5-9

Samples

OBSERVATIONS ON 'TAINT' IN GAMMONS

J F DEMPSTER

An Foras Taluntais (Agricultural Institute), Grange/Dunsinea Research Centre, Castleknock, Dublin 15, Treland

INTRODUCTION

Bone-taint or the deep-seated spoilage of meat is of bacterial origin (Haines 1937, 1941: Ingram 1952: Nottingham 1960). Many different species have been isolated. For example <u>Cl. oedematiens</u> from the hip joint of beef (Haines and Scott, 1940): <u>Aerobacter</u>, <u>Alcaligenes</u>, <u>Clostridium</u>, <u>Competences</u>, <u>Discobacterium</u>, <u>Escherichia</u>, <u>Micrococcus</u>, <u>Proteus</u>, <u>Pseudomonas</u>, <u>Serratia</u>, The hip joint of beef (Haines and Scott, 1940): <u>Aerobacter, Alcaligenes, Clostricium, Streptococcus from chucks and rounds of beef and the prescapular lymph nodes by Lepovetsky et al Species of Streptococcus and Clostridium were recovered in lightly or uninjected hans and Colorridum uniform Eacteria, Pseudomonas and Clostridium in the ischiatic lymph nodes of cattle.</u>

An opportunity arose in this laboratory of examining 'taint' in gammons. These were part of a considerent for the Christmas trade which had been frozen as pork legs (-10°C) for 8 months, thawed is to C for 26 h, injected by multi-needle and innersion cured for 3 d. Within hours of reaching the other in 10 - 15% of gammons. Two of the affected gammons were stored at +1°C for 18 h. One was within 24 h and the second was examined after 23 months.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Control A had a sticky and moist outer surface (rind) with a distinctly strong odour in the bone region and sticky and moist outer surface (rind) with a distinctly strong odour in the bone region (B) ^{Un Cut}ting, the muscle colour was normal but the odour had permeated the whole tissue. Gammon (B) similar characteristics. It was vacuum packed in a 'Cryovac' bag (polyvinylidene/

397

polyvinylchloride copolymer) and stored in a 'Novum' chest-type freezer (Novum Ltd., Bluebell, Dublin) at -10 C for 23 months.

: Gammon A

macteriological

Muscle tissue was excised aseptically adjacent to the bone and 3.55 g weighed into 90 ml Ringer peptone (0.1%) diluent. This was homogenised in a Columnth start and 3.55 g weighed into 90 ml Ringer peptone (0.1%) diluent. This was homogenised in a Colworth stomacher 400 (A J Seward, Stamford St., London) and was designated 'muscle homogenate'. Serial dilutions in the same diluent were plated on Oxoid Plate Count Agar with 4% of added salt (4 PCA) for incubation at 25 C (3 d) and at 37 C (2 d). A Gram stain and a spore stain were made of the homogenete. Gram stain and a spore stain were made of the homogenate.

The femur was excised aseptically and rinsed with 200 ml Ringer/peptone water. A similar rinse of the deboned gammon was taken by shaking it in a plastic bag and recovering the rinse by filtration through a sterile funnel. Aliquots of each rinse were filtered through Oxoid membranes and these were incubated on m-Enterococcus medium (Difco) for a count of faecal streptococci by the method of Slanetz and Bartley (1957). <u>Clostridium spp</u>. were enumerated by filtering (a) heated (80°C/10 min) and (b) unheated portions of the rinses by the method described by Derrestor (1972).

Classification of isolates

Twenty two isolates were picked from the 4 PCA plates incubated at 25°C and purified by successive Films were stained by Hucker's modification (1927) of the Gram stain. Motility Was determined by the hanging-drop technique (Mackie and McCartney 1960) on 18 h nutrient broth (NB) cultures. Oxidase and catalage technique (mackie and McCartney 1960) on 18 h nutrient broth (NB) streaking. cultures. Oxidase and catalase tests were made on 18 h nutrient agar (NA) streaks by the methods described by Harrigan and McCance (1966) described by Harrigan and McCance (1966).

Chemical

Salt (NaCl) was determined on 10 g lean tissue surrounding the femur by the method of Schonhertz (1971) (1955); nitrate and nitrite by the methods of Follet and Ratcliff (1963) and Elliott and Porter (1971) using another 10 g of meat from the same area. The pH was measured electrometrically on 20 g tissue at room temperature.

