USE OF HEN MEAT AND DIFFERENT NITRITE LEVELS IN A FERMENTED MEAT PRODUCT-SUCUK

SUMRU O.TÖMEK and DENIZ GÖNENCAYOGLU

Ege University, Food Engineering Department, Bornova Izmir, Turkey

INTRODUCTION

Sucuk is a popular and traditional fermented meat product in Turkey. Beef or mixtures of beef and lamb is generally used in sucuk producing. On the other hand, the utilisation of mature hen, used for egg production is a problem in poultry industry. Annually 300 000 ton hen meat is produced. Therefore use of hen meat in meat products industry is important in Turkey.

In this research using hen meat instead of beef was investigated while Turkish Sucuks special color, flavor and texture were tried to be preserved.

The effects of nitrite on color and flavor development, and also as an antimicrobial and antioxidant agent in meat products are well known.

Some researchers showed that those effects are more pronounced when the concentration of nitrite is increased (Sofos et al.,1979; Wesley et al., 1982).

Some others are against this opinion and found that the nitrite concentration was not very important (Hustad et al.,1973; Brown et al.,1974; Sales et al.,1977; Sebranek, 1979; Dryden and Birdsall,1980; Marrict et al.,1981; Wesley et al.,1982).

In this study the determination of suitable percentages of hen meat in the sucuk mix and also the most efficient nitrite level were carried out.

MATERIALS AND METHODS Beef and hen meat were used in the experi in the experiments. The carcasses were obtained from the slaughter house of Agriculture Faculty. All hen carcasses were frozen at 18 C and kept at this temperature rature for a week. Before using, the frozen hen carcasses were thawed at 4 C and disected from all the fat and the bones The average pH of hen meat was 5.63. The beef was obtained from Izmir municipal slaughter house after rigormortis. beef was frozen similarly at 18 C and kept at this temperature for rature for a week. Before using the the frozen beef med thawed at 4 C and trimmed of all the fat. The average using, carried pH of beef was 5.75. out in five groups. There was 100% beef in the group. 75% group, 75% beef and 25% and in the second in the second, 50% beef 25% and 50% hen in the 50% hen in the third, beef and 75% hen in the fourth and 100% hen in the fifth beef For each proportion of and hen meats and hen meats four different nitrite levels namely 0, used 100 and 200 ppm were Each experiment Each experiment was carried out four times. Sucuk which prepared by prepared by using meat which was combined in the five groups and also other and also other additives cording to the following formulation: 20kg 6kg

Meat Beef fat Salt 500g Sucrose 50g Garlic(fresh) 200g Black pepper 100g Allspice 100g Red pepper 60g Cumin 300g

The samples of sucuk prepared by using the conventional method with the additate of a pasteurisation step of 5 C because of the possible high levels of Salmon meatin hen meat. The sucuk was grinded using 3 mm

Grinder plate and mixed thoroughly with curing ingredients, spices and garlic. Then the Sucuk mixtures were stuffed into 47 mm diameter 200 mm Naturin casings. After stuffmoisture impermeable ing, the sucuks were incubated at 4 C for 24 hours and pasteurizated at 65 C in a hot cabinet. The drying process was Carried out at 20 C. At the beginning of drying, the relative humidity was 90%, and Was decreased o 70% in the following days. gradually Drying was stopped when the The content reached 40%. The samples were vacuum packed bags. polyamide/polyethylene The

sed

hen

rom

the

the

zen

pe-

ore

ses

ted

es.

eat

ned

ter

The

rly

pe-

ore

Nas

ned

29e

ied

ere

en

and

158

-th

·h.

et

nt

d. ed

25

n'

at

30

at

pH value and the amount of lactic acid were determined the mix, after fermentation, after mix, after refined once in pasteurisation and once in pasteurisation and days days drying of residual nitrite, the thiobarbituric acid(TBA) Were value after measured in the mix, pasteurisation and fermentation, after in the content, the fat and the proproducts. The water tein content were determined the final products. The PH value final product.

