### Comparison between carcass quality from steers and cows

L. MULLER, C. GRASSI AND J. RESTLE

Universidade Federal de Santa Maria, Departamento de Zootecnia,RS, BRActa

#### Introduction

Neat from old cows comprises about 40% of the read meat at disposition for for <sup>consumers</sup> in Brasil. This kind of meat is sold for the same Price as the similar cut that is provenient from steers. The work as the similar cut that is provenient from some and was conducted in Southern Portion of Brasil where the predominant bear was collected, beef breeds are Europeans. In the region where the data was collected, central portion of State of Rio Grande do Sul, Charolais and Devon are the are the predominant breeds. That cows can produce quite good quality heat has been demonstrated by Müller (1974) where 143 cow carcasses from British, Dairy and Zebu breeds were compared. There was however a Consider a considerable variation in quality in each breed and mainly in the D<sub>airy</sub> and Zebu. This is in close agreement with the results by Palmer (19<sub>63)</sub> (1963) and Zebu. This is in close agreement with the resolution of lean and (2000) and Riggs (1963). Cows also present a darker colour of lean (1966), and coarser texture than steers, as demonstrated by Lawrie (1966), Ransey as Nansey at al (1967) and Möller (1974). The present work was conducted alains aiging to define how cow meat and carcass differs from steers in the peculiar conditions of Brasil.

## Materials and Methods

Fifty-four animals were used: 24 steers (12 Charolais and 12 Devon) and 30 co.  $^{\text{VF-four}}_{\text{and 30}}$  cows (15 Charolais and 15 Devon). The steers that were  $^{\text{partially}}_{\text{sept}}$  on cultivated grass during the winter time and native  $^{\text{grass}}_{\text{dust}}$ grass during the summer, were slaughtered at 2.5 years old. Cows

Were hainten Me<sub>fre Naint</sub>ened always in native pasture and by slaughter time their age varied from 7 to 10 years of age. After 24 hs. chill in a Packing Plant, Several measurements were taken from the right side, Carcasses rights. Nere ribbed, area and fat ticknees were measured. Marbling, lean colour and col<sub>our</sub> and texture were subjectivelly evaluated. A portion of the loin was  $\log_{10}^{\rm var}$  and texture were subjectivelly evaluated. A pure  $\log_{10}^{\rm var}$  was removed from each carcass and brought to the Meat Laboratory

 $^{\rm in}\, {\rm Santa}\, ^{\rm Maria},$  where they were wrapped and stored at - 20C untill  $^{\rm Used}\, {\rm for}\, {\rm n}^{\rm Santa}$  , where they were wrapped and stored at - 20C untill  $^{\text{used for}}_{\text{lab}}$  Amria, where they were wrapped and stored at - 200  $^{\text{used for}}_{\text{lab}}$  oven  $^{\text{used for}}_{\text{lab}}$  oven  $^{\text{used for}}_{\text{lab}}$  oven  $^{\text{used for}}_{\text{lab}}$  oven  $^{\text{used for}}_{\text{lab}}$ in an oven to an internal temperature of 70C and tenderness was evaluated. evaluated through taste panel and by using the Warner-Bratzler shear deviated through taste panel and by determine the proportion the ar device. The left side was used to determine the proportion the the control of the them. of the three major cuts in the carcasses: pistol cut with 8 ribs, forequarter with 5 ribs and the side.

