topping of pigs with a high pressure waterjet E. LAMBOOIJ

ce

sec

sed

е

Ŋ

1 tion

inder

ice

05"

top p oved

s the

and

oup

e

cal

50

dr

Research Institute for Animal Production, P.O.Box 501, 3700 AM Zeist , The Netherlands

A pump apparatus designed to produce a high pressure waterjet (1000 bar; 17 1/min) was used in pilot ^{typeriments} which were conducted to examine its potential use as a stunning method in slaughter pigs. three intact heads of pigs, obtained early post mortem, the nozzle of the pistol was positioned frontally on the head and at 10 cm distance. The waterjet was applied for 3 and 1 s. The diameter of the drilled hole in the Whith and skull was less than 0.5 mm, while the dura mater was not visually damaged. The time needed to drill

through the skin and skull was 0.2 to 0.4 s.

Judged from the EEG recordings all 10 slaughter pigs were stunned immediately after application of the wa-^{terjet} during 1 s. Seven pigs were fully relaxed after the treatment, two pigs showed weak and one pig some It is suggested that application of the high pressure waterjet causes damage to the brain which induces imme-^{Auggested} that application of the high processibly further developed into a practical stunning method.

TRODUCTION: In history, farm animals have long been killed without stunning or stunned by a blow on the head. Auting the 19th century concern for animal welfare had grown and these methods were considered as unsatisfacto-^{ty} C^{ap}tive bold stunning was introduced at the end of the 19th century (FAHRBACH, 1948), electrical stunning at the the end of the twenties (MÜLLER, 1929) and CO₂-stunning in the fifties (WERNBERG, 1978) of the present century. All these stunning methods have disadvantages both from the welfare and meat quality point of view. A correct Post. ^{se stunning methods have disadvantages both from the worker ^{bositioning} of the captive bolt pistol in pigs is difficult to realize and debleeding and shackling are diffi-} ^{Aufng} of the captive bolt pistol in pigs 1s difficult to forme ^{bolt to} performe because of the convulsions which occur. The method cannot be recommended for general use. Al-^{Performe} because of the convulsions which occur. The meaner ^{Nough,} ^{Certain} electrical and CO₂-stunning methods are acceptable from the welfare point of view, neither is ^{Netforme} Perfect (LAMBOOY, 1990). Haemorrhages in muscles of carcasses of slaughter pigs were observed after the intro-(LAMBOOY, 1990). Haemorrhages in muscles of carcasses of the same extend of electrical stunning (ANTHONY, 1932). These haemorrhages were not only observed after electrical stun-^{Nor} electrical stunning (ANTHONY, 1932). These naemorrages Aut also after concussion, captive bolt and GUZ-stunning methods electrical stunning caused most beam same locations. Compared with the last mentioned stunning methods electrical stunning caused most beam of the same locations. Compared with the last mentioned stunning methods electrical stunning caused most be the same locations. Compared with the last mentioned stumming mentioned (KIRTON et al, 1981; BURSON et al, ⁴¹, 1983).

¹^o improve animal welfare and meat quality better stunning methods should be developed. A very high pressure there Waterjet Which is applied during miliseconds is in development (LEUENBERGER, 1989; SCHATZMANN et al, 1990). A Pressure waterjet for cutting and drilling in solid materials became recently available, which may be suit-to examine the posibility of a high pressuthe water of animals. The aim of these pilot experiments was to examine the posibility of a high pressu-^{te Waterjet} for the stunning of slaughter pigs.

2:15

MATERIALS AND METHODS: A transportable pump apparatus, designed to produce a high pressure waterjet (Mourik b.v., Rotterdam), was used in the experiments. The pressure of 1050 bar was applied via tubes to a fixed Pistol The nozzle of the pistol had an output opening of 1 mm and allows cutting and drilling with the waterjet. When in operation 17 1 water/min. was realised from the nozzle.

In a slaughterhouse 3 heads of pigs were decapitated directly after debleeding. The heads were fixed on a table, while the pistol was fixed in a stand frontally of the heads. The nozzle was positioned on the skin in the first 2 heads, while the waterjet was applied during 1 and 3 s, respectively. In the third head, the nozzle was positioned at a distance of 10 cm from the skin, while the application time was 1 s. The whole procedure we recorded on video, which was analised after the experiment.