Gammon ,B

Bacteriological

The gammon was thanked at room temperature $(15^{\circ}C)$ for 24 h. The bag was opened and the free liquor (drip) decanted (20 ml) into a sterile class ion. The first first first state of the first state o The gammon was thawed at room temperature (15°C) for 24 h. The bag was opened and the free light (drip) decanted (20 ml) into a sterile glass jar. The femur bone was excised aseptically and the adhering muscles (M semimembranosus; M quadriceps femoris; M Glut is medius) separated from the bone and each placed in a plastic bag. The bone was then rinsed in 200 ml Ringer-peptone solution. Ten g of the semimembranosus (freed of fat) were weighed into 90 ml Ringer-peptone solution and homogenised as described earlier. The drip, bone rinse and the muscle homogenate were diluted in the above diluent and plated on 4 PCA for incubation at 25°C and 37°C. Faecal streptococci and clostridia were enumerated as described above.

Seventy nine cultures were obtained from the 4 PCA plates (25^OC/3 d), purified and tested as described above. The schemes devised by Gardner (1971:1973) for classifying rod-shaped bacteria from bacon curing brines were employed. In addition, the A.P.I. 20E (appareils procedes diddentification) system (API Lab Products 1td - Deviation) system (API Lab Products 1t curing brines were employed. In addition, the A.P.I. 20E (appareils procedes d'identification) system (API Lab Products Ltd., Rayleigh, Essex, England) for <u>Enterobacteriaceae</u> and other Gram negative bacteria was used in conjunction with the API data base bacteria was used in conjunction with the API data base.

Chemical

Salt (NaCl), nitrate, nitrite and pH determinations were made on the three samples as described previously.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The results of examination of gammon (A) are shown in Table 1. The extremely high numbers of high $p^{\rm fl}$ value (6.52) of the material. Most rapid bacterial growth occurs on meat with pH values exceeding 5.0 (Ingram 1948; Kitchell and Ingram 1965; Dempster 1974; Rev et al. 1976) (1952) . 6.0 5.0 (Ingram 1948; Kitchell and Ingram 1965; Dempster 1974: Rey et al, 1976). Ingram (1952) suggested that the addition of an appropriate acid sufficient to bring the pH of the muscle below 6.0

during curing might be beneficial. He considered that low acidity must be a predisposing cause of

Rinses of the gammon and bone showed the presence of faecal streptococci and small numbers of clostridia. These were the major types found by Iggram (1952) in tainted hams but not in gammons and he also noted the presence of enormous numbers (10° to 10° g⁻) of bacteria in the spoiled regions of such material. The present results confirm Ingram's findings on numbers but are at variance on types. Ingram found at the present to be the major component of the flora of spoiled gammons but no micrococci Ingram found micrococci to be the major component of the flora of spoiled gammons but no micrococci were found in this gammon. A Gram stain of the homogenate showed only Gram positive rods and cocco-bacilli bacilli. However, the cocco-bacilli may have been cold-damaged micrococci. There were no spores Present, Tables 1 and 4.

The chemical results, presented in Table 1 indicate that the gammon was satisfactorily cured. This was confirmed by the 'normal' colour of the cut muscle when the gammon was dissected.

A more detailed examination was made of gammon B. Results are presented in Tables 2 and 3 and the composition of the microflora in both gammons is presented in Table 4. After 23 months storage at 10 C. With the microflora in both gammons especially psychrotrophic types. Faecal C, viable bacteria were present in large numbers especially psychrotrophic types. Faecal ¹⁰C, viable bacteria were present in large numbers especially psychrotrophic types. Faecal streptococci and spore-forming bacteria were found in varying numbers. A microscopic count of the muscle homogenate (M. semimembranosus) was 1.3 x 10° g⁻¹. A similar count on the 'drip' was $0.5 \times 10^{\circ}$ ml⁻¹, Table 2, and a gram stained preparation of this showed Gram positive rods (1.0 - 4.0 > 0.5 μ), Table 4.

The chemical analyses of 3 muscles from this gammon are shown in Table 3. With the exception of pH, all other chemical analyses of 3 muscles from this gammon appeared to be satisfactorily cured. The pH values were within the normal range and the gammon appeared to be satisfactorily cured. The pH values (6.15 - 6.25) were similar to that of the unstored gammon. Obviously these high values predisposed the meat to the formation of taint (cf. Ingram 1952).

The twenty two isolates from gammon A fell into 3 groups. Thirteen were Gram positive short rods $(1.0 - 2.0 \times 0.5 \mu)$; 6 were Gram positive rods $(1.0 - 4.0 \times 0.5 \mu)$ and 3 were Gram positive rods $(0.25 - 1.0 \times 0.5 - 1.25 \mu)$. Eight were motile, nineteen were catalase positive and four were oxidase positive, see Table 4. No attempt was made at further identification of these.

