8 - 19 THE EFFECT OF NON-FAT DRY MILK ON SENSORY QUALITY AND SOME PHYSICAL PROPERTIES OF COOKED SAUSAGE

P. TURKKI and \*J. SARKKI University of Helsinki, Institute of Meat Technology University of Helsinki, Institute of Dairy Science Viikki, SF-7100 Helsinki, Finland

## INTRODUCTION

Non-fat dry milk (NFDM) is mainly used as an extender in cooked sausage as a substitute for more taxel is expensive raw material in those countries where it is allowed. In Finland the substitution level is not restricted but for economic reasons only the characteristics. not restricted but for economic reasons only the cheapest meat ingredients are substituted for NFDM and so the substitution level is 3-4% in cocked esures (Kreat cocked esures) and so the substitution level is 3-4% in cooked sausage (Karanko 1985). NFDM also has good functional properties for the improvement the quality of cooked sausage with stress with the second sausage of the substitute of the subs for the improvement the quality of cooked sausage without having an essential effect the nutritional value. Schut and Brouwer (1975) observed that NFDM improved sausage enulsion stability. Puolanne and Ruusupen (1979) found that NFDM improved sausage enulsion and Puolanne and Ruusunen (1975) found that NFDM improved sausage emuland cooked sausage at low levels of addition. In another the water binding capacity of firmness of cooked sausage at low levels of addition. In practical sausage manufacture the level of NFDM in sausage is limited mostly by the fact that it products ausage manufacture the level of addition. NFDM in sausage is limited mostly by the fact that it produces a sweet taste and a light colour. Carpenter et al. (1977) reported no sweetness, however, is limited with and Rongey Corpenter et al. (1977) reported no sweetness, however, in luncheon loaves with 10% NFDM and Rongey and Bratzler (1966) even with 15% NFDM in bologna. On the contrary there have been reports NFDM improves the flavour of cooked sausage at low levels of addition (Hwang and Carpenter 1975). The aim of this study was to determine an optimal level of NFDM is a cooked and considering The aim of this study was to determine an optimal level of NFDM in a cooked sausage considering sensory quality the most crucial factor. sensory quality the most crucial factor.

A typical Finnish frankfurter-type sausage was made for the sensory analysis. The meat ingredients 5.7 kg of beef (25% fat), 10 kg of pork (48% fat), 2.3 kg of pork fat were minced and chopped coarsely in a cutter with 6.2 l ice and water, 550 g of salt. 90 g of polyobosphete (Veitte Siter 1 Finland) and cutter with 6.2 1 ice and water, 550 g of salt, 90 g of polyphosphate (Keitto-Sitonal, Finland) and 40 ml sodium nitrite (10% water solution). The basic mixture was divided into six parts which were processed further by substituting the mixture for O (control) 3 ( 0 to the six parts which were solution). processed further by substituting the mixture for O (control), 3, 6, 9, 12, and 15% non-fat  $dry_{mik}$  mikk (4% water, 1% fat, 52% lactose, 35% protein, 8% ash; Valio, Finland). Each of the six mixes completed in a cutter with pig skin mix (14% of total batch weight; skin : water = 1 :

Pikant-spice mix (0.25% of total batch weight; Gewürtzmüller, FKG) and ascorbic acid (0.15% of total batch weight). Water and salt contents were equalized for events and ascorbic acid (0.15% of total of total batch weight). Water and salt contents were equalized for every sausage mix (27.7% added water of 1.9% salt of total batch weight). The sausage mixes were stuffed into a 20.22 (27.7% added waings for 1.9% salt of total batch weight). The sausage mixes were stuffed into o 20-22 mm natural casings the sensory analysis and into a 55 mm fiber casings for the into o 20-22 mm natural casings the sensory analysis and into o 55 mm fiber casings for the objective texture measurements. The sausage mix (27.7% added sings its, frankfurters were dried and smoked 10+25 min at 90 °C, cooked 15 min at 75 °C and cooled over night at 2 °C before they were packed in PA/PE vacuum packages. The sausage packages were stored at 2 or the valuated.

The sensory quality of sausages was evaluated within a week of packing by a panel of seven experts and a panel of 41 consumers. The panel of experts was composed of for by a panel of seven experts was composed of for by a panel of seven experts was composed of for by a panel of seven experts was composed of the panel of the panel of experts was composed of for by a panel of seven experts was composed of the panel of the panel of experts was composed of the panel of the several years' experience in sensory evaluation of meat products. Most of the consumer panel and twice as hot (bootstat to the panel of experts evaluated the front forth the consumer cold and were middle-aged housewives. The panel of experts evaluated the frankfurters twice as hot (heated 4 min in a microwaya owen). Six complex of for the twice as a proport twice as hot (heated 4 min in a microwave owen). Six samples of frankfurters twice as cold and of NFDM mentioned above were given to expert panelists in a randomized order. The experts word asked to evaluate sweetness, firmness of texture, intensity of colour order. The expertability of asked to evaluate sweetness, firmness of texture, intensity of colour and overall acceptability of colour and overall acceptability of hot sausages. Each property was evaluate on a scale anchored at both ends as follows: not sweet of colour and property was evaluated of the sausages. on a scale anchored at both ends as follows: not sweet at all - very sweet (sweetness), very light, Yery intense (colour), very soft - very firm (texture), and bad - excellent (overall acceptability) Panelists evaluated the samples independently at a round table in a silent round with round, real Panelists evaluated the samples independently at a round table in a silent and well lit room, oversil acceptability just like the panel of experts, but actually for the samples and tempered water was available. acceptability just like the panel of experts, but only of hot frankfurters (heated in water).

