WIMERATION OF YERSINIA SP. FROM THE ORAL CAVITY OF YERSLINIA SI SPANT SPANISH PIGS BERMUDEZ, E., P. MORALES, P.E. HERNAN-DEZ and B. SANZ Dpto. Higiene y Tecnología de los Alimentos, Facultad de Veterinaria, 28040 Madr Universidad Complutense, 28040 Madrid,

INTRODUCTION

Sporadic human infections with <u>Yersi-</u> nid enterocolitica are quite common In Europe and they have been also re-Ported in Spain. It has been suggested that pigs and food products of porcine origin and food products of porcine Origin constitute the major reservoir of human infections with Y. enterocohat big many studies have shown that pigs may be asymptomatic carriers of strains which belong to the same Serotypes, biotypes and phage types as those associated with human disea-Nose associated with human disc. (Mollaret et al. 1979; Christensen, Noshali Verperud, 1985; 1980; Nesbakken and Kapperud, 1985; Nesbanken, 1988).

the Further evidence that pigs and y reservoir of human infection with Further evidence that pigs are enterocolitica is supported by the fact that it has not been possible to distinguish between human and porcine strains, neither by biochemical, serological or phage-typing procedures (Wauters, 1979) nor by plasmid DNA ^{screening} methods (Nesbakken et al. 1987; shi methods (Nesbakken to al. 1987; Shiozawa et al. 1987). There is also a strong correlation between the Serogroups of Y. enterocolitica isolated from humans and pigs in the same geographics (1973). ^{geographical} area (Pedersen, 1973). In Belgium, a case-control study has shown that Y. enterocolitica infection strongly. enterocolitica infection w_{as} strongly enterocolitica interval r_{aw} port (1) associated with eating 1987), but or raw pork (Tauxe et al. 1987), but on the other have et al. cingle case of the other hand, et al. 1987), but the other hand, not a single case of human yersiniosis has been reported to date in which pigs or pork products Were in which pigs or pork product. Inis Work Work identified as the vehicle. This work reports on the isolation of cavity of Versinia sp. from the oral cavity of freshly slaughtered Spanish pigs.

MATERIALS AND METHODS Collection of samples

Tongues from 246 freshly slaughtered pigs from 4 different lots and collected during February to June 1987, were examined for the presence of yersiniae. Samples were from three slaughterhouses located in and around Madrid. All pigs were processed to the point just subsequent to evisceration, when tongues were rubbed thoroughly with a sterile cotton wool swab.

Isolation procedure

The swabs were subsequently placed in tubes containing 5 ml of a low selectivity medium, consisting of phosphate buffered saline (PBS, 1/15 M, pH 7.6), supplemented with 1% sorbitol and 0.15% bile salts (Mehlman et al. 1978).Prior to incubation, the PSB tubes were sealed with parafilm to minimize available oxygen and a three-week cold enrichment was accomplished by further incubation of the PSB cultures at 4 ºC. After the enrichment period, two loopfuls were finally palted out onto Cefsulodin-Irgasan-Novobiocin agar (CIN agar), commercially obtained as Yersinia Selective Agar Base and Supplement from Oxoid.

Identification of Yersiniae

Colonies resembling Yersiniae sp. on CIN agar were subcultured for a preliminary biochemical screening in MacConkey agar and in the LAIA medium (lysine-arginine-iron agar), devised for the presuntive identification of Y. enterocolitica by Weagant (1983). Suspect isolates were subjected to additional biochemical and subcultural characterization. Altogether, each isolate was tested by a number of parameters such as lysine, arginine and ornithine decarboxilase, lysine and phenilalanyne desaminase, ß-galactosidase, urease, oxidase, citrate (Simmon's), H₂S production, lecithinase activity, motility, nitrate reductase, indole production and acid production from xylose, glucose, lactose, rhamnose, saccharose, mannitol, melibiose, threalose and raffinose. The parameters listed above formed the basis for identification of Y. enterocolitica and related species according to established criteria (Bercovier and Mollaret, 1984).

Biotyping and serotyping

Isolates identified as Y. <u>enterocolitica</u> were biotyped by the methods and criteria of Bercovier and Mollaret (1984). Serlogical typing was carried out at the Institute Pasteur, Paris, by courtesy of Dr. Mollaret.

RESULTS

<u>Yersinia</u> sp. were isolated from the tongues of 40 (16.2%) of 246 freshly slaughtered pigs (Table 1). Y. enterocolitica comprised 92.5% (n=37) of the total number, followed by Y. intermedia (n=1), Y. kristensenii (n=1) and Y. frederiksenii (n=1) with a 25% of the isolates. Three biotypes and seven different serotypes were recognized (Table 1). The most frequently encountered serotype was 0:3 which comprised 70% of the total number, followed by 0:7,18,13,19; 0:18, 19 and 0:5 which comprised, respectively, 7,5% of the serotypes and the 0:52,5354; 0:12,25 and 0:14,16,19 comprising respectively, 2.5% of the serotypes,

Y. enterocolitica 0:3/biotype the predominant human pathogen in Br rope was isolated from 11.3% of the pigs examined. All of these lots we found to be infected with serotype 0:3/biotype 4, and the carriage rate ranged from 4.7% to 21.6%.

