# 3:8

IMPROVED METHOD FOR ELECTRICAL STIMULATION OF BEEF AND LAMB CARCASSES

D. TSANKOV, IV. MASLINKOV, G. MIHOV

Higher Institute of Food & Flavour Industries Plovdiv, Bulgaria

### SUMMARY

From physiological point of view the response of the carcass is not closely related to the voltage used for electrical stimulation (ES) but to the current density, i.e. to the magnitude of the electric current which depends on the carcass impedance and a number of uncontrolled factors.

Experiments were made with a special unit designed as a current source generating current pulses and a new method for ES with fixed amplitude of electric current was studied. It eliminated the influence of some of the uncontrolled factors because during stimulation the amplitude of the current was automatically kept constant. The efficiency of the new method was confirmed by the steady results concerning the pH drop ( $\Delta pH$ ) and we recommend it for industrial and research application.

#### INTRODUCTION

The equipment and methods that are used for ES of carcasses of ruminants differ significantly [3,4]. Electric pulses of different type, polarity, frequency and voltage are applied in many research laboratories and slaughterhouses in order to improve meat quality. It is world wide practice to fix the voltage magnitude (peak or rms) and very little attention is paid to the magnitude of the electric current through the carcass resulting from the fixed voltage.

Such an approach of designing and applying units for ES that are voltage sources has got a number of disadvantages which are essential for low voltage ES [7].

From physiological point of view the efficiency of ES depends on the intensity of muscular contraction, i. e. on the extent of nerve and muscle irritation. The physical quantity which is directly connected with the extent of irritation is the current density and its relation to the applied voltage is not very simple and clear enough. That is why it is recommendable to design and use units for ES that are not voltage sources

#### but current sources [1].

The aim of the present paper is to evaluate the efficiency of ES by measuring Af and to study the influence of the uncontrol ed transition resistance between the two electrodes of the stimulating unit upon the difference of the stimulating unit upon the difference of the applying a new method for BS.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

Experiment 1. Twenty Thracian fine-flee Bulgarian breed lambs of live weight 20-25 were used. The animals that had been bred transported and treated under similar conditions were divided equally into four group (A1, A2, A3, A4). After bleeding and dressing (within 8 - 10 min after slaughter) the were stimulated electrically for 1.5 min wicurrent unipolar square pulses with the folowing characteristics: frequency - 14.3 pF duration - 5 ms; amplitude - 50 mA, 100 mÅ 150 mA and 200 mA for A1, A2, A3 and A4 respectively. The railway served as the negative electrode and the positive one was s three-point electrode of stainless steel if

serted in the neck muscles (diameter - 4 m After dressing the lambs were hung from the Achilles tendon via a hook suspended from the conveyor rail with grease on it.

Experiment 2. Ten Black and White Bulgar breed young bulls, 18 - 20 months old, live weight 420 - 480 kg were used. The animals that had been bred, transported and treated under similar conditions were divided equal into two groups (B1, B2). After bleeding (with in 8 - 10 min after stunning) they got same treatment as that of Experiment 1 but w amplitude of the current pulses was 200 mA B1 and 400 mA for B2. Besides, ES took place before dressing and the positive electrode w a nose-clamp.

The carcasses were stimulated with a specally designed current source with the follow ing characteristics of the output unipolar current pulses: amplitude - up to 0.5 A; put se duration - from 1 to 20 ms; interval be ween pulses - from 10 to 100 ms.

In both experiments during ES we measured the voltage between the two electrodes and the potential differences between some characteristic points -  $U_{ab}$ ,  $U_{bc}$ ,  $U_{cd}$ ,  $U_{de}$ and  $U_{fg}$  (Fig. 1). The measurements were  $c^{sr}$ 

21

A?

1

100

19

3

t)

till

£Ó

Fig. 1. Place of location of measuring and stimulating electrodes

rried out with a specially designed unit [2] With accuracy up to 3 % while the shape of the Pulses was observed on the CRT of a Tektronix 2215 oscilloscope.

The efficiency of ES was evaluated by the drop of pH in m. Semitendinosus which was measured immediately before and after ES using a portable METROHM 604E pH-meter with a combined electrode.

# RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The experimental results are given in Table 1 in the form  $\overline{x} \pm t.s_{\overline{x}}$ , where  $\overline{x}$  is the mean,  $s_{\overline{x}}$  - the estimated standard deviation of the mean, t - the significance limit of the Student distribution (corresponding to N = 5 and desired product of the standard desired product of the standard desired standard desired product of the standard desired standard desired product of the standard desired standard de

desired significance probability P = 0.05). The variations of the mean values of  $\Delta pH$  are statistically insignificant and  $\Delta pH$  for each thod of ES as efficient (the initial pH for groups A was 6.8 - 6.9 and 6.6 - 6.7 for groups B). It might be interesting to study the relation between  $\Delta pH$  and the current amplitude if the latter takes values lying outside the studied intervals.

One can notice that only (15 - 20) % of the voltage between the electrodes was applied to that part of the carcass which is the most important from commercial point of view (Ude). Similar are the results reported in [6]. This means that if the stimulating electrodes are inserted somewhere near points "d" and "e" the maximum voltage amplitude will not exceed 35-40 V which means that if ES is carried out with voltage sources, these are the adequate values for an efficient stimulation.

