1:15

Comparative Rates of Fat Deposition in Two Strains of Broiler Cockerels

A. FORTIN AND J.R. CHAMBERS

Animal Research Centre, Agriculture Canada Ottawa, Ontario, Canada KIA OC6

Introduction

In previous work (Chambers et al., 1981), carcass composition differences ^{vere} observed among genetic groups of broilers slaughtered at 47 days of age. ^{Hode} Modern stocks of broilers grew much more rapidly and had more carcass and $\frac{3 \text{ Vocks}}{\text{min}_{\text{ster}}}$ of broilers grew much more rapidly and $\frac{3 \text{ vocks}}{\text{min}_{\text{ster}}}$ $m_{\rm def}^{\rm car}$ fat than a strain of broilers representing through the strain of broilers because the strain of broilers based on age favour slower growing broilers because the strain of the st they are smaller and contain less fat than they would if compared at the same weight

Nodern broilers are reputed to contain excessive fat, particularly $^{\rm torgern}$ broilers are reputed to contain excessive $_{\rm torg}$, when compared with broilers of earlier years. This excessive fat is not only of the processing and f_{at} is wasteful and has become a major concern not only of the processing and f_{ast} Tast food sectors of the broiler industry but also of the consumer.

In this study, carcass composition of two strains of broilers representing ch_{ronol}ogical age and at similar carcass weights. Patterns of fat deposition were also vere also investigated and compared to determine whether the modern broiler, the product of intesne selection for faster growth and of improved management naction marctices, differs in its composition due to a more rapid rate of fat $^{\rm reces},$ differs in its composition due to a more rapid rate 0, .00 $^{\rm deposition}$ or simply to faster growth and, hence, has more fat at an earlier $_{\rm age}$

Materials and Methods.

Broiler cockerels of two strains were slaughtered serially at two week Broiler cockerels of two strains were slaughtered serially as a control intervals between one and 17 weeks of age (Table 1). The Ottawa Meat Control Strain (Strain (Strain Strain Strai Strain (Strain K) represented broilers of the mid-fifties. It was synthesized from four terms of the mid-fifties of the mid-fifties and (Tron four lines, three commercial and one experimental, obtained in 1955 and the been much $\frac{10}{10}$ lines, three commercial and one experimental, obtained in the been maintained since then without artificial selection (Merritt and Gowe, $\frac{19}{20}$, The ¹⁹⁵²). The modern broiler was represented by a new strain (Strain 30) Synthesized in the modern broiler was represented by a new strain too a synthesized from seven commercial broiler dam stocks obtained by the Animal Research compared by the seven commercial broiler dam stocks obtained by the Animal seven commercial broiler dam stocks obtained by the Anim Research Centre in 1978 (Chambers et al., 1984).

All chicks (53 Strain K and 52 Strain 30) were hatched and reared t_{non-} A11 chicks (53 Strain K and 52 Strain 30) were hatched and S1 S1 $r_{atjon}^{canequally}$ using modern practices. Initially they were red a scale comparison (23.5% CP and 13.2 MJ ME/kg) and subsequently a finisher ration (20.8% and 13.4 m). $r_{e_{k_{S}}}$ of and 13.2 MJ ME/kg) and subsequently a finisher ratio at four vecks of and 13.4 MJ ME/kg). Strain 30 was switched to the finisher ration at four vecks of age, two weeks earlier than Strain K. The change in rations as believed to believed to occur at the same stage of development for the two strains as estimated w

estimated by body weight at the change relative to mature body weight. Follows Following an overnight fast, each broiler was weighed, bled, scalded, ked by Following an overnight fast, each broiler was weighed, Dieu, Southand Di^{Ucked} by hand and then weighed again (New York dressed weight). Abdominal fat consists T^{aked} by hand and then weighed again (New York dressed weight). A consisting of gizzard and leaf fat, was separated from the eviscerated as Carcass and its weight recorded. The eviscerated carcass was separated as described by described by Fortin and Chambers (1981) into legs, wings, breast and back which collective. collectively define the carcass. The carcass was then prepared for determination $d_{et} \stackrel{\rm vectively}{\to} define the carcass. The carcass was then prepared for and chambers of chemical fat (36 hours anhydrous petroleum extraction – Fortin$ and Chambers, 1981).