CONCLUSIONS

Many surveys, have demonstrated the common ocurrence of Y. enterocolitic and related microbes in the intestim tract and oral cavity of healthy ter pigs. Our present results demons trate that Y. enterocolitica and related ted microbes are also common in pigs oral cavity of Spanish slaughter in However, the relative difficulty isolating yersiniae from these sample sitive cultures is still understime Recently, Wauters et al. (1988) have reported that the plating of ITC end

	from porcine tongues								
	Serotype	№ of isolates	% of total						
<u>Y</u> .	• enterocolitica biotype 4								
	0:3	28	70						
<u>Y</u> .	enterocolitica biotype 1								
	0:7,8,13,19 0:5 0:8,19	3 2 3	7.5 5.0 7.5						
Y.	enterocolitica biotype 3								
	0:5	1	2.5						
<u>Y</u> .	intermedia								
	0:52,53,54	1	2.5						
<u>Y</u> .	kristensenii								
	0:12,25	1	2.5						
<u>Y</u> .	frederiksenii								
	0:14,16,19	1	2.5						

TABLE	I.	Serological	and	biochemical	characterization	of	40	yersiniae
from porcine tongues								

Ments (modified Rappaport base, supple-Mented with Irgasan, ticarcillin and Potassium chlorate) onto SS-deoxycholate calcium agar (modified SS-deoxycholate agar, containing 1% deoxycholate and 0.1% CaCl), gave overall better results than platting onto CIN agar for serogroup 0:3.

Not all yersiniae are clinically Not all yersiniae are (1979); Van Not (Mollaret et al. 1979); Van Noyen et al. 1981). Strains belonging to serotype 0:3/biotype 4 cons-titute titute most of the human clinical isolates in Spain (Gurgi et al. 1988). This bio-serotype was recovered from 11.30 of the terms of terms 11.3° Dio-serotype was recovered is lower the pigs examined, which is Ower than the range reported from ther ban the range reported from other European countries (Nesbakken and Kapperud, 1985). The isolation procedures strongly influence the kinds of Yersinia strains encountered, since no single method has been described that will perform equally well for records will be will be to a for the form the form the second sec for recovery of all kind of Yersinia Sp. (Necker) of all kind of Yersinia sp. (Nesbakken and Kapperud, 1985; Wauters et al. 1988).

Sence These results confirm the pro-Vity of Yersinia sp. in the oral ca-Wity of Yersinia sp. in the oracle pigs and the standard pigs and they also suggest that the Norcine oral cavity may also repre-Sent a considerable source of conta-Mination of the pigs carcasses and a Major reservoir of potential human Sed onto the Y. enterocolitica. Based onto the possible spread of \underline{Y} . enterocolitica. \underline{Y} . enterocolitical terocolitical cavity to terocolitica from the oral cavity to other organs, the carcasses and the loor and the carcasses and the floor and environment of the slaughterhouse, European investigators have already emphasized the need for changes to be introduced in slaughtering technology and meat inspection practices (Christensen, 1987; Nesbakken,

REFERENCES Andersen, J.K. (1988). Int. J. Food Microbial 7,103,202 Microbiol., 7:193-202. Bercovier, H. and Mollaret, H.H. (1984). Bergeys Manual of Syste Bacteriology, vol. 1. N.R. Krieg (1984). Bergeys Manual of Syste-

(ed.). William & Wilkins, Baltimore, MD, pp. 498-506.

- Christensen, S.G. (1980). J. Appl. Bacteriol., 48: 377-382.
- Christensen, S.G. (1987). Contr. Microbiol. Immunol., 9: 93-97.
- Gurgi, M., Mirelis, B., Coll, P. and Prats, G. (1988). Microbiol. SEM, 4: 107-116.
- Mehlman, I.J., Aulisio, C.C.G. and Sauders, A.C. (1978). J. Assoc. Off. Anal. Chem., 61: 761-771.
- Mollaret, H.H., Bercovier, H. and Alonso, J.M. (1979). Contr. Microbiol. Immunol., 5: 174-184.
- Nesbakken, T. and Kapperud, G. (1985). Int. J. Food Microbiol., 1: 301-309.
- Nesbakken, T., Kasperud, G., Sorum, H. and Dommarsnes, K. (1987). Acta Pathol. Microbiol. Immunol. Scand. Sect. B., 95: 167-173.
- Nesbakken, T. (1988). Int. J. Food Microbiol., 6: 287-293.
- Pedersen, K.B. (1979). Contr. Microbiol. Immunol., 5: 253-293.
- Shiozawa, K., Akiyama, M. Sakara, K., Hayashi, M., Nishima, T., Murakami, M. and Asakawa, Y. (1987). Contr. Microbiol. Immunol., 9: 30-40.
- Tauxe, R.W., Wauters, G., Goossens, V., Van Noyen, R., Vandepitte, J., Martín, S.M., de Mol, P. and Thiers, G. (1987). Lancet I, 1129-1132.
- Van-Noyen, R., Vandepitte, J., Wauters, G. and selderslaghs, R. (1981). J. Clin. Pathol., 34: 1052-1056.
- Wauters, G. (1979). Contr. Microbiol. Immunol., 5: 294-252.

- Wauters, G., Goossens, V., Janssens, M. and Vandepitte, J. (1988). <u>Appl. Environm. Microbiol.</u>, <u>54</u>: 851-854.
- Weagant, S.D. (1983). Appl. Environm. Microbiol., <u>45</u>: 468-471.