The graphical evaluations were scored from 1 (min) to 5 (max) and the results were tested means (control by others) analysis of variance using the Dunett -test (Steel and Torrie 1980) for comparison of paired means (control by others). Testing

The firmness of texture of the sausages was measured objectively with an Instron Universal into was Instrument (Table Model M100) by compressing a peeled sample and by driving a metal probe sausage that a depth of 2 cm. The influence of NFDM on the water-binding capacity of The influence of NFDM on the water-binding capacity of The influence of NFDM on the water-binding capacity of The influence of NFDM on the water-binding capacity of The influence of NFDM on the water-binding capacity of The influence of NFDM on the water-binding capacity of The influence of NFDM on the water-binding capacity of The influence of NFDM on the water-binding capacity of The influence of NFDM on the water-binding capacity of The influence of NFDM on the water-binding capacity of The influence of NFDM on the water-binding capacity of The influence of NFDM on the water-binding capacity of The influence of NFDM on the water-binding capacity of The influence of NFDM on the water-binding capacity of The influence of NFDM on the water-binding capacity of t studied using a lacoratory sausage method suggested by rubianne and notatine (17.0). The  $r_{wate}^{ecr}$  implies excessive amounts of added water and addition of NFDM, not substitution. The  $r_{wate}^{ecr}$  the model sausages was: 80 g of meat (beef, pork, pork fat - ratio same as above), 140 of 9 water 1.9% salt and 0.3% polyphosphate. The addition levels of NFDM were 0, 3, 6, 9, 12 and 15% of basic mix.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The results of the sensory analysis were shown in Tables 1 and 2 and the flavour profile of sausage on different substitution levels is shown in Figure 1. The evaluations of the panel of experts were rather uniform, there were no significant differences (p<0.05) between panelists in any scores of the properties evaluated. The evaluations of experts were also in accordance with the evaluations of consumers:no significant differences (p<0.05) were found at any level of substitution in sweetness and overall acceptability of sausages. Both panels considered the sausages made with 6% NFDM the most acceptable (Table 2). Evaluated hot the sausages were significantly (experts p<0.05) and consumers p<0.01) better with 6% NFDM than controls (without NFDM). On the other hand there was no difference between the controls and the sausages with the highest substitution level. When evaluated rold the overall acceptability did not get worse (p<0.05) until 15% meat base ingredients were substituted for NFDM (Table 1). Also Carpenter et al. (1977) reported no significant difference in the flavour of luncheon loaves with 10% NFDM which were assessed cold.

It was found that the sweetness of sausages increased when the proportion of NFDM increased, but the difference of sweetness to the controls was perceived more sensitively at a lower level of aubatitution in hot sausages than in cold sausages (Tables 1 and 2). The panel of experts evaluated the colour of sausages as being lighter when the substitution level was 9% or more (Table 1). This was in accordance with Rongey and Bratzler (1966) who stated that bulogna produced with 10% NFDM was slightly lighter in colour than with control formulas. Carpenter et al. (1977) couldn't find lighter colour in luncheon loaves with 10% of NFDM than 0 or 5% of NFDM. NFDM was not observed to have an essential effect on firmness either sensorially (Table 1) or physically (Table 3). The water binding capacity of sausage increased sharply up to the 3% level of addition (the meat proportion was constant) and very slightly at higher levels of addition (Table 3) which was analogous with the experiments of Puolanne and Ruusunen (1979). It is not fully clear if the increase in water binding arises only from rehydration of NFDM or additionally from the fat stabilizing effect of milk protein reported by Schut and Brouwer (1975).

It can be concluded that sweetness was the dominating factor decreasing the acceptability of sausages when meat ingredients were substitued for 9% or more NFDM. The improvement of acceptability from 0% to the optimal 6% of NFDM could not be expounded by any of the variables alone. Interaction of the variables sweetness, colour, and firmness or off-flavour masking could be possible explanations, but it has also been reported that NFDM lactose or maitol (results when lactose is heated) has the

capacity to accentuate flavours (Jennes and Patton 1959, Nickerson 1978, Oberdieck 1981). On the sensory quality optimal level (6% NFDM) the sausages could be manufactured with 2.2% lower raw material costs. In recipes with marginal meat protein content NFDM may have a beneficial stabilizing effect.

It is worth noticing that the results should not be applied to cooked sausage in general because sensory quality is a result of a great number of variables like composition of raw material, additives, spicing or manufacturing process details.

