HEAT RESISTANCE OF THERMODURIC ENTEROCOCCI ISOLATED FROM FRANKFURTERS IN JAMAICA.

C.L. ANDRE GORDON* and M.H. AHMAD.

Dept. of Biochemistry and Microbiology, University of the West Indies, Mona, Kingston 7, Jamaica, W.I.

- Steeligs. Gal. panddTeredstafe Huo(1980) an Frinciples dar

stable, microbiologically safe newly isolated in during storage and frankfurtors frankfurters. Generally, frankfurters under investigation if the results are are cooked to an interval temperature of 68-72°C (Ockerman, 1989). At lower (Magnus et al., 1986). In addition/ cooking temperatures, the shelf life and cooking temperatures, the shelf life and there are few reports on the thermal reduced because a greater number of reduced because a greater number of frankfurters and, to our knowledge, no organisms survive the process. The organisms survive the process. The such report originating from a developing country. Hence, the objective organisms which survive the processing organisms which survive the processing of cured meat products (Deibel, 1964; Duitchaever, 1978: Chyr et al. 1991) of this study was to evaluate the heat resistance of freshly isolated entero Duitchaever, 1978; Chyr et al., 1981). However, high numbers of thermoduric meat-based menstrua and relate this to enterococci in cured meats indicate their occurrence on, and spoilage of inadequate processing (Bell and De Lacy, 1983). Both S. faecalis and S. faecium have been implicated in the spoilage of these products (Bell and De Lacy, 1984; Magnus et al., 1986). In addition, the enterococci may be etiological agents of food poisoning (Bryan, 1979). Hence proper care must be taken to avoid were used in this study. These isolates excessive numbers of enterococci in processed meat products.

The heat resistance of enterococci and the mechanisms by which they suffer heat injury and subsequently recover have been investigated by several researchers (Clarke et al., 1968; Duitchaever and Jordan, 1974; White, 1953) The and the subsequently recover at 4°C and revived in BHI broth at 37°C for 24 hours prior to use. Broth cultures were plated onto BHI agar, incubated a further 24 hours to check 1953). The heat resistance of microorganisms is usually expressed as Decimal Reduction Times (D-values). This is the time (in minutes) required to reduce the microbial population by 90 percent. Others have concentrated on the heat resistance of the enterococci in different menstrua or as affected by environmental factors (Sanz Perez et al., 1982; Magnus et al., 1988). Several

INTRODUCTION of these studies used isolates whose The heat processing operation is by mutations during storage and transfer. It is more desirable to use to be directly applicable to industry frankfurters.

à

Di

in (S) 1 10

in'

b

181

m

Dd

Iti 981

00 100

0.00

bri

ine

DU ete

Rel

Str:

one

Whi

100

exa:

60

the

bab

000

pla:

TUC.

Dac

13

523

10

aut

el:

hen

tae

eac 5 m

in .

equ the

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Organisms and Culture Conditions of Nine representative strains of enterococci from cooked frankfurters were identified as S. faecium according to Deibel (1964), and Gross et al. (1975). The isolates were maintained on incubated a further 24 hours to check for purity and single colonies subcultured unto BHI agar slopes. Cultures for heat resistance determinations were grown at 37°C for 18 hours in BHI broth overnight.

