The each 56 d increment for length of time fed. Interaction between prefinishing diet and time on feed was significant for and light (kg) (p<.05), rib weight (kg), separable fat (kg,%), moisture between separable fat (kg) (p<.05), rib weight (kg), separable fat (kg,%), moisture between separable fat and lipid, but with concomitant days on the finishing diet interaction proceeded at a lower rate. Ribs from H steers (fed 56 d) had main into the state attained at 112 d for the L carcasses. Moisture (%) between fed 168 d reached similar rib weight (kg) and separable lean (kg) to H if non steers fed 56, 112 or 168 d.

A stead of fat thickness paralleled quality grade (tauto 1,1) A stead fat thickness paralleled quality grade (tauto 1,1) andective from 1 steers had (P<.05) less desirable ease of fragmentation, hore 2). Increased length of time steers were fed a high-energy diet atter for conserved length of time steers were fed a high-energy diet atter (ence (kg). However, the greatest decrease in peak force was reported length of feed an busbequent 56 d of feeding produced no significant with each 56 d increment for length of time fed. Apparent for the fed. Although tenderness ratings tended to increase slightly height of length of time fed. Apparent for length of time fed.

¹⁰ Strand Tegimens and the effects of subsequence, ¹⁰ det for 0, 56, 112 or 168 d over a constant age interval. ¹⁰ Feffinishing nutrition x length of time fed. Most carcass quality traits were ¹⁰ strand training nutrition and time on feed was observed for quality grade, ¹⁰ strand verticibility of the training diet and time on feed was observed for quality grade, ¹⁰ strand verticibility of the training diet and the carcass weight (kg). Differences in ¹⁰ strand verticibility of the training diet and the carcass weight (kg). Differences in ¹⁰ strand verticibility of the training diet and the carcass weight (kg). Differences in ¹⁰ strand verticibility of the training diet and the carcass weight (kg). Differences diet ¹⁰ strand verticibility of the training diet for 112 days. Steers from the H finishing ¹⁰ strands diet and the transition durity grade with increased length of time on ¹⁰ strands diet the transition durity grade (table 1). ¹⁰ Strands from 1 stream and (kg) strands from H steers from H steers

This <u>ouscussion</u> Murtherment was designed to examine the effects of two prefinishing density diet for 0, s6, 112 or 168 d over a constant age interval. More multi set of the s

An a member methods described by Cross et al., (1978) and AMSA (1978). Panelists evaluated Ju(X), ease coording to differences in juiciness (l=extremely dry, R=extremely tough, according to differences in juiciness (l=extremely easy), amount intensistic of fragmentation (l=extremely difficult, S=extremely tough, acettremely the der) and flavor intensity (l=extremely bland, S=extremely settory evaluation. Internal temperature was monitored with a Honeywell 1112 removed fractioner turned at 40 C internal temperature and the broiler at 70 C. Results and Discussion

Magner age = 20 mo.). Only 20 mo, concernance of the presented nere. Data Collection. The longissimus (LM) from the loin section, was removed from removed from each carcass 48 h postmortem. Two steaks (2.54 cm width) were section are ach muscle for sensory and shear force analysis. The 9-1-11 rib Approximately moved from the left side of each carcass (Hankins and Howe, 1946) separable subcutaneous fat, separable seam fat, separable lean and separable and mixed as previous fat, separable seam fat, separable seam fat were combined, ground for the analysis. Separable lean and separable seam fat subsamples were removed analyzed for percentage moisture and percentage ether extract (AOAC, 1970).

Enterimental Design. One hundred and sixty-two steers were randomly selected Firemimental Design. One hundred and sixty-two steers were randomly selected ful description of the type of animals selected, information on the presented (Willer et al., 1983a). Steers were randomly allotted to sin per head per day (H) at 8 mo of age. In addition, steers (n=18) were concentrate feeding (0), slaughter age = 16, 18 or 20 mo; concentrate feeding (12), slaughter age = 16, 18 or 20 mo; concentrate feeding for 112 d staget age 20 mo.). Only 20 mo age data will be presented here. The function of the section of the section, was removed from

Materials and Methods

Therefore, the influence of preslaughter nutrition and age on cooked beef palatability has not been adequately addressed. The objective of this study is length of time fed to a constant age at slaughter on subsequent cooked beef palatability.