In the second pilot experiment the pump apparatus was placed outside the slaughterhouse. For stunning the animals the pistol was fixed in a stand frontally to the head of the pig. The waterjet was applied during 1 stand frontally to the head of the pig. The waterjet was applied during 1 stand frontally to the head of the pig. The waterjet was applied during 1 stand frontally to the head of the pig. The waterjet was applied during 1 stand frontally to the head of the pig. The waterjet was applied during 1 stand frontally to the head of the pig. The waterjet was applied during 1 stand frontally to the head of the pig. The waterjet was applied during 1 stand frontally to the head of the pig. The waterjet was applied during 1 stand frontally to the head of the pig. The waterjet was applied during 1 stand frontally to the head of the pig. The waterjet was applied during 1 stand frontally to the head of the pig. The waterjet was applied during 1 stand frontally to the head of the pig. The waterjet was applied during 1 stand frontally to the head of the pig. The waterjet was applied during 1 stand frontally to the head of the pig. The waterjet was applied during 1 stand frontally to the head of the pig. The waterjet was applied during the experiment. Before stunning the pigs were restrained on a double rail. In the last 5 pigs iron electrodes for record ding the EEG (electro-encephalogram) and ECG (electro-cardiogram) were attached to the head and body of the mals. The electrodes were placed 2 cm lateral on both sides of the sagittal suture and 3 cm caudal a line estimate the same height on the back. The earth needle electrode was placed behind the elbow and one at the same height on the back. The earth needle electrode was placed behind the animal was monitored by the min after stunning. Both recordings were analysed afterwards. The behaviour of the animal was monitored by the form the back are analysed afterwards. The behaviour of the animal was monitored by the back are analysed afterwards. The behaviour of the ani

The day after slaughter the shoulders were derinded, deboned and scored for haemorrhages according the method described by LAMBOOY & SYBESMA (1988).

RESULTS: In the first experiment the waterjet cut and drilled immediately through the skin and skull of the head was 0.2 to 0.4 s.

In the second experiment application of the waterjet frontally on the head of a pig resulted in an immediate muscle relaxation in 7 animals. In 2 animals some weak convulsions and in 1 animal strong convusions occurred after stunning. The corneal reflex was absent in all pigs after the treatment. The EEG showed an immediate oc currence of delta waves (<4 Hz) and spikes or no electrical activity. The heart rate (n=5) was before, 30 s and 60 s after the treatment 94±9, 314±18 and 127±65 beats/min, respective vely. The ECG recordings showed that in 4 out of 5 animals the heart was fibrilating 30 s after treatment.

At post mortem examination the brain was fully destroyed and the brain cavity was empty in 5 pig heads. In the same pigs no electrical activity was recorded on the EEG after the treatment. In 3 brains severe haemor

Ages were observed. In 2 of these pigs equipped with EEG electrodes delta waves and spikes were observed on istol We recording. When

The day after slaughter a few minimal heamorrhages were found in 2 shoulders from different animals. One hae-Withage was found in the m. triceps brachii and 2 in the connective tissue between the m. triceps brachii and infra spinatus.

k

8

in

e

5. peri

head

skin

ate

e

The present regulations of the Dutch "MEAT INSPECTION ACT" (1919) prescribe, that stunning occurs by The present regulations of the Dutch "MEAL INSTRUMENT, (b) transport of an electrical curthe through the brains and (c) inhalation of gasses. Both LEUENBERGER (1989) and SCHATZMANN et al (1990) and ^{tesults} of our experiment suggest that the waterjet can mechanically destroy the brain to such an extend Mat it causes immediate unconsciousness.

The most important factor causing tissue damage is the energy from the missile transmitted to the brain. of important factor causing tissue damage in the following three ways depending on its velocity and whape: laceration and crushing (low velocity missiles), shockwaves (velocity about 100-300 m/s) and tempora-^{re: laceration} and crushing (low velocity missiles), one compressed the cranial volume increases e vitation (velocity over 300 m/s). As brain tissue can scarcely be compressed the cranial volume increases ^{vation} (velocity over 300 m/s). As brain tissue can start of the cavity caused by the missile. The transmits a pressure increase which prevents further enlargement of the cavity caused by the missile. The the temporary cavity (BUTLER et al, for the sudden development of the provident of the sudden development of the sudee development of the sudden development o v^{j;} ^{(yj;} ^{(y45}; CROCKARD, 1977).

