### 6:18

A STUDY INTO THE INTERRELATION OF MOISTURE CONTENT AND ACOUSTICAL CHARACTERISTICS OF THE MISCLE TISSUE

G.L.SOLNTSEVA, S.I.SUKHANOVA, R.I.KHLAMOVA<sup>X</sup>, SARVAZYAN A.P., A.G.LYRCHIKOV and V.N.SHES-TIMIROVXX

xThe All-Union Meat Research and Designing Institute, Moscow, USSR

XX The Institute of Biological Physics of the USSR AS, Pushtchino, USSR

#### SUMMARY

Acoustical parametres as effected with mois-ture as the basic component of muscle have been studied. Ultra-sound propagation rate and absorption coefficients as related to moisture level in the muscle tissue, minced meat and homogenetes were measured with a demost use level in the muscle tissue, minced meat and homogenates were measured with a de-vice developed at the IBP. Muscle structure (integrity) was found to effect the acousti-cal parametres slightly, their changes being mainly dependent on the level of moisture. Analytical equations describing these relations have been derived.

## INTRODUCTION

Determination of meat acoustical characteris-Determination of meat acoustical characteris-tics relation to other parametres of its sta-te, composition and quality is a necessary stage of the development of acoustical me-thods for meats express-analyses. Ultrasonic methods use for control in the me-at industry is limited because biological tissues acoustical characteristics in relation to their composition and structure are not sufficiently studied yet. There are publica-tions on acoustical values for biological obtions on accustical values for biological op-jects (1,2). The parametres have a wide ran-ge: rate - from 1,540 to 1,630 m/s, attenua-tion - from 0.1 to 1.2 per sq.cm. There are data testifying that water content is very important (3,4); however, there is no concrete answer as for the strength of the relation between acoustical characteristics and such factors as tissue structure. The object of the work is to determine the influence of one of the main molecular compo-nents of the muscle tissue, water, upon acous tical parametres. Other components, such as lipids, proteins, minerals, change with water. Acoustical parametres relations were determi-med for the same samples in which while ned for the same samples, in which, while changing moisture, other tissue components were maintained at certain propertions. The influence of tissue structure upon its acoustical parametres was determined; a quantitative relation of ultra-sound and attenuation rate to moisture content in the sample was investigated. For this, the rate of ultra-sound distributhon rate and attenuation coefficient, moisture content both in integral muscle tissue, minced meat and homogenates were measured.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

As the test object served beef 1. dorsi.Mea-surements were done 4-6 hrs after slaughter. From the plane, non-damaged surface free of membranes 1.0 x 1.0 x 0.6 cm tissue samples were taken. The remaining tissue was ground and served test ground meat. Before sampling the ground meat was mixed. All the results to be reported were obtained using beef mus-cle tissue from eight different animals. A test portion of the ground meat was mixed with distilled water and homogenized evenly

in an ultrasonic disintegrator, the test tur be with the sample to be homogenized being placed into a glass filled with cold water and ice to prevent protein denaturation.Apour stical parametres were measured using the

stical parametres were measured using the RUZI-6 apparatus developed by Sarvazyan and Shestimirov at the IBP (5-7). The ultrasonic rate was determined by the re-sonance peak frequency, the attenuation coef-ficient = by the width of the phase-frequen-cy characteristics. The relative error of the measurements of the ultrasonic rate in dill ted homogenates with the concentration of up to 80 mg/g was 5·10-%, in tissues and thick homogenates 5·10-%. The relative error of ficient was 1%. All the measurements were talen at 25°C within 7.0-7.5 MHz. Moisture content in tissues, ground meat and homoge-nates was found by dehydration at 103±2°C to the constant weight. The relative error was 0.5%.

#### RESULTS AND CONCLUSIONS

The results of measuring ultrasonic rate and attenuation coefficients of homogenates, 800 und meat and intert ticert homogenates, 800 und meat and intact tissues as related their moisture content are given in Figs. and 2. The ultrasonic propagation rate and attenuation coefficients were measured paral lelly and perpendicularly to muscle fibers The relation of ultra-sound rate to solution concentration is a complex non-linear one Taking into account that a solution consists of a solute and a column a solution consists of a solute and a solvent and that they cor stitute 100% titally, the relation is expressed as a function of the ultrasonic rate to the mass fraction (%) of the solvent (water in our case): in our case):

