INFLUENCE OF ANIMAL FACTORS ON THE COMPOSITION AND NUTRITIVE VALUE OF PORK

E. K. PROST

Agricultural Academy in Lublin, Lublin, Poland

INTRODUCTION

THE INFLUENCE of age and sex of pigs on the composition of pork was the subject of studies of many authors /1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13/. The variation factors were most frequently only two age groups - young and mature pigs, or two sex groups. However, data concerning variation in composition and nutritive value of pork over the whole period of pig growth are not available.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

THE STUDIES were carried out on pigs of Large-White Polish breed, equally fed during the whole life, divided into 5 age groups: 4, 6, 8, 10 and 12 months, and 3 sex groups: males, females and castrates. In six muscle groups: m.longissimus dorsi /LD/, m.biceps femoris /BF/, m.semitendinosus /ST/, m.semimembranosus /SM/, picnic /P/ and boston but /B/ _ the content of total protein, intramuscular fat, moisture, total and soluble collagen and water holding capacity /WHC/ was determined. PER was determined on m.longissimus dorsi.

RESULTS

THE VARIATION in the composition of pork in relation to the age of pigs are given in Table 1.

The results presented in this table indicate an increase with the age in:

- protein content, in that a significant increase of this component occurs from the 8th month animal life,
- fat content, but distinctly significant differences occur only between the 4th and 12th month of life.

With the age, however, a decrease was found in:

- the content of moisture and WHC, distinctly progressing,

Tab.1. Composition of pork in relation to age / % /

			4			
age	protein	protein collagen soluble collagen fat		moisture	WHC	
mo,	x + SD	x + SD	x + sp	x + SD	x + SD	x + SD
4	18,79 ⁺ 1,48 a	6,02 ⁺ 2,50 a	1,43 ⁺ 0,81 a	5,08 ⁺ 2,73 a	75,13 ⁺ 1,98 a	32,39 + 7,00
6	19,31 ⁺ 1,51 a	5,83 ⁺ 2,22 a	1,20 [±] 0,81 ab	5,50 ⁺ 3,18 ab	73,66 ⁺ 2,31 b	31,61+10,18
8	19,90 [±] 1,54 b	5,11 ⁺ 2,20 a	1,25±0,77 ab	6,46 ⁺ 3,60 bc	72,30 ⁺ 2,87 c	31,61-10, 25,91 [±] 9,3 ⁴
10	19,95 ⁺ 1,48 b	5,70 ⁺ 2,50 a	1,03 [±] 0,77 b	6,32 ⁺ 3,71 ac	72,30-2,87 c 72,33-2,83 cd	23,48- 9,50
12	19,89 ⁺ 1,63 b	6,13 [±] 2,68 a	1,02 ⁺ 0,78 b	7,58 [±] 3,75 c	71,30 [±] 2,74 d	19,53 [±] 7,82

a, b, c - means with superscript different letters differ significantly at 0,01 WHC - water holding capacity

- the content of soluble collagen, where significant differences occurred only between the 4th and 10th or 12th month of life. The variation of the components mentioned were different in the particular muscles, and the given direction of variations occured very distinctly in SM

given direction of variations occured very distinctly in SM. The content of total collagen did not change significantly with the age. The results indicate that meat of males contains significantly less fat and more moisture than that of females and castrates, between which no significant differences were meat of females and castrates. WHC level differed only between meat of females and castrates — WHC was higher in meat of females.

 $h_{\rm es}$ differences in the composition of particular muscles are given in Table 3. These results are given successively from the highest to the lowest values, indicating with continuous line the absence of significant differences:

Protein: LD - SM - BF - ST - P - B

^{tot}al collagen: B - P - LD - BF - ST - SM

Soluble collagen: B - P - LD - BF - ST - SM

Tab.2. Composition of pork in relation to sex / % /

sex	protein	collagen	soluble collagen	fat	moisture	WHC
	x + SD	x + SD	x + SD	x + SD	x + SD	x + sp
C	19,66 [±] 1,47 a 19,56 [±] 1,58 a 19,46 [±] 1,75 a	5,62 ⁺ 2,05 a	1,26 ⁺ 0,86 a 1,08 ⁺ 0,75 a 1,22 ⁺ 0,79 a	5,16 [±] 3,07 a 6,81 [±] 3,70 b 6,58 [±] 3,53 b	73,82 ⁺ 2,67 a 72,23 ⁺ 3,03 b 72,72 [±] 2,74 b	26,86 ⁺ 10,32 ab 24,83 ⁺ 9,87 a 27,92*9,92 b