Determination of Heat Resistance In Broth Culture

A 10 ml aliquot of each exponentialphase culture was transferred to a 250

Erlenmeyer flask containing sterile ¹⁸5 beads and diluted with 0.1% ^{tone} water to give approximately 10⁷ us/ml. The culture was thoroughly ^{Nd-shaken} for 1 min to break up clumps Trigan and McCance, 1976), 5 ml Wots aseptically dispensed into ⁹rile screw-capped tubes (125 x 12mm) heated in a thermostatically Mtrolled water bath at $63^{\circ}C$ (± 0.5°C) * 60 min and 68°C (± 0.5°C) for 30 min. required 1 min for equilibration of "peratures inside and outside of the bes, as determined by a thermocouple erted into a control tube. At this e, a zero time sample was taken (Bell De Lacy, 1984) with another sample thdrawn at the end of the respective ating periods for each incubation Perature. The samples were cooled to and pre-poured BHI agar plates Oculated with suitable dilutions. tee plates per sample were used and ¹⁶⁸e were incubated at 37°C for 48 ³⁰Irs before the viable counts were ^{stermined.} This method was used to ³⁰Ine the heat resistance of all nine ³⁰Ine lese tains of <u>S. faecium</u> at 63°C and 68°C.

A more detailed study was done on the of the strains of <u>S</u>. <u>faecium</u>, DP2181 hich were randomly chosen. The heat desistance of <u>S</u>. <u>faecium</u> DP2181 was tramined at 55°C, 63°C, and 68°C for 90, o and 30 min intervals respectively. The sampling intervals used were 15, 10 and 5 min respectively and samples were coled to 20°C and serial dilutions lated on BHI agar. The plates were incubated at 37°C for 48 hours before acterial counts were estimated.

Frankfurter Emulsion

Commercially prepared raw icankfurter emulsion was placed into 50 beakers which were covered and utoclaved at 121°C for 15 min to liminate the natural flora. Expoential phase broth cultures of <u>S</u>. <u>aecium DP2181 (2 ml)</u> was pipetted into ach of 12 beakers, mixed thoroughly for in with a sterile spatula and placed of the water bath. The time required for equilibration of temperatures between the emulsion and the water bath was 4

1079801

min. At this point, a zero time sample was taken. Further samples were taken at 20, 40 and 60 min for the determination of heat resistance at 63°C, and 10, 20 and 30 min for samples heated at 68°C. For each treatment three beakers were used. The heated emulsion was cooled at 25°C and blended with 180 ml of 0.1% peptone water in a Waring blender for 2 min. Appropriate dilutions were plated on BHI agar. The experiment was repeated three times.

Estimation of D and z values

Survivor curves for S. faecium DP2181 in BHI broth and frankfurter emulsion at both heating temperatures were obtained by plotting the logarithm of the number of survivors against the sampling time. The D-values (Decimal Reduction Times) were obtained by linear regression analysis of the logarithmic portion of each curve. The D-values were plotted on a logarithmic scale against temperature to produce the thermal death time (TDT) curves. The z-value was derived from the absolute value of the inverse slope of the line obtained and is defined as the temperature interval (°C) required to reduce the D-value by a magnitude of ten.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The effects of both heat treatments on the nine strains of enterococci were similar in terms of number of survivors at the end of the heating period (Table 1). All of the strains were relatively thermoduric and most survived both temperatures in sufficient numbers (>10³ CFU/ml) to pose a threat to the shelf stability of a product if it was subjected to temperature abuse. The \underline{S} . faecium strain CF18 was the least heat tolerant while PF40 was the most heat resistant at both cooking temperatures (Table 1). The variation in heat tolerance between strains of S. faecium is not uncommon and Magnus et al. (1986) have also shown wide variations between S. faecium isolates.

<u>S.</u> <u>faecium</u> strain DP2181, a moderately heat resistance isolate, was

chosen for further, more detailed tail increased with increasing thermal studies of its thermal susceptibility in stress. broth and frankfurter emulsions. Figure 1 shows the survivor curves at 55°C, 63°C and 68°C for DP2181. The 55°C survivor heat resistance of the bacterial curve was irregular in shape, deviating population being examined. from the expected logarithmic order of logarithmic curves were obtained under this death (Jay, 1986). There were initial and terminal slow periods of death with the latter being very pronounced. There was no substantial reduction in the bacterial population and the D-value of the logarithmic portion of the curve which was 105.63 mm (Table 2).