The production of beef carcasses that maximize live animal production efficiencies and carcass characteristics is important; but nonetheless important to industry success, is the assurance of marketing a palatable stablish for the precedent that animals fed high-energy diets produce meat cuts by of the industry success, is the assurance of marketing a palatable stablish for the precedent that animals fed high-energy diets produce meat cuts by of the animal stablish and the fed 100 to 160 d produced steaks with at least desirable of the animal. Consequently, it is difficult to draw well-defined conclusions concentrate diet. Therefore

Introduction

ep an

in s in

sten: 19.

t of

7-353

J. F.

H.R. CROSS, R.K. MILLER*, J.D. CROUSE** AND J.D. TATUM* Department of Animal Science, Texas A&M University, College Station, Texas, USA *Colorado State University, Fort Collins, Colorado, USA *U.S. Meat Animal Research Center, Clay Center, Nebraska, USA

Separable bone (kg) was significantly affected by time on feed (table 3). Increased length of time on feed from 0 to 112 d on a high-energy diet resulted in increased bone deposition at a constant age; however, no significant increase in separable bone was reported after 112 d on feed. Muscle to bone ratio (table 3) increased significantly from 0 to 56 d on the high-energy diet, and with increased length of time fed, no subsequent increase in muscle to bone

Literature Cited

AMSA. 1978. Guidelines for Cookery and Sensory Evaluation of Meat. American Meat Science Assoc. and National Live Stock and Meat Board.

. 1970. Official Methods of Analysis (11th Ed.). Association of Official Agricultural Chemists, Washington, D.C. AOAC.

Armsby, H.P. 1917. Nutrition of Farm Animals. The Macmillan Company, New York, N.Y.

Bull, S. 1916. The Principles of Feeding Farm Animals. The Macmillan Company, New York, N.Y.

Cross, H.R., H.F. Bernholdt, M.E. Dikeman, B.E. Greene, W.G. Moody, R. Staggs and R.L. West. 1978. Guidelines for cookery and sensory evaluation of meat. Amer. Meat Sci. Assoc., Chicago, Illinois.

Hankins, O.G. and Paul E. Howe. 1946. Estimation of the composition of beef carcasses and cuts. U.S. Dept. of Agriculture Tech. Bull. No. 926.

Henry, W.A. and F.B. Morrison. 1916. Feeds and Feeding. Henry-Morrison Publ. Co., Madison, Wisconsin.

Miller, R.K., J.D. Crouse, J.D. Tatum and H.R. Cross. 1983a. The effects dietary management on beef cattle growth and efficiency traits. J. An Sci. (submitted). J. Anim.

Tatum, J.D., G.C. Smith, B.W. Berry, C.E. Murphey, F.L. Williams and Z.L. Carpenter. 1980. Carcass characteristics, time on feed and cooked beef palatability attributes. J. Anim. Sci. 50:833.

| Treatment | Left longissimus muscle Connective Peak | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|--|------------------------------------|------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------|--|--|--|
| | Connective | | | | | | | | |
| | Juiciness ^a | Ease of fragmentation ^b | tissue amount | Overall tenderness ^d | Flavor intensity ^e | force, kg | | | |
| Prefinishing nutrition (P) | | * | * | * | 2.12 | 1. | | | |
| Low | 5.44 | 5.34 | 5.22 | 5.32 | 5.75 | 4.62 | | | |
| High | 5.58 | 5.62 | 5.51 | 5.60 | 5.79 | 4.08 | | | |
| Length of time fed (T) ^f | | | | * | | ** | | | |
| 0 days | 5.51 | 5.38 | 5.19 | 5.29 | 5.81 | 5.30 | | | |
| 56 days | 5.39 | 5.34 | 5.22 | 5.39 | 5.63 | 4.13 | | | |
| 112 days | 5.53 | 5.53 | 5.41 | 5.50 | 5.79 | 4.00 | | | |
| 168 days | 5.60 | 5.66 | 5.63 | 5.66 | 5.84 | 3.94 | | | |
| Tukey | - | - | .31 | - | - | .77 | | | |
| Residual SD | .46 | .47 | .47 | .47 | .30 | 1.15 | | | |

aScored: 1 = extremely dry; 8 = extremely juicy. bScored: 1 = extremely difficult; 8 = extremely easy. Scored: 1 = extremely tender. Scored: 1 = extremely top; 8 = extremely tender. Scored: 1 = extremely top; 8 = extremely intense. Number of days fed on the high-emergy density diet. *Means within a main effect or interaction within a column differ (P<.05). **Means within a main effect or interaction within a column differ (P<.01).</pre>

