PRELIMINARY OBSERVATIONS ON THE BEHAVIOUR AND MEAT QUALITY OF FREE RANGE PIGS

PATRICIA BARTON-GADE and LARS O. BLAABJERG

Danish Meat Research Institute, Maglegårdsvej 2, DK-4000 Roskilde, Denmark

INTRODUCTION

Consumers are becoming more and more critical and some are beginning to demand that the meat they buy comes from animals that have been reared under conditions that they consider "acceptable". This is a difficult area as such demands are often emotionally based and differ from one consumer group to another. Moreover, consumers who are willing to pay a premium for such meat only cover at most 5-10% of the market. In spite of this many different types of "alternative" productions are being considered in Denmark to cater for these market segments. One of these productions is free range pigs.

This paper describes some preliminary observations on the behaviour of free range pigs at the abattoir and their meat quality after slaughter. The work was carried out to give an indication of the factors to be taken into account in future comparative experiments with "organic" pigs.

MATERIALS AND METHOD

The free range pigs were supplied on three separate occasions in November 1988. Each week a similar number of pigs raised under moderately intensive conditions at the same farm were randomly chosen. No attempt was made to make the experimental groups genetically identical. The experimental conditions during fattening are shown in Table 1.

Pigs had access to feed up until collection for the first week; for the final two weeks the last feed occurred the evening before.

Table 1. Production conditions

Table 1. Pr	oduction con	ditions
	Free range	Indoor
No. of pigs		86
Breed	DH-YL/H-YL*	DH-YL/H-YL*
Floor	25 m²/pig outdoors 0.5 m²/pig lying area (hut)	0,65 m ² /pig concrete
Water system	drinking nipples	drinking nipples
Feeding	Ad libitum dry feeding	ad libitum wet feeding up to 50 kg, then 2.8 feed units/day until slaughter
	Average 93 kg	Average 100 kg
* D-D	TV1 * T	V Lange White

^{*} D=Duroc, H=Hampshire, Y=Large White L=Landrace.

Both groups of pigs were transported (separately) on the same lorry for approximately 1 hr and they were lais separately from each other in the path rage. Behaviour was observed in groups both in the lairage and on passage to the CO₂-stunning chamber.

Pigs were slaughtered at abattoir during the first two weeks of the periment. Holding time was about 1 for week 1, but because of lack pigs at the factory the experimental pigs were slaughtered immediately arrival for week 2. Slaughter speed was 50-55 pigs per hour and chilling was mild. In week 3 pigs were slaughtered in abattoir 2 after a holding tered in abattoir 2 after a holding period of 2 hrs. The slaughter speed was 255 per hour and chilling effect was 255 per hour and chilling effect in the compact equipment.

Pigs were investigated for probe Values in biceps femoris and longissidorsi the day after slaughter (Barton Gade & Olsen, 1987) and pH₂-Values in these two muscles and semi-Spinalis capitis. These measurements Were supplemented with pH_-values in Semical supplemented with ping variand semical semical and semical semical semical and semical Semispinalis capitis in the last two Weeks of the experiment.

RESULTS

BEHAVIOUR AT THE ABATTOIR

Free range pigs had a completely different pattern of behaviour at the Battoir. They were calm, did not show exploratory behaviour in the lairage and quickly lay down. Individual pigs showed aggressive behaviour, but this aggressive benaviour, the led to fighting proper. Even at the entrance to the race, where problems perhaps could be expected in

pigs that have had open conditions during fattening, there were no problems. Free range pigs entered with no more difficulty than the pigs raised indoors.

The pigs raised indoors showed normal behaviour in the lairage. They actively explored the new area, some pigs fought aggressively and it took much longer for pigs to lie down than in the free range groups.

MEAT QUALITY

Because of the experimental set up with among other things different holding periods in the lairage for the 3 weeks of the experiment, it was only possible to compare the two experimental groups within any one week. The results are shown in Table 2.

Average values for meat quality characteristics

Average values within any one week with different superscripts are significantly different (p at least <0.05 with a paired t-test)

escription	Week	1	Week 2		Week 3	
	Free range	Indoors	Free range	Indoors	Free range	Indoors
of pigs	15	15	29	28	42	40
1 b. femoris	-	-	6.84	6.99	6.76	6.80
1 - 1. dores		-	6.41	6.54	6.48	6.40
1 `s. capitis	-	-	6.02	6.19	-	-
obe b. femoris			00	-1. 1.	b	a
Obe .	79.7	75.2	81.8	74.4	79.1 ^b	73.7 ^a
Obe 1. dorsi	64.6	59.8	68.8 ^b	59.9 ^a	45.3	45.6
b. femoris	5.58 ^a	5.65 ^b	5.78	5.83	5.50 ^a	5.62 ^b
2 1. do	- caa	5.73 ^b	5.74ª	5.83 ^b	5.65 ^a	5.74 ^b
s. capitis	5.82 ^a	6.08 ^b	6.04 ^a	6.29 ^b	5.93 ^a	6.15 ^b