Duitchaever and Jordan (1974) reported a 2-log reduction for a \underline{S} . faecium strain after being heated at 55°C for 30 min. However, Magnus et al. (1986) found that very little cell death occurred at 55°C for three strains of S. faecium which agrees with the results reported here. They further observed that S. faecium E-20, a strain which had been shown to be highly heat resistant in earlier studies, had lost much of its heat tolerance. Several other factors besides storage of the isolates may contribute to differences in heat tolerance between S. faecium strains. These include the inherent heat resistance of the enterococcal strain, cultivation prior to and after heating and the method of determining heat tolerance.

In contrast to heating at 55°C, a sharp decline in the number of survivors was observed for DP2181 in the BHI broth at 63°C (Fig. 1). Again, the survivor curve was non-logarithmic and a resistant "tail" of organisms with a D₆₃ value of 24.39 min was observed. The D_{63} value for the initial (log) portion of the curve was 9.36 (Table 2). A 4-log reduction in total bacterial numbers was obtained at this time/temperature combination. The survivor curve for DP2181 in BHI broth at 68°C showed a 3log fall within the first 10 min after which a resistant tail was again evident (Fig. 1). The D₆₈ value was 3.34 min for the primary logarithmic portion and 47.62 min for the tail (Table 2). It therefore appears that the thermal resistance of the organisms forming the

The shape of the survival curves provides insightful information about all three heating conditions in this study. These deviations are indicative of the heterogeneity of the test organ ism with respect to thermal suscept ibility (White, 1953). Hansen and Reiman (1963) suggested that the initial lag in the death rate (shoulders) may indicate bacterial clumping or chain formation resulting in a temporary increase heat stability, since only when the last organism in the clump or chain destroyed will reductions in viable cell count be charted at the state of the count be observed. They also suggested that the initial lags in death rate might be related to the extent of cell damage which call damage which cells can endure before losing their ability to recover. While this latter this latter suggestion may be relevant to our results, care was taken destroy any chains or clumps formed prior to evaluating the thermotolerance of DP2181 (200 mit the thermotolerance) of DP2181 (see materials and methods) This reduces the probability that the formation of aggregates or chains in have resulted in abnormalities

Deviations from the logarithmic order of death is a relatively frequent occurrence among microorganisms (Blankenship and Craven, 1982; Verrips and van Rhee, 1983; Terrips and has and van Rhee, 1983; Jay, 1986), and has been previously the state of t been previously reported for the enteror cocci (White, 1953; Sanz Perez et al. 1982; Bell and DeLacy, 1984; Magnus al., 1986) Vorumeter, 1984; Magnus al., 1986). Magnus et al. (1986) reported tailing of the state of the reported tailing of the survivor Curves but only at the survivor 5600 but only at temperatures between $h^{a v \theta}$ and 58°C. However, other studies $h^{a v \theta}$ found the consistent appearance of resistant tail for the studies of a resistant tail for <u>S</u>. <u>faecium</u> strains at temperatures resci. temperatures ranging from 62°C to De (Sanz Perez et al (Sanz Perez et al., 1982; Bell and perez et al., 1982; Bell and pay Lacy, 1984). This tailing phenomena may be due to marine tailing phenomena be due to variations in the thermal resistance of the thermal resistance of the cells at different stages of coll at cells at different stages of cell division (Bell and 10 rel) Lacy, 1984) or the emergence of Cell[#]







thin the population with membrane tructures which enhance their thermoolerance (Duitchaever and Jordan, 974). Regardless of the exact schanism, the heating process acts to slect the most thermoduric organism from within a given population and this slective pressure increases with the sverity of the heat process. This sults in the apparent increase in heat sistance of the tail organisms when sated at higher temperatures (Fig. 1).

