

Albinated Marmful Organisms from Food and Feed by Irradiation on Anisakis larvae in salted herring" in Marmful Organisms from Food and Feed by Irradiation, IAEA, Vienna, STI/PUB/200, pp. 73-80.

ILL PUB/22 "Steril Marmful Organisms from Food and Feed by Irradiation, IAEA, Vienna, STI/PUB/200, pp. 73-80.

ILL PUB/22 "Steril Marmful Organisms from Food and Feed by Irradiation, IAEA, Vienna, STI/PUB/301, pp. 3-140 (1969).

ILL PUB/22 "Steril Marmful Organisms from Food (1969).

ILL PUB/22 "Steril Marmful Organisms from Food (1969).

ILL PUB/23 "Steril Marmful Organisms from Food (1969).

ILL PUB/24 "Steril Marmful Organisms from Food (1969).

ILL PUB/24 "Steril Marmful Organisms from Food (1960).

ILL PUB/25 "Steril Marmful Organisms from Food (1960).

ILL P (52) (53) (54) (55) (56)