The cultures (79) isolated from gammon B fell into two groups. Fifty nine (75%) were Gram positive

rods; 18 out of the 59 were catalase positive and seven were motile. They were isolated from the semimembra out of the 59 were catalase positive and seven were motile. They were Gram negative rods. They ^{senimembranosus} 18 out of the 59 were catalase positive and seven were motile. They were isolated from the seven were found only in the bone rinse and were further examined, see Table 5. On the basis of the tests tabulated only in the bone rinse and were further examined, see Table 5. On the basis of the tests tabulated in this table and the A.P.I. 20 E diagnostic system for Enterobacteriaceae, three were assigned to this table and the A.P.I. 20 E diagnostic system for Enterobacteriaceae, three were Assigned to the genus vibrio and the remaining seventeen as follows: <u>Hafnia alvea</u> (6): <u>Enterobacter</u> (2): <u>Decudomonas</u> (2): <u>Decudomonas</u> (2) and unclassified (4). (2): <u>Serratia marcesens</u> (3): <u>Pseudomonas</u> (2) and <u>unclassified</u> (4).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

My thanks to Ms C Wills and Ms G Curtin for expert assistance during the course of this investigation.

TABLE 1:

Sample	Total aerobic	count (x10	⁷) at:	Faecal streptococcus		dium spp.
	25 ⁰	37 ⁰		per 5 ml		5 ml Spores
Muscle homogenate ^a	3.75	1.825		NT*	NT	NT
Cammon rinse	NT	NT		279	2	Nil

Bacteriological and Chemical examination of spoiled gammon A

NT

NT

Bone rinse

Chendcal analyses: NaCl 3.4% (W/v): NaNO3, 110 ug/g: NaNO2, 44 ug/g: pH 6.52. NT - Not Tested.

289

Nil

Nil

$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	m @ Q 0	5 8						A		Garmon		TABLE 4:							Mile	<u>ب</u>	Dr 1pb	Bone rinse	M send	0
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	0/3 + 0/25+ 7/20+ 0/34+	5/13+ :5/6 +	Notility		Drip	Bone rinse	M semimentranosu	Muscle homogenat					M gluteus med	M semimenteran			e l		roscopic count, 13			rinse	M sentmentranosus	
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1/3 + 1/25+ 16/20+ 1/34+	13/13+ 5/6 +	Catalase		34					No. of isolates		of microflor	líus	T GIOL TR				hendcal analy	1 × 10' g .	7 -1				25°C
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	24.20	K M					25 ^d	IJa		Gram + ve short rod 1.0-2.0x0.5u		a of tainted o	5.06	4.68		{w/v}	* NaCl	vses of three	Micros	Р.	0.0424	0.102	.159	
$ \begin{array}{c c} h \ \mbox{Current} \ \mbox{and reference Strain} \\ \hline \mbox{Virrely} \\ \hline \mbox{Source of Cultures} \\ \hline \mbox{Bacon} \\ \hline \mbox{Done rines} \\ \hline \mbox{Bacon} \\ \hline \mbox{Bacon} \\ \hline \mbox{Locionary} \\ \hline \mbox{Locinary} \\ \hline \mbox{Locionary} \\ \hline \mbox{Locionary} \\ \hline Locionar$	3 + 25+ 20+ 34+	13+	dase		34 [£]		100 m	d ₉		Gram + ve rud 1.0-4.0x0.5u			234	186		b/bn	NaNO,	muscles excland	scopic count, 320		0.46	0.82	25 .	per ml (x.10 ³)
6 A Gardner) and reference strain 9 A Gardner) and reference strain Vibrio Source of Cultures Bone rinse Bacon 0sticolus* (20 isolates) Bacon 0sticolus* (20 isolates) (cardner) + + + + + + + + + + + - + + + - + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>Зс</td> <td></td> <td>Gram + ve cocco-bacillus 0.25-1.0x0.5-1.25</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>24</td> <td>15</td> <td></td> <td>5/bn</td> <td>NaNO</td> <td>from gammon B</td> <td>X U mL</td> <td>1-6 -1</td> <td>0.35</td> <td>0.01</td> <td>1</td> <td></td>								Зс		Gram + ve cocco-bacillus 0.25-1.0x0.5-1.25			24	15		5/bn	NaNO	from gammon B	X U mL	1-6 -1	0.35	0.01	1	
6 A Gardner) and reference strain 9 A Gardner) and reference strain Vibrio Source of Cultures Bone rinse Bacon 0sticolus* (20 isolates) Bacon 0sticolus* (20 isolates) (cardner) + + + + + + + + + + + - + + + - + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>20^e</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>deravore and in</td> <td>Gram - ve rod</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>6.25</td> <td>51.9 C7.0</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>PH</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>0.6</td> <td>0.1</td> <td></td> <td>.0²) Spores (x1</td>						20 ^e			deravore and in	Gram - ve rod			6.25	51.9 C7.0			PH				0.6	0.1		.0 ²) Spores (x1
G A Gardner) and reference strain Source of Cultures Witherio Witherio Witherio Source of Cultures Bacon B									1 5	-									•					103
G A Gardner) and reference strain Source of Cultures Vibrio Source of Cultures Bone rinse (20 isolates) + + + * (7)** + + + (11) + + + (13) + + + (13) + + + (13) + + + (12) + + + + (12) + + + + (12) + + + + (12) + + + + + (12) + + + + + + (12) + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +				,		•			1 -															03
G A Gardner) a Vibrio (osticolus* + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +			Bacon	(Gardner)		,	1				1	-	+	1		+	+	. +		+	+			
Test <u>v. conticolus</u> Test <u>conticolus</u> n hroth ng 12% Nacl n of nitrate 's (1960) test vylase Moller (1955) vylase Moller (1955) vylase in sensitivity ml) see test tion of glucose et d test coskauer test	nd reference strain	Durce of Cultures				+ *(7)** -	1		(18) +	(11)				+ (4) -	(12) +	+	(10)			(15)	(3)			