Quite impressing are the high values of Ubc for lambs due to the high resistance of connective tissue and bones in the Achilles tendon region. Similar are the values for Uad of the hind leg which could be explained with the lack of big muscles in the corpus tibiae region and with the small cross-section of the leg. Most probably the high resistance of the tissues around the Achilles tendon is the reason for its melting when stimulating lamb carcasses at 800 V only for 45 s reported in [5]. It should be mentioned that for beef carcasses the values of Ubc are smaller, probably because the contact between shackle and carcass is achieved through the hide which has larger contact surface with the carcass.

The suggestion of some authors [7] that the transition resistance between railway and hook may be of great importance for the efficiency of ES was not confirmed by our experiments. Really the values for U<sub>ab</sub> differ but they are very small and on the whole did not affect the ES. For bulls, these values are even smaller owing to their greater mass and the better contact between railway and shackle.

It is worth noticing that voltages of the same parts vary significantly for the different animals which makes the width of their confidence intervals greater. This fact could be explained with the different position of electrodes in relation to the carcass heterogeneous tissues, with the different pre-sla ughter state of the animals as well as with the inaccurate positioning of electrodes in the given points. The different geometry of each

	1	Lambs												Bulls					
		A1 50 0.47±0.12 1.10±0.45			A2 100 0.46±0.11 1.02±0.43			A3 150 0.45±0.07 1.02±0.50			A4 200 0.49±0.10 0.99±0.33			B1 200 0.40±0.09 0.53±0.36			B2 400 0.39±0.09 0.37±0.19		
Ι,	mA																		
∆pH																			
U <sub>ab</sub> ,	V																		
Ubc ,	Vi	23	<u>+</u>	11	39	+	8	53	±	9	65	±	9	1 21	±	7	38	±	8
Ucd ,	V	25	±	8	31	±	12	42	±	6	55	±	11	22	±	9	41	±	10
Ude,	V	11	±	5	15	<u>+</u>	2	24	±	5	36	±	5	27	±	5	55	±	6
Ufg ,	νi	4.0	±	1.2	3.8	±	1.0	4.1	±	2.2	6.3	±	2.1	1	-			-	
υ.	V	65	+	18	89	±	13	128	±.	9	170	+	11	84	±	12	152	±	13

Table 1.  $\Delta$  pH and voltages of lamb and beef carcasses stimulated with current pulses with different amplitude

stimulated carcass also encreases these voltage variations. When the amplitude of the electric current is costant, these factors lead to cosiderable alterations of the carcass voltage U. Its maximum allowable value must be in conformity with the safety voltage regula tions for each country. According to Ohm's law that maximum allowable voltage and the carcass impedance limit the upper values of the stimulating electric current.

During ES we altered the size of the contact surface of the positive electrode in some carcasses in order to study its influence on ES. Such alterations are quite usual for slaughterhouses due to muscular cotraction or contami nation of animal nose. In our experiments this lead to a (5 - 10) % change of U. Besides, during ES (10 - 15) % alterations of U were observed in some carcasses. Of course, all these voltage alterations did not affect the amplitude of the stimulating electric current and in spite of them the ES was efficient. If stimulation is carried out with voltage sources they could have some negative or positive effect on the ES efficiency.

Our results suggest that the proposed method for ES of beef and lamb carcasses might have some advantages in comparison with the existing methods and it might be of interest for meat industry and meat research workers.

# REFERENCES

1. ЦАНКОВ, Д., И. МАСЛИНКОВ, С. ДАНЧЕВ. № тод зе електрическо стимулиране на кланичи трупове. Авт. св. рег. № 77124/1986.

2. ЦАНКОВ, Д., И. МАСЛИНКОВ, К. ИЛИЕВ. Ма гоканално синхронизиращо и следящо-запомай що устройство. Юбилейна научна сесия 86, " 20 години катедра Електроизмервателна те ника", София, 1986.

3. ASGHAR, A. and HENRICKSON, R. L. Post-No<sup>1</sup> tem Electrical Stimulation of Carcasses.Tec<sup>1</sup> nical Bulletin T - 156, Agr. Expt. Stat., 0<sup>1</sup> lahoma State Univ., Stillwater, 0K, 1982.

4. BENDAL, J. R. 1980. The Electrical Stimulation of Carcasses of Meat Animals. In Developments in Meat Science. Vol.1, p. 37, R. J. Lawry (Editor). Applied Science Publishers J. London.

5. CHRYSTALL, B. B. 1978. Electrical Stimulation, Refrigeration and Subsequent Meat Que ty. Proc; 24-th European Meeting of Meat Revers, Kulmbach, BRD, E 7:3.

6. HOULLER, B. and SALE, P. 1984. Electric<sup>10</sup> Stimulation Efficiency and Distribution
Electric Potential and Electric Field Alons
Lamb Carcasses. Proc. 30-th European Meetins
of Meat Res. Workers, Bristol, UK, 2: 9, 61
7. RUDERUS, H. and FABIANSSON, S. 1982. Electrical Stimulation in Sweden. Proc. Internetional Simposium: Meat Science and Technology
Lincoln, Nebraska, USA, 101.