# Results and Discussion

 $s_{0\text{me}}$  of the measurements taken in the carcasses are presented in  $s_{0\text{me}}$  of the measurements taken in the carcasses are presented in table |. The data was analized only between sex.  $^{1_{ABLE}}$  1. CARCASS CHARACTERISTICS OF STEERS AND CULL COWS

|                 |                             |   |   |  | -  |
|-----------------|-----------------------------|---|---|--|--|
|                 | Steers                      | n=24  | Cows n=30   |  |  |
|                 | Mean                        | SD  | Mean  | SD   |  |
| kg              | 203.87                      | 19.20   | 208.89  | 19.50  |  |
|                 | 10.30                       | 1.22  | 6.20  | .90  | *  |
|                 | В                           |   | E   |  | *  |
| mm              | 1.37                        | .40   | 2.65  | .60  | *  |
| cm <sup>2</sup> | 58.27                       | 5.25  | 55.84   | 5.21   |  |
| cm              | 123.37                      | 6.35  | 135.07  | 6.42   | *  |
| cm              | 65.02                       | 4.30  | 66.30   | 4.32   |  |
| cm              | 23.47                       | 2.40  | 22.16   | 2.38   |  |
|                 | mm<br>cm <sup>2</sup><br>cm | Mean kg 203.87 10.30 B mm 1.37 cm² 58.27 cm 123.37 cm 65.02 | kg 203.87 19.20<br>10.30 1.22<br>8 mm 1.37 .40<br>cm <sup>2</sup> 58.27 5.25<br>cm 123.37 6.35<br>cm 65.02 4.30 | Mean         SD         Mean           kg         203.87         19.20         208.89           10.30         1.22         6.20           B         E           cm²         58.27         5.25         55.84           cm         123.37         6.35         135.07           cm         65.02         4.30         66.30 | Mean         SD         Mean         SD           kg         203.87         19.20         208.89         19.50           10.30         1.22         6.20         .90           B         E         E           cm²         58.27         5.25         55.84         5.21           cm         123.37         6.35         135.07         6.42           cm         65.02         4.30         66.30         4.32 |

U.S.D.A. Systhem A = Youngest Inferior 4-6 = Bad 7-9 = Fair 10-12 = Good

 $s_{t_{eers}}$  Presented a significant (P .05) better conformation than  $s_{t_{eers}}$  They co<sub>Ms</sub>. They also displayed a better, non significant, area in the s<sub>v</sub>. Congises Auscle Longissimus and thickness of cushion. Cows on the other hand, we have a significant and the companies of cushion and the companies of cushion and the companies of cushion. evidenced a better finish, 2.65 mm against 1.37 for steers and Angel also we're also longer. Grassi (1980) in a work with Charolais and Angus of 186.  $c_{\text{ONS}}$  of longer. Grassi (1980) in a work with Charolan of 9.0, area of 186 kg. carcass weight, found a conformation of 9.0, area can be seen to be conformation of 9.0.  $\log_{100} \log_{100} \log_{1$ conformation, area of Longissimus, carcass and leg length

thickness of cushion found for steers in the present work similar to the ones reported by Müller et al. (1980), Abaide (1981) and Müller et al. (1982).

Carcasses in Brasil, are normally divided in three major portion. The proportions of these cuts are presented in table 2.

TABLE 2. PROPORTION OF THE THREE MAJOR CUTS FROM STEERS AND CULL

|               |   | Steers | n=24 | Cows  | n=30   |
|---------------|---|--------|------|-------|--------|
|               |   | Mean   | SD   | Mean  | SD     |
| Pistol cut a  | % | 49.19  | 2.25 | 49.51 | 2.80   |
| Forequarter b | % | 37.47  | 1.55 | 35.98 | 1.28 * |
| Side          | % | 13.34  | 1.20 | 14.51 | 1.22   |

aeight ribs

Cows presented a similar % of pistol cut, lighter (P .05) forequarter and a non significant heavier sides, about 1% more than steers. In the work conducted by Abaide (1981) using 2.5 years 1/2 blood Charolais steers, the following values were found: 48.92, 37.37 and 13.70% for pistol, fore and side respectivelly. Somewhat different values were reported by Müller et al. (1982) that working with 2.5 years Charolais steers found 50.8, 36.2 and 13.0% the same cuts and in the same order. It seems that, as the proportion of Charolais blood increases, there is a tendency of increasing the percentage of pistol and decreases the ferequarter and the sides. The explanation possibly relies in the late phisiological maturity characteristic of the French breed.