Metrice was measured electro-Metrically using sonda electrodes. Lactic acid content Was determined by titrimetric Keller determined by Keller Residual Acton(1974).

photoe was determined spectrophotometrically Pearson(1973). Value Pearson(19/3).

Meth Was determined by TBA methods the (1960). of Tarladgis et al. and). The moisture deta protein (Kjeldahl) were determined according to (1975). The fat content determined was method of according to the (1975) of Flyn and Later The yield was calculated by dividing the final weight by the stuffed sucuk Was carried out on heattreated by a grilled)sucuk

seven-score hedonic scale with 7 excellent ones and 1 unacceptable one. The data was analysed by the standard statistical variance analysis techniques as described by Steele and Torrie(1960).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The pH values of all the groups which were measured at different stages are shown in Table 1. In all the samples pH value decreased significantly with increasing amount of hen meat.

Table 1. pH values at different stages of sucuk production.

Samples		Time**			
Witrit	e Hen	Before	After	After	Final
(ppm)		Ferm.	Ferm.	Pasteu.	Product
0	0	5.71	5.05	5.27	5.14
	25	5.77	4.94	4.97	4.88
	50	5.75	4.85	4.92	4.83
	75	5.70	4.74	4.80	4.75
	100	5.63	4.71	4.84	4.74
¹50	25 50 75 100	5.60 5.60 5.59 5.51 5.53	5.14 4.80 4.80 4.79 4.70	5.04 4.91 4.98 4.91 4.87	4.92 4.88 4.87 4.87 4.80
100	0	5.63	4.99	5.20	4.92
	25	5.60	4.90	4.89	4.83
	50	5.01	4.85	- 4.72	4.70
	75	5.60	4.87	4.89	4.70
	100	5.61	4.70	4.82	4.74
200	0	5.66	4.90	4.94	4.85
	25	5.63	4.90	- 4.94	4.86
	50	5.69	4.79	4.94	4.81
	75	5.70	4.85	5.04	4.86
	100	5.63	4.70	4.92	4.78

Significance:NS-non significant(P7.05)
*-significant (P4.05)

**-significant (P<.01)

The muscle characteristics of hen meat and the differences between the pH values of initial meats may be the reasons for this effect. The nitrite levels also affected the pH values significantly.

The amounts of lactic acid in all the groups are given in Table 2.

The initial lactic acid values increased with increasing amounts of hen meat in the groups of the first three nitrite levels. This situation went on until the 2nd drying

day. After the 3rd day, the lactic acid reached its maximum level at the ratio of hen meat of 50% while further increases in the proportion of hen meat (75% and 100% acid levels) caused lactic concentration to fall. In the group of 200 ppm nitrite, increase in lactic acid caused by the increase in the amount of hen meat took place until the 1st drying day and it reached the maximum level with 50% hen meat on the 2nd day. After the 3rd day, lactic acid began to fall with the greater amounts of hen meat.

Table 2. Lactic acid concentcation at different stages of sucuk production.

Samples			Time **				
Nitrite		Before	After	After	Final		
(ppm)		Ferm.	Ferm.	Pasteu.	Product		
υ	0	0.14	0.39	0.40	1.39		
	25	0.10	0.55	0.49	1.44		
	50	0.19	0.56	0.60	1.47		
	75	0.24	0.57	0.61	1.36		
	100	0.26	0.59	0.58	1.28		
50	0	0.15	0.52	0.53	1.42		
	25	0.17	0.59	0.60	1.39		
	50	0.19	0.57	0.57	1.46		
	75	0.26	0.57	0.56	1.16		
	100	0.27	0.58	0.60	1.08		
100	0 25 50 75	0.08 0.12 0.28 0.30 0.30	0.40 0.46 0.57 0.60 0.61	0.42 0.57 0.57 0.62 0.61	1.38 1.30 1.45 1.32 1.23		
200	0	0.15	0.46	0.50	1.40		
	25	0.14	0.53	0.55	1.32		
	50	0.28	0.49	0.59	1.23		
	75	0.31	0.59	0.61	1.20		
	100	0.32	0.63	0.63	1.31		

Significance:NS-non significant(P>.05)

*-significant (P<.05)

**-significant (P<.01)

Although the amount of hen meat and time have significant effects on increasing lactic acid, the nitrite level has no significant effect.