TABLE 1. MEANS FOR CARCASS QUALITY GRADE CHARACTERISTICS AT 20 MO OF AGE

| Treatment | Lean color ^a | Overall maturity ^b | Marbling score ^C | USDA Quality grade ^d | Hot Carcass weight kg | Adj. fat thick- ness, cm | Longi- ssimus muscle area, cm | USDA Yield Grade |
|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|------------------------|
| Prefinishing nutrition (P) | | | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** |
| Low | 5.03 | 67.90 | 4.17 | 9.04 | 291.1 | .70 | 73.97 | 2.41 |
| High | 5.11 | 64.16 | 4.84 | 10.53 | 325.0 | .96 | 79.30 | 2.74 |
| High | 5.11 | 04.10 | 4.04 | 10.55 | 323.0 | .90 | 13.50 | C ./ T |
| Length of time fed (T) | | | * | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** |
| 0 days | 4.89 | 72.48 | 4.09 | 8.76 | 260.6 | .50 | 69.52 | 2.10 |
| 56 days | 4.87 | 65.03 | 4.40 | 9.55 | 303.9 | .78 | 74.88 | 2.56 |
| 112 days | 5.48 | 64.68 | 4.78 | 10.57 | 319.8 | .92 | 79.29 | 2.70 |
| 168 days | 5.05 | 61.79 | 4.79 | 10.29 | 348.2 | 1.13 | 82.90 | 2.95 |
| Tukey | | | .52 | | | | 4.67 | .32 |
| PxT | | | | | ** | * | | |
| Low, 0 days | | | | 7.38 | 225.6 | .24 | | |
| Low, 56 days | | | | 8.24 | 292.3 | .65 | | |
| Low, 112 days | | | | 10.59 | 306.5 | .97 | | |
| Low, 168 days | | | | 10.13 | 341.5 | .97 | | |
| High, 0 days | | | | 10.14 | 295.6 | .75 | | |
| High, 56 days | | | | 11.03 | 317.0 | .91 | | |
| High, 112 days | | | | 10.55 | 331.6 | .89 | | |
| High, 168 days | | | | 10.45 | 355.0 | 1.30 | | |
| Tukey | | | | 2.66 | 24.4 | .39 | | |
| Residual SD | .85 | 16.94 | .78 | 1.80 | 165.0 | .26 | 6.99 | .48 |

a1=black; 7=very light cherry red. b99 to 0 = A; 199 to 100 = B. C5=small; 4=slight; 3=traces. d12=low Choice; 11=high Good; 10=average Good; 9=low Good; 8=high Standard; 7=average Standard. eNumber of days fed on the high-energy density diet. *Means within a main effect of interaction within a column differ (P<.05). **Means within a main effect of interaction within a column differ (P<.01).

TABLE 3 . MEANS FOR RIB COMPOSITION AT 20 MO OF AGE

| Treatment | Rib weight, kg | Separable fat, kg | Separable lean, kg | Separable bone, kg | Separable fat, % | Moisture, | Lipid % |
|----------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|-----------|------------|
| Prefinishing nutrition (P) | ** | ** | ** | | ** | ** | ** |
| Low | 5.228 | 1.446 | 2.865 | .916 | 26.49 | 54.23 | 29.58 |
| High | 6.098 | 1.864 | 3.290 | .944 | 30.30 | 50.47 | 34.28 |
| Length of time fed (T) | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** |
| 0 days | 4.527 | 1.052 | 2.608 | .867 | 22.41 | 57.58 | 25.42 |
| 56 days | 5.594 | 1.559 | 3.129 | .906 | 27.56 | 53.22 | 30.65 |
| 112 days | 6.147 | 1.897 | 3.264 | .986 | 30.71 | 50.05 | 34.74 |
| 168 days | 6.407 | 2.121 | 3.324 | .962 | 32.99 | 48.47 | 36.99 |
| Tukey | | | | .058 | | | |
| PxT | ** | ** | ** | | ** | ** | ** |
| Low, O days | 3.739 | .655 | 2.245 | | 17.52 | 62.17 | 19.65 |
| Low, 56 days | 5.205 | 1.277 | 3.028 | | 24.71 | 55.88 | 27.37 |
| Low, 112 days | 5.836 | 1.877 | 2.989 | | 31.88 | 49.19 | 35.94 |
| Low, 168 days | 6.200 | 2.025 | 3.213 | | 32.46 | 49.14 | 36.05 |
| High, O days | 5.315 | 1.449 | 2.971 | | 27.29 | 52.99 | 31.19 |
| High, 56 days | 6.031 | 1.877 | 3.242 | | 30.77 | 50.23 | 34.33 |
| High, 112 days | 6.424 | 1.915 | 3.507 | | 29.67 | 50.81 | 33.68 |
| High, 168 days | 6.615 | 2.218 | 3.434 | | 33.52 | 47.81 | 37.94 |
| Tukey | .710 | .473 | 4.37 | | 6.18 | 4.56 | 5.91 |
| Residual SD | .480 | .319 | .295 | .084 | 4.17 | 3.08 | 3.99 |

*Means within a main effect or interaction within a column differ (P<.05). **Means within a main effect or interaction within a column differ (P<.01).

Rosta to Sta 18 -0-# 5