Quality of the meat from steers and cows is presented in table 3.

TABLE 3. MEAT QUALITY FROM STEERS AND CULL COWS.

| OF THE PROPERTY.      |    | Steers | n=24<br>SD | Cows                                      | n=30<br>SD |
|-----------------------|----|--------|------------|---|------------|
| Marbling amount a     |    | 5.80   | 1.22       | 8.00                                      | 2.35 *     |
| Texture of lean b     |    | 4.75   | 1.15       | 3.44                                      | 1.05 *     |
| Colour of lean b      |    | 4.58   | 1.00       | 3.10                                      | 1.10 *     |
| Panel tenderness C    |    | 5.08   | 1.05       | 3.13                                      | 1.02 *     |
| Panel juiciness C     |    | 4.92   | .70        | 4.81                                      | .80        |
| Panel flavour C       |    | 4.80   | .60        | 4.31                                      | .90        |
| Warner-Bratzler Shear | kg | 7.08   | 1.25       | 9.21                                      | 2.50 *     |
| Thawing losses        | %  | 4.03   | .70        | 3.18                                      | .65        |
| Cooking losses        | %  | 17.20  | 3.50       | 16.71                                     | 3.25       |
|                       |    |        |            | B 2 7 1 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | 3563 540   |

a 1-3 = traces 4-6 = slight 7-9 = small

Cows presented a significant better amount of marbling, coarser texture, darker colour of the lean and less tender steaks than steers. There was no significant difference for juiciness, flavor, thawing and cooking losses.

The shear value of 7.08 for steers is similar to the values reported for this trait by Müller  $\underline{\text{et}}$   $\underline{\text{al}}$ . (1980), Müller  $\underline{\text{et}}$   $\underline{\text{al}}$ . (1982) and Müller and Restle (1983). Abaide (1981) working with steers of 2.5 years, reported a higher value of 8.09 and Müller and Robaina (1981) value of 8.45. In the last work, the 2.5 years old steers, presented quite light carcass weight (180 kg) and the auctors sugested that the cold shortening problem might have adversely affected the tenderness of the meat. Thawing and cooking losses were lower than the values reported by Möller et al. (1982) that found for these values 5.20 and 20.80% respectivelly.

b five ribs

b 1 = very dark, very coarse 5 = bright red, very fine

C | = Ext.tought dry, undesirable flavour 9 = Ext.tender, juice, flavorful

Table 4 presents correlation coefficients calculated from all animals.

TABLE 4. SIMPLE CORRELATION COEFFICIENTS BETWEEN SOME CARCASS PARAMETERS IN BOVINES <sup>a</sup>

| so specific has the  |         | 100 | 11270 | 19 11 |     | m = 1 |     |     |     |
|----------------------|---------|-----|-------|-------|-----|-------|-----|-----|-----|
| ANAMES AND ASSESSED. | B (0.8) | 2   | 3     | 4     | 5   | 6     | 7   | 8   | 9   |
| Carcass wt.          | (1)     | .52 | .85   | 34    | .37 | .31   | .68 | .98 | .34 |
| Conformation         | (2)     |     | .63   | 17    | 06  | .35   | .39 | .53 | .24 |
| Longissimus          | (3)     |     |       | 38    | .30 | .56   | .63 | .86 | .45 |
| Fat thickness        | (4)     |     |       |       | .24 | 44    | .17 | 29  | 11  |
| Carcass length       | (5)     |     |       |       |     | .12   | .75 | .43 | .34 |
| Leg length           | (6)     |     |       |       |     |       | .15 | .31 | .19 |
| Thickness cushion    | (7)     |     |       |       |     |       |     | .76 | .55 |
| Wt. Pistol cut       | (8)     |     |       |       |     |       |     |     | .51 |
| % Pistol cut         | (9)     |     |       |       |     |       |     |     |     |
|                      |         |     |       |       |     |       |     |     |     |

 $<sup>^{</sup>a}$ All 54 animals \* r = .33 \*\* r = .43

The correlation between carcass weight and fat thickness is normally positive. In the present work it was negative - .34. It must be kept in mind however, that these coefficients were obtained using all animals and the heavier carcasses, Charolais breed, were the ones with lower deposition of sub-cutaneous fat.