The factors affecting the lactic acid amount in a fermented meat product are the amount of salt, the amount and type of spice (Deketelare et al. 1974), the type of meat, the

amount of initial lactic acid and the amount of lactic acid bacteria (Zaika et al.,1978; Nordal and Slinde,1980).

Residual nitrites of all the groups at different stages of production are shown Table 3.

Table 3. Residual nitrite levels at different stages of sucuk production.

Sample		Time**				
** Nitrite (ppm)		Before	After Ferm.	After Pasteu	Final	
50	0 25 50 75 100 0 25 50 75 100	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 41.50 45.25 38.00 27.25 0.00	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 40.75 37.50 41.25 18.00 0.00	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 39.75 40.75 18.25 9.25 0.00	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 43.00 37.75 18.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	
200	25 50 75 100 0 25.	71.50 44.00 38.25 28.00 68.25 71.75 64.75	40.00 40.25 39.25 28.75 05.50 00.25 07.50	40.25 38.00 42.75 36.25 04.50 05.00 52.25 57.25	28 - 25 37 - 75 70 - 25 70 - 25 51 - 75	
	75.	53.00 47.50	55. 25 47. 75	43.00	50.00	

Significance: N.S.-non significant(P7.05)
*-significant (P4.05)
**-significant (P4.01)

In the group containing ppm nitrite, the increase in the amount of hen meat caused a decrease in the amount of residual nitrite during all the steps of production The initial amounts of residual and in the final product. nitrite in sucuk mixes contain ing 0, 25, 50, 75 and 100% hen meat to the hen meat to which 50 ppm nitrite had been te had been added were 41.50%, 45.25%, 38.00%, 27.25% 0.00%, respectively. In of the different hen up of 100% beef, the nitrite levels showed decreases and reached 37.75%, 18.00%, 0.00% and 0.00% for and 0.00% for mixes containing nen 0, 25, 50, 75 and 100% the meat, respectively In ppm meat, respectively. In samples containing 100 mitrite, increasing hen meat

Caused decreasing residual nitrite level. In this nitrite group the initial residual respectation residual residual residual respectively. These values of residual respectively. As it is seen respectively. As it is seen residual residual

е

5

n

e

Table 4. TBA values at different stages of sucuk production.

0		303 01	Sucuk	produ	ICLIOI
Sample		7:	ime **		
D D W	den deat	Before Ferm.	After Ferm.	After Pasteu.	Final Product
0	0 25	0.1970	0.1814	0.1560	0.2477
	50	0.3764	0.2633	0.2067	0.2615
1	75.	0.6850	0.5760	0.7020	0.6848
50	0 25	0.3413	0.5265	0.5616	0.7118
	50	0.3939	0.3647	0.4524	0.4875
1	100	0.6221	0.4895	0.5616	0.7118
100	0 25	0.1326	0.1580	0.2145	0.1619
	50	0.1001	0.1716	0.2452	0.2945
/	75	0.3588	0.4407	0.4563	0.6786
500	0	0.1638	0.2165	0.2301	0.2126
	50	0.2769	0.2574	0.2340	0.2730
/	75	0.4076	0.4485	0.4154	0.4290
Sion		0.4275	0.4681	0.4681	0.4836

Significance:N.S.-non significant(P>.05)

*-significant (P<.05)

**-significant (P<.01)

the the group of 200 ppm nitrite to nitrite level was found to be unnecessarily high. this group of the final

products residual nitrite levels were found to be 70.25%, 65.25%, 51.50%, 47.75% and 50.00% in the order of increasing proportions of hen meat. The percentage of hen meat, the amount of nitrite and time were found to have significant effects on residual nitrite amounts.