Table 1. The evaluations of a panel of experts on the sweetness, colour, firmness and overall acceptability of cooked sausage when meat ingredients were substituted for 0, 3, 6, 9, 12, and 15% NFDM. Nean scores of 14 evaluations of cold sausages (min = 1, max = 5).

Substitution level (%)	sweetness	colour	firmness	overall acceptability
(control)	2.4	3.2	3.0	3.2
	2.4	3.4	3.1	3.5
	2.7	3.7	3.5	3.5
	3.3	2.2**	3.4	3.0
12	3.9*	2.8	3.4	2.8
15	4.8**	2.4**	3.1	1.9**

\* In each column indicates a significant difference (p<0.05) compared with the control level. \*\* In each column indicates a significant difference (p<0.01) compared with the control level.

Table 2. The evaluations of a panel of experts on the sweetness and overall acceptability of cooked sausage when meat ingredients were substituted for 0, 3, 6, 9, 12, and 15% NFDM. Mean scores of 14 (experts) and 41 (consumers) evaluations of hot sausages (min = 1, max = 5).

substitution	sweetness		overall acceptability		
level (%)	experts	consumers	experts	consumers	
0	1.9	2.0	2.5	2.9	
3	2.3	2.5	3.0	3.4*	
5	2.8	2.7**	3.5*	3.7**	
,	2.9*	3.0**	3.4	3.0	
12	4.1*	3.6**	2.3	2.7	
15	4.3*	4.1** .	2.1	2.6	

\* In each column indicates a significant difference (p<0.05) compared with the control level. \*\* In each column indicates a significant difference (p<0.01) compared with the control level.

Objective measurements of water binding capacity (WBC) and texture on different levels of NFDM in cooked sausage. Means of three replicates. For experiment Table 3. arrangements see text.

level of NGDM	WBC (g water/100 g lean meat)	breaking strength (kg)	compression strength (kg)
0	52.1	5.4	4.4
3	105.2**	4.9	5.7
6	119.6**	5.1	4.9
9	123.2**	4.2	4.3
12	129.4**	4.1	5.1
15	136.1**	3.5	4.9

In each column indicates a significant difference (p<0.05) compared with the control level. In each column indicates a significant difference (p<0.01) compared with the control level. ....



9%

bla

h





790

Figure 1. Flavour profile of a cooked sausage with different substitution levels of NFDM (0, 3, 6, 9, 12, and 15%). Evaluations of a panel of experts: overall acceptability of (a) cold and (b) hot sausages, (c) colour of cold sausages, sweetness of (d) cold and (e) hot sausages and (f) firmness of cold sausages. Evaluations of a panel of consumers : (b') overall acceptability and (e') sweetness of hot sausages.

e'/

12%

d

ab

b

REFERENCES

Carpenter, J.A., Reagan, J.O. & Hall, F.W. 1977. Effect of formulation variables on sensory quality of spiced luncheon loaves. J. Food Sci. 42: 1356-1358. Hwang, P.A. & Carpenter, J.A. 1975. Effect of pork hearts, additives and pH adjustment on properties of meat loaves. J. Food Sci. 40: 741-744. Jenness, R. & Patton, S. 1959. Principles of dairy chemistry pp. 354-355. John Wiley & Sons Inc.

New York & London. Karanko.

E. 1985. Chemical composition of sausage and factors affecting on the quality of sausage 4. Reports from Institute of Meat Technology, University of Helsinki. Nr. 312. 12 p. (in 1984. Finish). Faon, T.A. 1978. Why use lactose and its derivates in food? Food Technol. 32 (1):40-46.

Finnish). Nickerson, T.A. 1978. Why use lactose and its derivates in fooor Fu Oberdieck, R. 1981. Gaschmacksverstärker. Fleischw. 61 (2): 216-223. Puolanne, E. & Ruusunen, M. 1978. Verfakren zur Untersichung d Fleischer 58. 1543-1544. M. 1978. Verfahren zur Untersuchung der Eigenschaften von Brühwurst.

Fleischw. 58: 1543-1544.
Puolanne, E. & Ruusunen, N. 1979. On the water-binding capacity of the ingredients of cooked sausage. Proc. 25th Eur. Meet. Meat Res. Workers. Budapest. 533-536.
Rengey F. H. P. P. M. 1996. The offect of various binders on the palatability and proceedings.

Bausage. Proc. 25th Eur. Meet. Meat Res. Workers. Budapest. 533-536.
Rongey, E.H. & Bratzler, J.L. 1966. The effect of various binders on the palatability and processing characteristics of bologna. Food Technol. 20: 1228-1231.
Schut, J. & Brouwer, F. 1975. Der Einfluss von aufgeschlossenem Milcheiweiss auf die Stabilität von Brühwurstbräten. Proc. 21st Eur. Meet. Meat Res. Workers. Bern. 81-82.
Steel, R.A.D. & Torrie, J.H. 1980. Principles and procedures of statistics: a biometrical approach.

633 p. Mc Graw-Hill Kogakusha, Cop. Tokyo.