S. faecium DP2181 demonstrated Reater thermal resistance at 63°C and 8°C in frankfurter emulsion than BHI "roth (Table 2). There was a 2-log and log reduction in the number of survi-Ors in the emulsion heated at 63°C and 68°C respectively, compared to an Pproximately 4-log reduction for both emperatures in the BHI broth (Fig. 2). resistant tail of organisms was ⁸vident in the 68°C curve as had been Oserved in the broth. However, this Cail was absent at 63°C and was replaced by a slight "shoulder". The D₆₃ value for 02181 in the emulsion was 23.53 min. Table 2) or about 2.5 times greater than in the broth, while the D₆₈ value for the logarithmic section of the curve Increased to 6.99 min.

The protective effect of more Complex heating menstrua is well documented (Bell and De Lacy, 1984; Magnus et al., 1986, 1988). It has been ^{8uggested} that this is due to the Protective effect of extracellular Protein (Verrips and Van Rhee, 1983) or the ability of solutes to reduce the Water activity in the immediate environment of the cells, thereby increasing their heat resistances (Verrips and Van Rhee, 1983; Magnus et al., 1986). Heat absorption by the solid Components of the menstrua (in our case Meat particles) and therefore concurrent reduction in thermal transmission to the Cells must also be considered.

The z-value for <u>S</u>. <u>faecium</u> DP2181 Was calculated from a plot of the \log_{10} Of the D-values (obtained at each temperature) against temperature. The z-Value obtained was 8.56 which exceeds the value of 5.56 which is typical of

vegetative cells (Lovett et al., 1982) and therefore indicates the thermoduric nature of the cells. It compared favourably with those reported by Magnus et al., (1986 and 1988). The z-value is thought to be an indication of the organisms' heat sensitivity and is often used to determine time-temperature relationships in thermal process calculations (Jay, 1986). However, zvalues often do not accurate-ly reflect the heat resistance of tail organisms and hence, it has been suggested that the D-values of these organisms be used to ensure a greater margin of safety in processing cured meat products (Magnus et al., 1986, 1988).

Frankfurters which are cooked to an internal temperature of at least 68°C should have microbial loads of no more than 10^2-10^4 CFU/gm (Palumbo et al., 1974). High enterococcal counts on freshly cooked products may be due to the use of a process which does not adequately destroy the more thermoduric cells. Since only undamaged cells were enumerated in this study because no intermediate recovery stage was used, then cells likely to recover during storage were excluded. Hence, it is likely that the number of surviving organisms found, while indicative of the heat tolerance of the S. faecium strain, does not represent the absolute population which would eventually persist in the packaged product. Further, it should be noted that contamination of uncooked frankfurters with psychrotrophs may increase the thermal tolerance of S. faecium species (Karneke and Marth, 1986). One may therefore infer that the heat resistance reported here could be considerably higher in real meat systems. This could have grave implica-tions for the shelf life of the product. L replevicencerd to epsiloga

SUMMARY

Both treatments resulted in a 3-4 log decline in bacterial numbers for the nine <u>S. faecium</u> strains tested. The survivor curves for <u>S. faecium</u> DP2181 deviated from the logarithmic order of

death at all three heating temperatures. A resistant tail of organisms was observed at 63 and 68°C. The survival of DP2181 was enhanced in frankfurter emulsion. Our results indicate that heating frankfurter emulsions at 68°C for 30 min, which is a more severe heat treatment than is normally applied, can leave a residual enterococcal flora of greater than 10,000 CFU/gm. This residual flora is due to a population of highly thermoduric organisms which are part of the normal flora. Consequently, processors must ensure that an adequate heat process based upon the most heat resistant contaminants of the raw products is applied. in order to guarantee a shelf stable, safe product.

REFERENCES

Bell, R.G. and DeLacy, K.M. 1983. A note on the identity and properties of the spoilage microflora of chub-packed luncheon meat stored at ambient temperature. Can. J. Micribiol. 29: 1220.

Bell, R.G. and DeLacy, K.M. 1984. Heat injury and recovery of <u>Streptococcus</u> <u>feacium</u> associated with the souring of chub-packed luncheon meat. J. Appl. Bact. 57: 229.

