Influence of age, strain and breeding method on the eating quality of broiler chickens

<sup>1</sup>A. BASTIAENS, <sup>1</sup>C. DEROANNE, <sup>1</sup>G. CARLETTI and <sup>2</sup>R. ZAYAN

l.Centre Agronomique de Recherche sur la Viande (I.R.S.I.A.)

 $\mathbb{F}_{\operatorname{acult\acutee}}$  des Sciences Agronomiques , B-5030 Gembloux, Belgium

<sup>2.</sup> Centre d'Ethologie des Animaux Domestiques, B-1325 Corroy-Le-Grand, Belgium

## SUMMARY

thin

8100

1dr80 r Da

ne sh

SSIN

s DFT

thes.

ion

r sh?

tali

uali

arcia 1Ce

sting

. nel

pre

-190

rrit

2700

ROSS and COU NU d'Aquitaine broiler chickens The feeding was the same for both groups. grown on pasture and in confinement.

The chickens were commercially killed at three ages : 6 weeks, 9 weeks and 12 weeks.

They were frozen for at least 2 weeks at - 20°C. The breast meat and leg meat was analysed for the chemical <sup>Composition</sup>, the juice losses, the color and the tenderness assessed by the shear forces and by a taste panel.  $R_{esults}$  obtained indicate that :

l. The age has a great influence on the juice losses and the tenderness.

<sup>2</sup> The strain has a great influence on the growing rate and on the yield of meat.

After 9 weeks the growing of the ROSS strain isn't, economically, interesting anymore. <sup>3</sup>. The growing on pasture doesn't improve the eating quality of broiler chickens.

# INTRODUCTION

In West Europe, most of the people are convinced that a chicken growing on pasture gives a better meat. On the other hand, the breeder looks for the best yield, with high growing rates and low consumption index. The purpose of our study was to compare the eating quality of chickens growing in freedom and in "cloistering", all a all the other criteria being identical.

Those comparisons were carried out with two strains (ROSS and COU NU d'Aquitaine) and for three slave slaughtering ages (6-9 and 12 weeks of age). MATERIALS and METHODS

We have Used a strain with rapid growth (ROSS) and a strain with slow growth (COU NU d'Aquitaine). The chickens of each strain were divided in two groups. Both group of 50 chickens disposed of an indoor space of  $6.50 \times 2$  $^{6.50}$  m<sup>2</sup> (7.7 chickens/m<sup>2</sup>) but only one of them had an access to an outdoor run of 100 m<sup>2</sup> (2 m<sup>2</sup>/chicken). The feeding had the following characteristics.

dge	0-5 weeks	5-10 weeks	10-12weeks
ude protein (%)	20.70	18.40	16.30
cal/Kg)	2920	2920	3000

The chickens were commercially killed, eviscerated and frozen at - 20°C for two weeks at least 12 chickens each group were kept for the laboratory analyses.

Sample preparation

After thawing at 4°C for 24 H, the chickens were dissected in order to estimate the yield of meat, skin, bone and abdominal fat (ARNAUD et al, 1975).

Eight chickens were used for the laboratory determinations. The physico-chemical determinations were carried out on the rightside and the shear forces measurements, on the left side. Four chickens of each group,  $ag^{ed}$ 12 weeks, were selected for the taste panel.

Physi-cochemical determinations

The breast meat and leg meat were first ground with a house grinder during 20 seconds. The following determinations were made on this ground meat :

- the dry matter, obtained by weighting of 5 g of meat before and after drying at 105°C during 96 H.
- the metmyoglobine content determined with the method of HARRISON (1980)
- the total protein content (total nitrogen content x 6.25)
- the total collagen content (total hydroxyprolin content x 8)
- the total lipids
- the juice losses determined by weighting before and after centrifugation at 35.000 g  $d\sigma^{ing}$ 30 minutes.

Shear forces evaluation

The breast meat and leg meat were vacuum packed and cooked at 75°C during 60 min. After chilling at room temperature, 1 cm cores were cutted parallel with the fibers. Each sample was sheared across the fibers using the Warner-Bratzler Meat Shear on the Universal Testing Machine, model 1140(Instron Ltd).