TBA values of all the groups at different production stages are given in Table 4. TBA values increased with increasing hen meat, decreasing nitrite level and also with increasing time. In the products, produced using 100% beef, and 25% and 50% hen, the addition of 100 ppm nitrite caused a decrease in TBA value while in the 75% and 100% hen meat groups 200 ppm nitrite addition was necessary for decreasing TBA value. The percentage of hen meat, nitrite level and time were found to have significant effects on TBA value, as to be seen in Table 4.

In Table 5, the water and fat contents, and weight losses of final products with different nitrite levels and percentages of hen meat are shown. Using increasing hen meat and nitrite levels had a significant effect on water content. Increasing hen meat caused a decrease in the water content of the product. Increasing the nitrite level also had the same effect. The protein amounts of products increased slightly but significantly with the increasing nitrite level. On the other 'land, the protein amount was decreased by using increasing proportion of hen meat. On the average there were 19.21% Protein in 100% beef group, 19.02% in 25% hen group, 18.32% in 50% hen group, 16.57% in 75% hen group and 15.38% in 1.00% hen group. These decreases are significant (P < .01) as can be seen in Table 5.Fat contents changed with the different ratios of hen meat. While it was found to be about 11.14% in 100% beef group, it reached the levels of about 10.90% in 25%hen meat, 12.00% in 50% hen meat, 13.11% in 75% hen meat and 14.90% in 100% hen meat.

Table 5. Proximate composition and loss of weight during production of different sucuks.

Hen	Hitrite	Water Cont.%	Prot.	Pat 3	Los s of Weight%
Meat	(ppm)	Meat **	Meat	Meat	Meat
,		Nitrite	Nitrite	Nitrite	Nitrite
	0	54.81	18.70	11.07	13.35
0	50	55.27	19.27	11.12	17.81
0	100	55.00	14.80	11.17	14.88
	200	55.86	19.05	11.20	12.68
	0	52.32	18.97	10.22	21.08
	50	54.50	20.02	10.45	18.88
25	100	53.92	14.02	11.25	18.50
	200	54.01	18.07	11.67	17.73
	0	52.58	18.25	12.25	20.15
	50	53.52	18.50	12.57	20.36
50	100	53.27	17.75	12.40	20.10
	200	56.52	18.77	10.77	22.79
	0	50.44	15.20	15.32	23.59
75	50	52.70	16.95	11.97	21.80
15	100	52.39	16.37	12.87	20.97
	200	55.73	17.75	12.25	22.78
	0	51.55	15.15	15.73	22.98
300	50	52,63	15.77	14.55	22.03
100	100	53.00	14.90	15.30	22,29
	200	54.09	15.67.	14.02	23.17

Significance:NS-non significant(P>.05)

*-significant (P<.05)

**-significant (P<.01)

The loss of weight changed with the usage of hen meat. Hen meat had a negative effect on product yield. While the loss of weight was found to be about 14.68% in the beef group, the others have increasing weight losses, namely, 19.05%, 20.85%, 22.29% and 22.62% in hen meat proportions of 25, 50, 75 and 100%, respectively. As it is seen in Table 5, the meat type or the usage

of hen meat has significant effect on the weight 1055. The results of sensory evaluation tions of all the groups given in Table 6. Color was affected by increasing amounts of hen meat and nitrite. increasing amount of hen meat caused decreasing color grade especially when 75% and 100% hen meat are used. Also increasing nitrite levels gave a better color to the product. It is seen in Table 5 that using hen meat and nitrite have nitrite have significant fects on color grades. a result, only the groups containing containing 100% beef, found hen and 50% hen were acceptable for their color that grades. It is well known that the myoglobin content of meat are and the nitrite level important factors on color of product (Wilson, 1960; of product (Wilson, 1986). This may the reason that the groups of 100% beef and up to hen meat had hen meat had high color points. Use of hen meat and nitrite did not have consistent effects on the texture of products although a somewhat significant effect was observed. Korscheget et al. (1978) and Olson hat al. (1978) and Olson that the increase the increasing nitrite level have a smooth effect on the ture. In the same way the results of the present study showed that 50 ppm was sufficient with 100 ent with 100% beef but the other the other samples which were prepared with different percentages of hor tages of hen meat, this nitrite level level was not sufficient.
For these samples 100 to or 200 ppm nitrite was be used. There were significant differences of the significant of the si different different of hen nitrite different percentages levels. 50 ppm nitrite on found to be successful the flavor of sucuk made from 1.00% heef 1.00% beef. But in the 200 meat groups 100 ppm and