$$\Delta \mathcal{U} = a_1 (100 - C_0) + d_1(100 - C_0)^2,$$

 $\Delta \mathcal{U} = a_1 (100 - C_0) + a_2 (100 - C_0)^2$ , where  $\Delta \mathcal{U} = \mathcal{U} - \mathcal{U}_0$  is the ultrasonic rate in the solution  $\mathcal{U}$  and the solvent  $\mathcal{U}_0$ ; and  $a_0$  are coefficients constant for any si-ven object; C is solution concentration. The solid line in Fig. 1 represents the cur-ve with the coefficients  $a_1 = 2.85 \text{ m/s/m}$  and  $a_2 = 0.04 \text{ m/s/m}$ , these values most optimally describing the results obtained. This indi-cates that, irrespective of the sample (in-tact muscle, ground meat, parallelly or per-pendicularly to muscle fibers), the ultrason nic rate in beef muscle is mainly determined with the moisture level in the test sample. Sound attenuation in muscle tissue, homogene-tes is stipulated by energy absorption and dissipation on medium non-uniformities (8,9) In biological tissue, having a structure, along with sound absorption by macromolecu-tes tissue non-uniformity. With homogenete concentration energy. to tissue non-uniformity.

With homogenate concentrating, aggregates number and size are increased and dissipation on contribution to the value of the attenue shall on contribution to the value of the attenuation coefficient correspondingly; this shall lead to the non-linear relation of ultrasom attenuation to solute concentration. The re-sults in Fig. 2 demonstrate that at higher concentrations of homogenates and with a di-ser approach to the concentration of non-di-luted ground meat, a non-linear relation & luted ground meat, a non-linear relation  $\phi$  to C<sub>0</sub> appears which can be described as follows:

 $\Delta d = b, (100 - c_0) + b_2 (100 - c_0)^2,$   $\Delta d = d - d_0$ where  $\Delta d = d - d_0$ ; d and  $d_0$  are atternuation coefficients of the test medium and



Moisture content,%

Fig.7. Ultra-sound rate as related to mois-ture content in the muscle tissue 4 - parallel to muscle fibers;

- a perpendicular to muscle fibers;
- ground meat;
- · homogenate.

of the solvent, respectively;  $\ell_1$  and  $\ell_2$ are coefficients constant for any given object.

The solid line in Fig.2 represents the cur-ve with the coefficients  $l_1 = 1.5$  cm<sup>-</sup>/% and  $l_2 = 0.05$  cm<sup>-</sup>/%, these values most optimal-ve describing the results obtained. As the result of the investigation carried out the following conclusions can be drawn:

out, the following conclusions can be drawn: the values of ultra-sound propagation and attenuation rates are only slightly connec-ted with the structure (integrity) of the Muscle tissue and are mainly determined with its moisture content;

the relations of ultra-sound rate and at-tenuation coefficients to the moisture con-tent of the muscle tissue are non-linear; the analytical expressions describing these relation of describing these relations are derived.

# References

1. Goss S.A., Johnston R.L., Dunn F. Compre-bensive compilation of empirical ultrasonic properties of mammalian tissue. - J.Acoust. Soc.Am., 62 (2), 1978, p. 423-457.



### Moisture content,%

Fig.2. Attenuation coefficient as related to moisture content of the muscle tissue d - parallel to muscle fibers;

- perpendicular to muscle fibers;
- a ground meat;
- . homogenate.

2. Chivers R.C., Hill C.R. Ultrasonics atte-nuation in human tissue. - Ultrasound Med.

пиатіоп іп нишал tissue. - Оїттазонна мед. Віоl., 2, 1975, р. 25-29. 3. Горелов С.Е., Сфрвазян А.П. Связь скоро-сти ультразвука в ойологических тканях с их молекулярным составом. Х Всесореная акусти-ческая конференция. М., 1983, с. 5-8. 4. Горелов С.Е., Зверкова І.А., Сарвазян А.П. Швирст Э.М. Механические свойства клеток пе-

YOHN: чени. 5. А.С. 1205007 (СССР). Устройство для изме-рения скорости распространения и коэййициен-та поглощения ультразвука. Сарвазян А.П., Ше-стимиров В.Н. - Опубл. в Б.И., 1986, № 2.

8. Pauly H., Schwan H.P. Mechanism of absor-ption of ultrasound in liver tissue. - J.Aco-ust. Soc.Am., 50 (2), 1971. p. 692-699.

9. Исакович М.А. Общая акустика. М., Наука, 1973. с. 385-389.