** Number in brackets represention * Reference strain NCVB

y!

TABLE 5:

decarboxylase Moller (1955) Thornley's (1960) arginine test Arginine Lysine

Reduction of nitrate

Growth in broth containing 12% NaCl

Motility

Fermentation of sucrose Fermentation of glucose Penicillin sensitivity decarboxylase (2 i.u./ml) Gelatinase

Voges-Proskauer test Methyl red test Catalase Indole

Oxidase

H2S

DEMPSTER, J.F. 1974. Journal of Food Technology, 9, 255. DEMPSTER, J.F., REID, S.N. & CODY, O. 1973. Journal of Hygiene (Camb), 71, 815. ELLIOTT, R.J. & PORTER, A.G. 1971. Analyst, 96, 522. FOLLETT, M.J. & RADCLIFF, P.W. 1963. Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture, 14, 138. GARDNER, G.A. 1971. Journal of Applied Bacteriology, 24, 645. alalasian Les CARDNER, G.A. 1973. Journal of Applied Bacteriology, 36, 329. HAINES, R.B. 1937. Special Report of the Food Investigation Board, London No 45. HAINES, R.B. 1941. Chemistry and Industry, 60, 413. HAINES, R.B. & SCOTT, W.J. 1940. Journal of Hygiene (Camb), 40, 154. HARRIGAN, W.F. & MCCANCE, M.E. (1966). Acad. Press, London. HUCKER, G.J. 1927. New York State Agricultural Experimental Station, Technical Bulletin, 128. -INGRAM, M. 1948. Annales de l'Institut Pasteur, 75, 139. INGRAM, M. 1952. Journal of Hygiene (Camb), 50, 165. JENSEN, L.B. 1954. In: 'Microbiology of Meats', 3rd ed. Champaign, Illinois, Garrand Press. KITCHELL, A.G., & INGRAM, M. 1965. Lst International Congress of Food Science & Technology, London, 1962. Pub. Gordon & Breach, London. LEPOVETEXY, B.C., WEISER, H.H. & DRATHERAGE, F.E. 1953. Applied Microbiology, 1, 57. MACKIE, T.J. & MCCARINEY, J.E. 1960. 'Handbook of Practical Bacteriology' Xth ed. E & S Livingstone, Edinburgh. MOLLER, V. 1955. Acta pathologica et microbiologica Scandinavia, 36, 158. NOTTINGHAM, P.M. 1960. Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture, 41, 111.

- 8 -

REFERENCES

REY, C.R., KRAFT, A.A., TOPEL, D.G., PARRISH, F.C. & HOTCHKISS, D.K. 1976. Journal of Food Science, 41, 111. SLANETZ, L.W. & BARTLEY, C.H. 1957. Journal of Bacteriology, 74, 591.

Caller and addi

1

SCHORMERZ, 2, 1955. Food Manufacture, 30, 460. THORMLEY, M.J. 1960. Journal of Applied Bacteriology, 23, 37.