Blankenship, L.C. and Craven, S.E. 1982. <u>Campylobacter jejuni</u> survival in chicken as a function of temperature. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 44: 88.

Bryan, F.L. 1979. Infection and intoxication caused by other bacteria. In "Food-borne infection and intoxication, 2nd ed." Reimann, H. and Bryan, F.L. (eds), p 212-297, Academic Press, N.Y.

Chyr, C., Walker, H.W., Sebranek, J.G. 1981. Bacteria associated with the spoilage of braunschweiger. J. Food Sci. 46: 468.

Clarke, C.W., Witter, L.D. Ordal, Z.L. 1968. Thermal injury and recovery of <u>Streptococcus faecalis</u>. Appl. Microbiol. 16: 1764.

Deibel, R.H. 1964. The group D streptococci. Bacteriol. Rev. 28: 330. Duitchaever, C.L. 1978.

Bacteriological evaluation frankfurters in the Canadian retail market. J. Food Protect. 41: 770. J. Duitchaever, C.L., Jordan, D.C. 1974 and Development of resistance to heat and Ver sodium chloride in <u>Streptococcus faecium</u> recovering from thermal injury. J. Milk Food Technol. 37: 382. Gross, K.C., Haughton, M.P., Senterfit L.B. 1975. Presumptive speciation of Streptococcus bovis and other group D streptococci from human sources by using arginine and pyruvate tests. J. Clin. Microbiol. 1: 54. Hansen, N.H., Reiman, H. 1963. Factors St affecting the heat resistance of non sporing organisms. J. Appl Bacteriol' 26: 314. 1976. Harrigan, W.F., M^cCance, M.E. Laboratory methods in food and dairy CF microbiology. Academic Press Inc. Ltd. CR London, U.K. food CF Jay, J.M. 1986. Modern microbiology, 3rd ed. Van Nostrand PF Rheinhold, New York. Heat PF Karneke, J.L., Marth, E.H. 1986. resistance of <u>Streptococcus</u> feacium var PR Casseliflavus in skim milk cultures with Pr Food DE Pseudomonas fluorescens. J. Protect. 49: 541. Lovett, J, Bradshaw, J.G., Peeler, J.T. g 1982. Thermal inactivation of Yersinia fı enterocolitica in milk. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 44: 517. Magnus, C.A., Ingledew, W.M., M^cCurdy, of A.R. 1986. Thermal resistance streptococci isolated from pasteurized ham. Can. Inst Food Sci. Technol. J. 19: H 62. Magnus, C.A., Ingledew, W.M., M^cCurdy, A.R. 1988. Further studies on the B thermal resistance of <u>Streptococcus</u> faecium and Streptococcus faecalis B pasteurized ham. Can. Inst Food Sci. Technol. J. 21: 209. Ockerman, H.W. 1989. Frankfurter. B

"Sausage and processed meat formulations", p. 169-192. Van Nostrand Reinhold, NY. Palumbo, S.A., Huhtanen, C.N., Smith, the

F

E

F

E

9

J.L. 1974. Microbiology of the frankfurter process. Salmonella and natural aerobic flora. Appl. Microbiol 27: 724. 1974. 1982. Heat resistance of 45: 1. t and Interococci. Milchwissenchaft 37: 724. White, White, White, t and Verrips, T., van Rhee, R. 1983. Effect of Stree Milk

of anz Perez, B., Lopez Lorenzo, P. of egg yolk and salt on <u>Micrococcus</u> heat resistance. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. White, H.R. 1953. The heat resistance of Streptococcus faecalis. J. Gen

Microbiol. 8: 27.