 $The\ rates$ of deposition of chemical fat and abdominal fat relative to the ht of the The rates of deposition of chemical fat and abdominal rates of the second states of the carcass were calculated using the allometric function τ and the weight of carcass, b related to the weight of carcass, b related to the weight of carcass and the second states are second states are second states are second states and the second states are second states , and the carcass were calculated using the allometric function where Y is the weight of fat, X the weight of carcass, b relative to f denomination of the parameters, b and a, were $_{\rm atc}^{\rm exo}$ where Y is the weight of fat, X the weight of carcess, $_{\rm atc}^{\rm exo}$ of deposition and a is a constant. The parameters, b and a, were stimated by $^{\rm or}$ deposition and a is a constant. The parameters, D and a, $^{\rm orbit}$ in the parameters of the allometric function (sac function (SAS, 1982).

Results.

 $\frac{M_{ean}}{carcass}$ weights, number, age at slaughter and the proportions (%) of $\frac{carcass}{fat}$ and the definition of the state o ch_{emi}^{Mean} carcass weights, number, age at slaughter and the proposition $sl_{aughter}$ and abdominal fat are presented in Table 1 for each strain -

Strain Comparisons at common slaughter age show that Strain 30 broilers higher new Strain comparisons at common slaughter age show that Strain 50 515. had higher further Percentages of chemical and abdominal fat than Strain K. Figure 1 ther illum Illustrate the compositional differences associated with the comparisons. The rates of deposition of chemical fat and abdominal fat ative to ∞ . The rates of deposition of chemical fat and abdominal fat ative to ∞ .

relative to carcass weight are shown in Table 2 for each strain. The sta The slopes (b) and the intercepts (a) of the chemical fat growth curves of two strain. The slopes (b) and the intercepts (a) of the chemical fat growth chemical fat and the intercepts (b) and the intercepts (c) fat the two strains did not differ (P>0.05). Hence, at given carcass weight, the two strains did not differ chemical fat levels of the carcasses of the two strains did not differ ¹gnificanti. $si_{gnificantly}^{(ncall fat levels of the carcasses of the two strains on not differences (, Figure 2 illustrates the very small magnitude of these of the strains did differ (P <math display="inline">_{0}$.01) differences (approx. 40 g/1600 g carcass). Strains did differ (P.0.01) in rate of deposition of the strain strain

deposition of abdominal fat not only in slope, Strain K greater than Strain but also to 30 ^{deposit} tion of abdominal fat not only in slope, Strain K greater than 50, but also in intercept, Strain K smaller. Figure 2 also illustrates that

Strain 30 had more abdominal fat at carcass weights below 920 g and less abdominal fat than Strain K at heavier carcass weight. On the other hand, the difference at any given carcass weight never exceeded 10 g or 0.7 per cent of the carcass. Furthermore, within the common 900 - 1400 g carcass weight slaughter range, Strain 30 contained at most 7 g of abdominal fat (0.5% of the carcass) and 30 g of chemical fat (1.9% of the carcass).

Discussion

Rearing broilers of the two strains using only current production practices prohibits assessment of the effect of improved rearing conditions during the past 25 years on carcass composition. Hence any differences observed in composition are due to genetic changes in the modern broiler during the last quarter century.

The modern broiler cockerel was heavier, contained more chemical fat in the carcass and had more abdominal fat than the broiler cockerel of the midfifties. However, these comparisons based on chronological age are misleading. Comparison at a common carcass weight gives a better assessment of composition differences. In the current study, such comparison practically eliminated all strain differences evident from comparisons based on chronological age. Furthermore, the 25 years of genetic change increased growth rate, did not alter the relative rat of chemical fat deposition in the carcass but appeared to have reduced the relative rate of abdominal fat deposition. Nevertheless, within the normal 900 - 1400 g carcass weight slaughter range, differences between strains in chemical fat and abdominal fat contents of the carcass were very small.