ppm nitrite had to be used reach a good flavor. It reported that different nitrite concentrations give different flavor results. Generally 100 and 150 ppm nitrite levels were recommended obtain a good flavor (Wasserman and Talley, 1972; Dethals, 1977; Olson et al., 1978; Price and Gregne, 1978).

5

5

5

e

e

%

e

5

e

е

d

5

e

5

00

5

t

3

Table 6. Sensory evaluation results of different sucuks.

		~	LCLCI		
Hen Meat	Nitrite	Color	Texture	Flavor	General Accept.
+	· Ppiii)	.4eat	Meat *	Meat	Meat
		Nitr_te	Nitrite	Nitrite	Nitrite
	0	5.018	4.521	4.787	4.882
0	50	5.692	5.130	5.220	5.112
	100	5.909	4.567	5.283	5.149
	500	5.420	4.477	4.059	4.837
	0	5.031	4.451	4.433	4.522
25	50	4.897	4.108	4.484	4.165
	100	5.854	4.587	4.686	4.750
	500	6.055	4.462	4.170	5.078
	0	5.161	4.381	4.341	4.610
50	50	5.347	3.973	3.933	3.961
	100	5.426	4.427	4.588	4.892
	200	5.825	5.154	5.034	5.269
	0	4.581	4.338	4.206	4.489
75	50	4.447	4.028	4.324	4.341
	100	4.654	4.640	4.792	4.884
	500	4.605	4.382	4.820	4.504
	0	4.948	4.391	4.711	4.650
100	50	4.755	3.883	4.103	4.184
	100	4.839	4.437	4.958	4.932
	200	4.466	4.314	4.059	4.326

"19nificance:N.S.-non significant(P>.05)

*-significant (P<.05)

**-significant (P<.01)

scoring:7-excellent,1-unacceptable.

General acceptability was and affected by the nitrite hen meat levels. 50 ppm be level was found to sufficient in 100% beef But obtaining a good result. Amounts of hen meat, this ent. 100 and 200 ppm nitrite

gave a good result for its acceptability when hen meat was used in the formulation.

CONCLUSION

The results of the present study indicated that part of beef used in sucuk production can be substituted with hen meat. Using hen meat was found to increase the rate of fermentation, therefore a somewhat shorter production process would be suitable. Hen meat also had a decreasing effect on the moisture content of the product. The residual nitrite contents of the products containing some percentage of hen meat were found to be lowest.Sucuk with 25 or 50% hen meat and 100 ppm nitrite level was found to be the most recommendable combination, while the use of 75 or 100% hen meat did not yield acceptable products.

REFERENCES

AOAC (1975):

"Official Methods of Analysis". Association of official agricultural chemists. Washington, D.C.

Brown, C.L., Hedrick, H.B. & Bailey, M.E. (1974):

Characteristics of cured ham as influenced by levels of sodium nitrite and sodium ascorbate, J. Food Sci., 39;977.

Deketelare, A., Demeyer, D., Vanderkerchkhove, P. & Vervaeke, I. (1974):

Stochiometry of carbohydrate fermentation during dry sausage ripening, J.Food Sci., 39;297.

Dethmers, A.E., Rock, H., Fazio, T. & Johnston, R.W. (1975): Effects of added sodium nitrite and sodium nitrate on sensory quality and nitrosamine formation in thuringer sausage, J. Food Sci., 40:491.

Dryden, F.D. & Birdsall, J.J. (1980):

Why nitrite does not impart color. Proceeding of the Meat Industry Research Conference. American meat inst. Washington D.C.