		FROM FRANKFURTER		
			and cood at mi	me T
trains ^a	L	og ₁₀ Counts/ml at 63 (1	min)	THE I
-191119	es la coma D inte	t Baad off with heads in		000
	63°C		68°C	
	T = 0	T = 60	T = 0	T = 30
posoine (maglif	7.62	2.74	7.82	2.43
F10	7.62	4.19	7.19	4.03
F22	7.31		7.42	3.92
F34	7.29	4.09	7.38	3.97
1.32	7.36	3.99	7.21	2.81
F7	7.48	2.85	7.01	3.72
F10	7.20	3.87	7.23	3.64
1.13	7.33	3.74		4.43
F40	7.45	4.52	7.31 7.61	3.77
P2181	7.49	3.78	1.01	time. Cretter
rankfurters; P	PF - isolated	eg DP2181; CF - l from packaged fran	nkrurters.	
rankfurters; P	PF - isolated	eg DP2181; CF - l from packaged fran <u>DUCTION TIMES FOR S</u> <u>AND FRANKFURTER EM</u>	. FAECIUM DP218	
rankfurters; P	PF - isolated	DUCTION TIMES FOR S	. FAECIUM DP218 ULSION	
rankfurters; P <u>TABLE 2</u>	PF - isolated	I from packaged fram DUCTION TIMES FOR S AND FRANKFURTER EM TEMPERATURE	AFRICITORS.	<u>31 IN BROTH</u> D-value
TABLE 2 TABLE 2 TABLE 2 TABLE 2 TABLE 2	PF - isolated	I from packaged fram <u>DUCTION TIMES FOR S</u> <u>AND FRANKFURTER EM</u> <u>TEMPERATURE</u> (°C) 55	ARTUFTERS.	<u>B1 IN BROTH</u> D-value (min)
TABLE 2 TABLE 2 TABLE 2 TABLE 2	<pre>PF - isolated . DECIMAL RE</pre>	d from packaged fram <u>DUCTION TIMES FOR S</u> <u>AND FRANKFURTER EM</u> TEMPERATURE (°C)	ARTUFTERS.	D-value (min) 105.63
TABLE 2 <u>TABLE 2</u> EATING MEDIUM	<pre>PF - isolated . DECIMAL RE</pre>	I from packaged fram <u>DUCTION TIMES FOR S</u> <u>AND FRANKFURTER EM</u> <u>TEMPERATURE</u> (°C) 55	AFTURTERS.	D-value (min) 105.63 9.36 (24.39) ^a
TABLE 2 TABLE 2 TABLE 2 TABLE 2 TABLE 2 TABLE 2 TABLE 2	<pre>PF - isolated . DECIMAL RE</pre>	I from packaged fran <u>DUCTION TIMES FOR S</u> <u>AND FRANKFURTER EMI</u> <u>TEMPERATURE</u> (°C) 55 63 68	AFRICITORS.	D-value (min) 105.63 9.36 (24.39) ^a 3.34
TABLE 2 TABLE 2 TABLE 2 TABLE 2 TABLE 2 TABLE 2 TABLE 2 TABLE 2 TABLE 2 TABLE 2	<pre>PF - isolated . DECIMAL RE</pre>	1 from packaged fran <u>DUCTION TIMES FOR S</u> <u>AND FRANKFURTER EMI</u> <u>TEMPERATURE</u> (°C) 55 63 68 68 63	ARTUITEERS.	D-value (min) 105.63 9.36 (24.39) ^a 3.34 (47.62)
TABLE 2 TABLE 2 TABLE 2 TABLE 2 TABLE 2 TABLE 2 TABLE 2 TABLE 2 TABLE 2 TABLE 2	<pre>PF - isolated . DECIMAL RE</pre>	I from packaged fran <u>DUCTION TIMES FOR S</u> <u>AND FRANKFURTER EMI</u> <u>TEMPERATURE</u> (°C) 55 63 68	ARTUITEERS.	D-value (min) 105.63 9.36 (24.39) ^a 3.34 (47.62)

a - D-value of "tail" phase organisms.