males, F - females, C - castrates

Tab.3. Composition of pork in relation to muscle groups / % /

musc.	The state of the s					
gro- ups	protein	collagen	soluble collagen	fat	moisture	WHC
5	x + SD	x + SD	x + SD	x + SD	x + SD	x + SD
BF ST SM B	21,36 [±] 1,27 a 19,74 [±] 1,24 b 19,20 [±] 1,11 c 20,34 [±] 1,21 d 18,14 [±] 1,13 e 18,63 [±] 1,13 e	4,80 ⁺ 1,40 b 4,58 ⁺ 1,72 b 4,05 ⁺ 1,29 b 7,59 ⁺ 2,32 c	1,68 $^{+}$ 0,69 a 0,70 $^{+}$ 0,35 b 0,58 $^{+}$ 0,30 b 0,50 $^{+}$ 0,24 b 1,86 $^{+}$ 0,71 a 1,78 $^{+}$ 0,70 a	$4,42^{\pm}1,85$ ab $5,16^{\pm}2,55$ a $5,40^{\pm}2,39$ a $3,80^{\pm}2,31$ b $9,63^{\pm}3,84$ c $8,70^{\pm}3,17$ c	$72,69^{+}1,91$ ac $73,62^{+}2,42$ ab $73,99^{+}2,47$ b $74,43^{+}2,25$ b $71,08^{+}3,58$ c $71,74^{+}2,85$ c	$28,40^{+}$ 8,25 a $28,35^{+}$ 8,72 a $26,68^{+}$ 11,74ab $29,19^{+}$ 9,35 a $23,06^{+}$ 9,99 b $23,93^{+}$ 10,65 b

 \log_{US} B - boston but, P - picnic

fat: B - P - ST - BF - LD - SM

MHO ST - ST - BF - LD - P - B WHC: SM - SI - P - B

The results presented in relation to the muscle groups examined point to distinct differentiation and to relatively smaller one of the other components. results presented in relation to the muscle groups examined point to distinct components. The composition of pork, muscles SM and LD can be considered to nents presented in rotation and to relatively smaller one of the ordered to have the king into account the composition of pork, muscles SM and LD can be considered to have the highest qualitative value.
In Table 4 PER is given.

Table 4 PER is given. Nutritive value /PER/ of pork - LD						
x + SD	sex	x + sp				
6 2,71 ± 0,34 a 2,75 ± 0,29 a	М	2,75 ⁺ 0,34 a				
10 2,88 ± 0,36 a	F	2,79 ⁺ 0,37 a				
2,66 ± 0,32 a 2,81 ± 0,51 a	С	2,79 ⁺ 0,44 a				

The results of this table indicate that age and sex of pigs do not affect the biological value of this of this of pork proteins.

LITERATURE

- 1. Batcher O.M., Dawson E.H.: Cosumer quality of selected muscles of raw and cooked pork. Fd Technol. 14, 69, 1960.
- Batcher O.M., Dawson E.H., Gilpin G.L., Eisen J.N.: Ouality and physical composition of various cuts of raw and cooked pork. Fd Technol. 16, 104, 1962.
 Boccard R.: Age and meat produktion. Z. Tierzücht. Zücht. Biol. 82, 271, 1966.
 Field R. A.: Effect of castration on meat quality and quantity. J. Anim. Sci. 32, 849, 1971.
- 1971.
- 5. Hiner R. L., Thornton J. W., Alsmeyer R. H.: Palatability and quantity of pork as inf luenced by breed and fatness. J. Fd Sci. 30, 550, 1965.
- 6. Hiner R.L.: Growth effects on compositional changes in the carcass and tissues of
- Hiner R.L.: Growth effects on compositional changes and growning-finishing swine. J. Anim. Sci. 32, 1113, 1971.
 Judge M.D., Cahill V.R., Kunkle L.E., Bruner W.H.: Pork quality. I. Influence of some contemporary contemporary contemporary.
- factors on pork muscle characteristics. J. Anim. Sci. 18, 448, 1959.

 8. Judge M.D., Cahill V.R., Kunkle L.E., Deatherage F.E.: Pork quality. II. Physical, cal, and organoleptic relationships in fresh pork. J.Anim.Sci. 19, 145, 1960.
- 9. Lawrie R.A., Pomeroy R.W., Cuthbertson A.: Studies on the muscles of meat animals. III. Comparative composition of various muscles in pigs of three weight groups. J.agric. Sci. 60, 195, 1963.
- 10. Martin A.H., Fredeen H.T., Weiss G.M., Carson R.B.: Distribution and composition of portion cipe carcass fet 2 April Sci. 75 575 4070
- cine carcass fat. J.Anim.Sci. 35, 535, 1972.

 11. Moody W.G., Kemp J.D., Henning W.R.: Properties of ham and loin muscles as affected by pork quality. J.Anim.Sci. 33, 218, 1971.

 12. Topel D.G., Merkel R.A., Mackintosh D.L., Hall J.L.: Variation of some physical and 277, 277,
- biochemical properties within and among selected porcine muscles. J. Anim. Sci. 25, 277,
- 13. Usborne W.R., Kemp J.D., Moody W.G.: The relation of protein components to pork quality, J.Anim.Sci. 26, 212, 1967.