Crosshead speed and chart speed were 50 mm/min and 100 mm/min respectively.

## Sensory evaluation

A trained panel of 8 members of the Departement of Food Technology evaluated the tenderness of breast meat and leg meat (0 = very tender, 10 = very tough).

The samples were cooked and cutted as for the shear forces evaluation.

**Statistical analysis** 

Data were analysed statistically by a three-way analysis of variance to determine the significance of difference among age, strain and breeding method.

**RESULTS and DISCUSSION** 

Carcass characteristics

The tables 1 et 2 show that the breeding method has no influence on the carcass weight and on the yields.

Table1: Carcass characteristics : results

ns of

and

Tied ed of

wing

ing

0001 ing

reat

DCE

	COI	UNU	ROSS		
	Pasture	Cloistering	Pasture	Cloistering	
arcass 6w	492.25	456,38	722,00	566,75	
leight 9w	1034,25	879,25	1505,00	1461,50	
g) 12w	1235,62	1320,38	1686,50	1926,62	
eat 6 w	57,95	56,33	56,62	56,16	
9 w	61,12	59,60	62,62	63,68	
12 w	63,16	63,63	62,40	64,62	
bdominal Fat 6 w	0,95	0,94	1,58	1,45	
9 w	1,46	1,26	2,18	2,05	
12 w	1,23	1,23	2,91	3,00	

The weight of the COU NU strain regulary increases since 6 weeks for 12 weeks. Between 6 and 9 weeks, the ROSS strain has a faster growth whereas the growing rate slows down after 9 weeks.

The <sup>yield</sup> of meat offers the same evolution. These results display that the growing of the ROSS strain isn't <sup>interesting</sup> anymore after 9 weeks.

The percentage of fat doesn't change a lot for the COU NU strain, whereas it rapidly increases after 9 weeks by the b the ROSS strain.

Table 2: Carcass characteristics ( Degree of Freedom : D.F.; No Significant : N.S.) : statistical analysis

Variation factors	D.F.	Carcass weight	% Meat	% Fat
Strain (S) Breeding (B) Age (A)		*** N.S. ***	N.S. N.S.	*** N.S. ***
SXA	1	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
BXA	2	***	*	
Physi	2	*	N.S.	N.S.
	2	*#*	N.S.	N.S.

sico-chemical analysis

The table 4 shows that the breeding method doesn't affect the chemical parameters.  $W_{Po}$ 

 $W_{e_{can}}$  note for the 2 groups that the leg meat is fatter and contain less protein than the breast meat (Table 3). The definition of the protein that the leg meat is fatter and contain less protein that the breast meat

The dry matter is similar but the collagen content is less important for the breast meat. The ROSS strain has a stable dry matter with the slaughtering age, but it increase between 6 and 9 weeks for the COLLY. COU NU strain.

The fat content of the leg meat decrease with the slaughtering age, this diminution isn't so important for the breast breast meat. The ROSS strain has a fatter meat than the COU NU strain. The The protein content increase more rapidly between 6 and 9 weeks for the ROSS strain.

			COU	NU		ROSS			
		Past	Pasture		ering	Pastu	re	e Cloiste	
		breast	leg	breast	leg	breast	leg	breast	le
Dry matter (%)	6w 9 w 12 w	24,28 26,04 25,68	23,31 23,82 23,74	24,69 25,25 25,60	23,66 23,62 23,46	25,62 25,74 26,02	24,55 24,51 24,62	25,72 25,63 25,68	25, 24, 24,
Lipids (% D.M.)	6 w 9 w 12 w	4,74 4,05 3,47	11,77 10,43 8,93	4,85 4,07 3,36	12,24 11,16 9,40	4,79 4,46 4,52	15,45 13,30 13,86	4,31 4,32 4,16	17; 13; 12;
Protein (% D.M.)	6 w 9 w 12 w	96,00 95,24 96,37	87,91 88,50 90,69	95,23 95,92 96,98	86,03 88,71 89,94	94,28 95,80 96,11	83,46 86,52 85,96	95,37 95,22 96,34	81. 85. 87.
Collagen (% D.M.)	6 w 9 w 12 w	1,99 1,80 1,94	6.22 6,45 7,01	2,05 1,94 2,06	5,92 6,84 6,25	1.86 1.65 1.72	6,71 5,57 5,60	1,40 1,65 1,70	5.4 5.2 5.9