It appears that criticism of the modern broiler concerning excessive fatness is due to a failure to made comparisons at common carcass weights. In addition, changes in rearing conditions could also have contributed to excessive fatness; however, due to the design of this research, these effects could not be evaluated.

References.

Chambers, J.R., Bernon, D.E. and Gavora, J.S. 1984. Synthesis and parameters of new populations of meat-type chickens. Theor. Appl. Genet. 68: (in press).

Chambers, J.R., Gavora, J.S. and Fortin, A. 1981. Genetic changes in meattype chickens in the last twenty years. Can. J. Anim. Sci. 61:555-563.

Fortin, A. and Chambers, J.R. 1981. Specific gravity of the carcass and of its parts as predictors of carcass composition in broiler chickens. Poultry Sci. 60:2454-2462.

Merritt, E.S. and Gowe, R.S. 1962. Development and genetic properties of a control strain of meat-type fowl. Proc. XII World's Poultry Congress, Sydney, Australia. pp. 66-70.

SAS, 1982. SAS Users' Guide. SAS Institute Inc., Cary, N.C.

Table 1. Age at slaughter, number, mean carcass weight and percentages of chemical and abdominal fat for each strain slaughter-age sub class.

| Strain | Number | Age at slaughter (Wk) | Carcass weight (g) | Fat Chemical | (%) ¹ Abdominal | |
|-----------|--------|--------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|-------------------------------|--|
| <u>K</u> | 6 | 1 | 34 | 11 4 | 0.3 | |
| | 3 | 3 | 120 | 11 0 | 1 3 | |
| | 5 | 5 | 249 | 8.0 | 1.5 | |
| | 7 | 7 | 511 | 0.5 | 1.4 | |
| | 7 | 9 | 601 | 0.3 | 2 1 | |
| | 5 | 10 | 029 | 11 2 | 2.1 | |
| | 4 | 11 | 040 | 10.2 | 3.0 | |
| | 4 | 13 | 11/13 | 14 5 | 5.4 | |
| | 6 | 5 | 1665 | 14.5 | 5.0 | |
| | 6 | 17 | 1897 | 15.2 | 6.7 | |
| <u>30</u> | 5 | 1 | 53 | 12.6 | 1.0 | |
| | 6 | 3 | 257 | 11.0 | 2.1 | |
| | 6 | 5 | 589 | 13.2 | 5.6 | |
| | 8 | 6 | 992 | 13.9 | 3.6 | |
| | 4 | 7 | 1121 | 15.8 | 4.4 | |
| | 3 | 9 | 1754 | 14.4 | 2.9 | |
| | 5 | 11 | 2313 | 16.7 | 4 1 | |
| | 6 | 13 | 2939 | 14.7 | 3.8 | |
| | 5 | 15 | 3289 | 18.7 | 6.6 | |
| | 4 | 17 | 3600 | 19.6 | 5.6 | |

1As a percentage of carcass weight

 $\frac{\text{Table 2}}{\text{for each strain}^1} \ \text{Allometric relationship between weight of fat and weight of carcass}$

| | Strain | Intercept | Slope 2 | Effect of strain 3 | |
|----------------|---------------|------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------|-------|
| and the second | the second to | | (Standard error) | Intercept | Slope |
| Chemical fat | К 30 | - 1.19 - 1.15 | 1.08(0.027)** 1.10(0.026)** | NS | NS |
| Abdominal fat | К 30 | - 3.75 - 2.51 | 1.76(0.061)** 1.35(0.060)** | ** | ** |

 $^{1}Log_{10}$ Y = $log_{10}a$ + b $log_{10}X$; Y = weight of fat (g), X = weight of carcass (g) $^{2}b\!>\!1$; P<0.01

3 ** P<0.01 ; NS P<0.05



and strain 30 (0---0)



1:1 Pig I. |

Depi Sci

INT

In iccompany In the second sec

Inicialitation of the set of the

0

a) b)

c) d)

The call wall

And here or a strand our strand of the strand our strand our strand of the strand of t

• States and the states of the set desired of the set of the se

a vertice vertex, so a standar as the recentrat (1) a branchest (n. 20 magneted & asta t is well shorted asta

performent in starting and the second and a second is performed to a second sec