Conformation did not present a significant coefficient with % of pistol cut, but the coefficients between the area of muscle  $\underline{\text{Longissimus}}$ , .45 and thickness of cushion, .55 with this cut were highly significant (P .01).

Regression equations were calculated to estimated weight and percentage of pistol cut, the most valuable portion of the carcass.

TABLE 5. REGRESSION EQUATION TO ESTIMATIVE THE PISTOL CUT IN BOVINES a

Weight of pistol cut (kg) y = -10.282 + .234 (hot carcass weight kg) +.514 (thickness of cushion, cm)  $s\bar{b}1 = .010$   $s\bar{b}2 = .121$  R2 x 100 = 97.32 % of pistol cut y = 42.197 + .312 (thickness of cushion, cm)  $s\bar{b}1 = .084$  R2 x 100 = 30.25%

Weight of pistol was better estimated by carcass weight and thickness of cushion, being the first trait responsible for almost 94% of the variation. To estimative the proportion of pistol in the carcass, the only significant variable was thickness of cushion, being responsible for 30% of the variation.

It can be concluded from the results of the present work, that cow carcasses differ quite noticeable from steers mainly in conformation, colour, texture and tenderness. Therefore a price differential must exist between the meat that comes from steers in relation to cow meat.

#### References

- Abaide, F.R.C. 1981. Quantitative and qualitative aspects of steers slaughtered at different ages and weights. Master's Thesis. Universidade de Santa Maria, RS, Brasil.
- Grassi, C. 1980. Effect of management of cull cows on gain in weight and carcass characteristcs. Master's Thesis. Universidade de Santa Maria, RS, Brasil.
- Lawrie, R. A. 1966. Meat Science. Pergamon Press. London pp 92,295.
- Müller, L. 1974. Indices of meatiness and tendernessin cow carcasses.

  Ph.D. Dissertation, University of Florida, Gainesville,
  U.S.A. 141 pp.
- Müller, L., J. L. Lauzer and G. R. Robaina. 1980. Carcass characteristics of British and Charolais steers raised on grass. Proc. I of the 26th European Meeting of Meat Res. Workers, Colorado Springs, U.S.A. pp 268.

- Müller, L. and G. R. Robaina. 1981. Meat quality of different chronological age. Proc. I of the 27th European Mee<sup>tig</sup> Meat Res. Workers, Wien, Austria, pp 5.
- Müller, L., L. F. P. Borges and L. A. Pfau. 1982. Carcass <sup>and gi</sup> quality of Charolais and Zebu steers. Proc. II of the <sup>gi</sup> European Meeting of Meat Res. Workers, Madrid,Spain, <sup>pp</sup>
- Müller, L. and J. Restle. 1983. Carcass characteristics of stermand young bulls. Proc. II of the 29th European Meeting Meat Res. Workers, Salsomagiore, Italy, pp 530.
- Palmer, A. Z. 1963. Relation of age, breed, sex and feeding profit on beef and pork tenderness. Proc. of Campbell Soup (6) Meat Tenderness Symposium.
- Ramsey,C. B., J. W. Cole R. L. Slinger. 1967. Efffect of age beef females on carcass composition, meat characteristic and palatability. The University of Tennessee Agr. Sta. 420.
- Riggs, J. K. 1963. Effect of crossbreeding on carcass character<sup>(1)</sup> in Texas. Crossbreeding beef Cattle, University of Flo<sup>(1)</sup> Press, Gainesville, U.S.A.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Calculated using data from 54 animals