Table3: Physico-chemical characteristics : results

Table 4: Physico-chemical characteristics : statistical analysis

Variation factors	D.F.	D.M. %	Lipids/ D.M. %	Proteins/ D.M. %	Coll./ D.M.%	Metmyogl.
Strain (S)	1	***	***	***	**	***
Breeding (B)	1	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
Age (A)	2	(Ban)	***	***	N.S.	水南水
Part (P)	1	***	***	***	A 141 A	***
SXB	1	N.S.	N.S	N.S.	N.S.	N.S
SXA	2	**	N.S.	*	N.S.	*
BXA	2	***	N.S.	**	N.S.	N.S.
SXP	1	非非非	***	***	N.S.	N.S.
BXP	1	N.S.	N.S.	**	N.S.	N.S.
AXP	2	***	***	***	N.S.	***

For the chickens growing in cloistering, the increase of the protein content is rapider. The protein content varies a little in breast meat, whereas it progressively increases in the leg meat with the slaughtering age. It's interesting to note that the protein content and the fat content evolue to opposite sides.

The metmyoglobine content (table5) of the bread meat doesn't vary a lot whereas it progressively increases with the slaughtering age for the log most the slaughtering age for the leg meat.

40

The metmyoglobine content is higher for the ROSS strain, in fact they have a pinker meat.

The breast meat losses more juice than the leg meat, but the juice losses decrease with the slaughtering age.

Table 5: Physico-chemical analysis : results

			COU NU				ROSS			
		Past	Pasture		Cloistering		Pasture		ering	
olor		breast	leg	breast	leg	breast	leg	breast	leg	
	6w 9w 12w	8,15 6,49 7,44	19,25 20,62 21,28	9,21 7,02 7,52	20,34 11,83 22,02	9,50 7,86 8,33	18,98 22,19 23,42	8,88 8,29 11,14	20,35 20,24 23,78	
ce	6 w 9 w 12 w	18,05 14,47 11,29	11,64 8,96 6,83	15,16 11,83 8,81	10,44 5,74 9,87	19,37 14,01 9,61	9,72 5,61 4,76	15,20 15,85 10,56	6,06 10,28 6,04	
d. (N) ar Test	6 w 9 w 12 w	15,17 16,20 17,48	12,68 13,62 16,02	15,82 12,52 15,63	14,35 11,12 17,97	15,24 14,91 16,86	13,08 12,41 11,97	15,12 14,49 16,62	12,50 14,51 13,77	
ud. Is. anal.	12 w	3,18	5,81	5,17	7,10	4,86	4,36	3,17	4,08	

26 35 38

12 15 10

10 15 12

3

 $\beta_{ebweeen 6}$  and 9 weeks, the reduction of juice losses is more important for the chickens growing on pasture. Tenderness

a) Shear forces evaluation

It's delicate to explain this results (table 6) because there's many triple interactions. So, we must carefuly

For the breast meat, there are no difference between the two strains, but the legs of the ROSS chickens are lightly tougher.

It's suprising to note that there's no tenderness difference between the leg meat of chickens growing on pasture and is and in confinement. But the breasts of chickens growing on pasture is more tough. Table 6: Tenderness : shear force evaluation

variation factors	D.F.	Tenderness	Variation	D.F.	Tenderness
Breeding (B)	1	N.S.	BXP	1	
Age (A)	1	**	AXP	2	**
Part (P)	2	***	SXBXA	2	***
SXB	1	非非非	SXBXP	1	**
SXA	1	N.S.	SXAXP	2	**
BXA	2	非非非	BXAXP	2	N.S.
AXP	2	赤南南	SXBXAXP	2	*

b) Sensory evaluation

At 12 weeks, there's a great tenderness difference between the two strains. The COU NU strain's clearly prof tough than the ROSS strain.