Flyn, C. & Bramblett, V.D. (1975): Effects of frozen storage, cooking method and muscle quality on attributes of pork loins, J. Food Sci., 40:633.

Hustad,G.O.,Cerveny,J.G.,Trenk,
H., Diebel,R.H., Kautter,D.A.,
Fazio,T.,Johnston,R.W.& Kolari
,O.E. (1973):

Effect of sodium nitrate and sodium nitrite on botulinal toxin production and nitrosamine formation in weiners, Appl. Microbiol., 26:22.

Keller, J.E.& Acton, J.C. (1974); Properties of a fermented, semi-dry turkey sausage during production with Lyophilized and frozen concentrates of pediococcus cerevisiae, J. Food sci., 39:836.

Korschegen,B.M.,Baldwin R.E.& Russell,W.D. (1977):

Acceptance of summer sausage prepared with beef pork and turkey combinations, F.Product Devlop.12:84.

Marriot, N.G., Lechowich, R.V.& Pierson, M.O. (1981): Use of nitrite and nitritesparing agents in meats, J. Food Protection, 44:881.

Nordal, J. & Slinde, E. (1980): Characteristics of some lactic acid bacteria used as starter cultures in dry sausage production, Appl. Environ. Microbiol. ,40:472.

Olson, V.M., King, N.A., Langbehn, J.A. & stadelman, W.J. (1978): Acceptability of smoked turkey drumsticks with and without nitrite addition, Poultry Sci., 58:587.

Pearson, D. (1973):
Laboratory technique in food
analyses, Butterworths, London.

Price, L.G. & Gregne, B.E. (1978); Factors affecting panelists perceptions of cured meat flavor, J. Food sci. 43:319.

Sales, C.A., Bowers, J.A. & Krop, D. (1980):
Consumer acceptability turkey frankfurters with and 100 ppm nitrite. J. Food Sci., 45:120.

Sebranek, J.C. (1979):
Advances in the technology of nitrite use and consideration of alternatives,
Technol., 33:58.

Shults, G.W., Cohen, J.S., Howker, J.J.& Wierbicki, E. (1977): Effects of sodium nitrate and sodium nitrite additions and irradiation processing variables on the color acceptability of corned beef briskets, J. Food Sci., 42:1506

Sofos, J.N., Busta, F.F. & Allen C.E. (1979):
Effect of sodium nitrite Cl. botulinum toxin production in francfurter emulsions formulated with meat and proteins, J. Food Sci., 44:1267

Steel, R.G.D.& Torrie, J.H.
(1960):
Principles and procedures
of staristics, Mc GrawHill
Book Company, INC., Neww

Tarladgis, B.G., WattsB.M.&
Younathan, M.T. (1960):
A distillation method
the quantitative determination
of malonaldehyde in rancid
foods, J.Am.Oil Chem. Soc.,
Chem. Soc.,
Tömek, S.O. (1986):

Fak,

Tömek,S.O. (1986):

Et teknolojisi.E.Ü.Müh.

Gida Müh. Böl.Ders notlari

Bornova, Izmir.

Wasserman, A.E.& Talley, F. (1972):
The effect of sodium nitrite flavor of francfurters,
Food Sci., 37:536.

od

n.

站

at

9.

of

40 od

gy

);

te

115

ng

nd ef

nı

On

ns ov

H.

es

K.

01

Wesley, R.L., Marion, W.W.& Sebranek, J.G. (1982):
Effect of sodium nitrite concentration, sodiumerythorbate
of storage time on the quality
franks manufactured from
nechanically deboned turkey,
Food Sci., 47:1626.

Wilson, G.D. (1960):
Sausage products.In "The Science
of Meat and Meat Products "Ed.
American Meat Institute Foundation.P.349.W.H.Freeman & Co
San Francisco.

Zaika, L.L., Zell, T.E., Palumbo, S.A.& Smith, J.L. (1978):
On fermentation of Lebanon Sci., 43:186.