There was a general tendency to higher probe values in free range pigs for all 3 weeks of the experiment, but the differences were only significant for 1. dorsi (week 2) and biceps femoris (week 3):

	Difference					
free	ra	nge	-	in	doors	
week	1	wee	k	2	week	3

b. femoris	+4.5	+7.4	+5.4*
1 dorsi	+4 8	+8 0*	-0.3

On the other hand pH₂-values with the exception of biceps femoris in week 2 were always lower in free range pigs:

		free ra	fference nge - week 2	indoors week 3
b.	femoris	-0.07*	-0.05	-0.12*
1.	dorsi	-0.10*	-0.09*	-0.09*
s.	capitis	-0.26*	-0.25*	-0.22*

The incidence of PSE- & DFD-meat confirmed the above (Table 3). There as slight tendency to more PSE-meat in free range pigs (most pronounced were week 2 where lairage times short). However, free range pigs showed very little DFD-meat depending on week as against 20-46% for pigs raised indoors).

Table 3. Incidence of low pH₁-values, PSE- & DFD-meat

Low pH₁: pH in biceps femoris and 1. dorsi $\langle 5.90 \rangle$ probe value in biceps femoris & 1. dorsi respectively ≥ 90 & ≥ 80 DFD: PH₂ in biceps femoris, 1. dorsi & semispinalis capitis respectively ≥ 5.90 , ≥ 5.90 & ≥ 6.30

Description	Week 1		Week 2		Week 3	
Description	Free range	Indoors	Free range	Indoors	Free range	Indoor
No. of pigs	15	15	29	28	42	40
Low pH ₁ -b.femoris	-	-	3.4	0	2.3	0
Low pH ₁ -1. dorsi	-	- 15	0	7.1	9.5	2.5
PSE-b. femoris	13.3	13.3	17.2	14.3	2.4	0
PSE-1. dorsi	0	0	10.3	0	0	0
PSE-pig	13.3	13.3	20.7	14.3	2.4	0
DFD - b. femoris	0	0	3.4	10.7	0	2.5
DFD - 1. dorsi	0	6.7	3.4	21.4	4.8	17.5
DFD - s. capitis	0	20.0	0	35.7	4.8	22.5
OFD - pig	0	20.0	3.4	46.4	9.5	30.0

pigs, when it did occur in free range pigs, was only moderate. It was much doors. The highest pH₂-value measured was thus 6.37 in free range pigs as against 7.07 for pigs raised indoors.

Table 3 also shows that PSE was mainly a problem in biceps femoris. As very few pigs showed low pH₁-values in this muscle, the higher PSE-frequency was not due to the pigs' heritable disportion. A closer examination of the most often occurred towards the centre of the ham.

DISCUSSION & CONCLUSIONS

rs

*

#

n"

25

Even though this was a preliminary experiment, a number of interesting observations have been obtained, which work.

The most important result is that free range pigs show lower pH2-values and a much lower DFD-incidence, irrespective of lairage time, than pigs raised indoors under moderately intensive conditions. The reason for this lies undoubtedly in the better condition of the free range pigs (they are more used to exercise) as well as their they rested more quickly without severe fighting.

However, the fact that pigs have higher energy levels at slaughter is known to predispose to PSE in those pigs with a heritable disposition for PSE (Nielsen, 1981). Free range pigs although it was not marked, probably known to have a low predisposition for PSE.

High energy reserves at slaughter seem to be especially unfavourable for ham muscles when chilling is mild (as at abattoir 1). The temperature at the centre of the ham will remain high, long enough for the critical pH-value for denaturation of proteins to be reached, even in hams with a slow pH-fall after slaughter. Slaughter at factory 2 (effective chilling), no feeding on the day of slaughter as well as a longer lairage time improved the situation - as expected - considerably.

Many of the Danish experiments carried out to improve pre-slaughter treatment from the point of view of welfare will lead to less exhaustion in animals, i.e. higher energy reserves at slaughter. Future work should take this fact into account, so that a better pre-slaughter treatment does not lead to a higher PSE-incidence.

REFERENCES

Barton-Gade, P.A. & E. Olsen (1987): Current Topics in Veterinary Medicine & Animal Science, Martinus Nijhoff Publishers. pp. 117-127

Nielsen N.J. (1981):

Proc. symp. "Porcine stress & meat quality - causes & possible solutions to the problems" Jeloy, Norway, pp. 287-297.