Globally, the breeding method doesn't influence the tenderness however there's a strong interaction between the strain and the breeding method. In fact the two strains don't react alike. By the COU NU strain, the chicker bred in cloistering are clearly tougher. Whereas, by the ROSS strain, the chickens growing on pasture tougher. The jury find the leg meat harder than the breast meat.

.

N.S.

\*\*

444

N.S.

N.S.

N.S.

Tenderness Variation factors D.F. Tenderness Variation factors D.F. \*\*\* 7 Strain (S) 1 BXJ Breeding (B) 1 N.S. PXJ 7 Part (P) 1 \*\*\* SXBXP 1 7 \*\* Judge (J) SXBXJ 6 \*\*\* SXB SXPXJ 6 1 SXP 1 \*\*\* BXPXJ 7 \*\* SXJ 6 SXBXPXJ 6 BXP N.S. 1

Table 7 - Tenderness : sensory evaluation

## CONCLUSIONS

In connection with that we haven't note more physical activity by the chickens with access to an outdoor run the other hand, the slaughtering age is a very important for the more physical activity by the chickens with access to an outdoor run the state of the state the other hand, the slaughtering age is a very important factor. The meat of older animals is effectively less (the juice losses is weaker) and firmer

The strain's choice is primordial. If we want to keep chickens to 12 or 13 weeks, it's preferable to choise a strain with slow growth (COU NU d'Aquitaine). In fact ofter 0 with slow growth (COU NU d'Aquitaine). In fact after 9 weeks, the ROSS strain isn't economically interesting

We think that's possible to produce a high-grade chicken in standart conditions. The principal factors, and the element of the strain and the strain as a strain a determine the meat quality, are the strain and the slaughtering age. DEROANNE et al. (1983), LYON et al. (1984) and TOURAILLE et al. (1981) have led to the strain and the slaughtering age.

Some efforts realise to steady perfect breeding conditions, can be dasked by wrong slaughtering and distribution distribution (ARNAUD M. et al, 1976).

## REFERENCES

ARNAUD M., JACQUET B., DE BARBUAT G., and PARAIRE M. (1975): Essai de recherche d'une mesure objective pour caractériser la viande de volaille. Lère pour la la de traine de volaille de v objective pour caractériser la viande de volaille, lère partie. Industries Alimentaires et Agricoles : 1377-1361.

ARNAUD M., JACQUET B., DE BARBUAT G., and PARAIRE M. (1976): Essai de recherche d'une mesure objective pour caractériser la viande de volaille 2ème pour car objective pour caractériser la viande de volaille, 2ème partie. Industries Alimentaires et Agricoles : 31-39.

DEROANNEC., CASTERMANT B. et DESPONTIN J.-Ph. (1983) : Influence des conditions d'élevage sur la Wale. VI. Scorposium, 17 - 20 mai 1983, Ploufagran -<sup>Qu</sup>NEC., CASTERMANT B. et DESPONTING. TR. (1966) - Influence - Aller - Aller

niore

n the

kens

are

555

On , dr)

rain till

who t al.

tion

We

are

HARRISON A.R., KROPF D.H., ALLEN D.M., HUNT M.C. and KASTNER C.L. (1980): Relationships of speed <sup>spectrophotometric reflectance measurements to beef muscle visual color. J. Food. Sc. <u>45</u>: 1052-1053.</sup>

LYON C.E. and HAMM D. (1984): Effects of age and sex on the texture profile of hot stripped broiler breast meat. Poultry Sci. <u>63</u>: 2508-2510.

<sup>TOURAILLE</sup> C., KOPPJ., VALIN C. and RICARD F.H. (1981): Qualité du poulet 1. Influence de l'áge et de la Vitese. et mapoleptiques de la viande. Arch. Vitesse de croissance sur les caractéristiques physico-chimiques et organoleptiques de la viande. Arch. Geflügelk. 45: 69-76.