In the stunning of animals for slaughter the onset of unconsciousness is more important than the nature of the stunning of animals for slaughter the onset of unconsciousness might also be related to the transmission of pressure changes Within the skull to the spinal cord or to crush the cortex and deeper parts of the brain (LINDGREN, 1966; LAM-^{(ne skull to the spinal cord or to crush the cortex and acorter the skin and skull and to crush ¹⁹⁸¹). According to LEUENBERGER (1989) the pressure needed to penetrate the skin and skull and to crush} ¹⁹⁶¹. According to LEUENBERGER (1989) the pressure models in the brain is ideally between 3500 and 4000 bar by application for 50 to 100 ms. With this waterjet more brain the brain is ideally between 3500 and 4000 bar by application for 50 to 100 ms. With this waterjet more brain A is ideally between 3500 and 4000 bar by approaction is the second seco ⁴⁸ destroyed than using a captive bolt. In our experimence c re-⁴⁸ We Waterjet that cut and drilled, while the jet was applied for 1 s. After slaughter the brains were disap-⁴⁸ Waterjet that cut and drilled, while the jet was applied for 1 s. After slaughter the brains were disap-^{water}jet that cut and drilled, while the jet was appres for a severe haemorrhages in the brains were found. Unconsciousness might be induced by laceration, crushing ^{sho}ckwaves. Activation of nociceptive (pain sensitive) nerves result in immediate reflective movements, Shockwaves. Activation of nociceptive (pain sensitive) here a while (VOORHOEVE, 1980). Videoanalysis did not ^{Acchanical} tissue disruption may result in pain after a white common and the EEG showed after the treatment an immediate ^{Any reflective} movements of the animals during stunning and the EEG showed after the treatment an immediate W teflective movements of the animate and the delta waves with spikes or absence of electrical activity.

^{Aelta} waves with spikes or absence of electrical stunning, although preceeding stress and ^{Aemorraghes} in the carcass seemed to be mainly caused by electrical stunning, although preceeding stress and ^{Aemorraghes} in the carcass seemed to be mainly caused by electrical stunning, although preceeding stress and ^{Morra}ghes in the carcass seemed to be mainly caused by electricity and the carcass seemed to be an advected by electricity and the carcass seemed to be advected by electricity and the carcass seemed to be advected by electricity and the carcass seemed to be advected by electricity and the carcass seemed to be advected by electricity and the carcass seemed to be advected by electricity and the carcass seemed to be advected by electricity and the carcass seemed to be advected by electricity and the carcass seemed to be advected by electricity and the carcass seemed to be advected by electricity and the carcass seemed to be advected by electricity and the carcass seemed to be advected by electricity and the carcass seemed to be advected by electricity and the carcass seemed to be advected by electricity and the carcass seemed to be advected by electricity and the carcass seemed to be advected by electricity and the carcass seemed t ^{Aling} may also be important factors (JEMMI, 1984; LAMBOUL & Sible...., ^{Calused} by contractions of antagonistic muscles, super contraction of myofibrils and during movements between ^{Maclas} Welles (WAL v.d. et al, 1975, LEET etal, 1977, GILBERT & DEVINE, 1982). After stunning with the waterjet in ^{(WAL v.d.} et al, 1975, LEET etal, 1977, GILBERT & DEVINE, 1902, ^{(WAL v.d.} et al, 1975, LEET etal, 1977, GILBERT & DEVINE, 1902, Vag expected after slaughter only a few haemorrhages were found in the shoulders.

2:15

<u>CONCLUSIONS</u>: The results of these pilot experiments suggest that with the high pressure waterjet immediate un consciousness is induced by injuring the brain by laceration, crushing and/or shockwaves. The results also suf gest that it may possibly be feasible to further develop the high pressure waterjet method for application as a stunning method for pigs in practice.

REFERENCES

ANTHONY, D.J. (1932): Electricity for the slaughter of animals. The Vet. Rec. 12: 380-386.

BURSON,, D.E. HUNT, M.C., SCHAFER, D.E., BECKWITH, D. and GARRISON, G.R. (1983): Effects of stunning method and time interval from stunning to exsanguination on blood splashing in and a stunning in the stunning and splashing in and splashing in the stunning in the stunning statement of stunning statements and splashing in the statement of splashing in the splashing in the statement of splashing in the splashing in

BUTLER, E.G., PUCKETT, W.O., HARVEY, E.N., and McMILLEN, J.H. (1945): Experiments on head wounding by high velocity missiles. J. Neurosurg. <u>2</u>: 358-363.

CROCKARD, H.A., BROWN, F.D., JOHNS, L.M. and MULLAN, S. (1977): An experimental cerebral missile injury model in primates. J. Neurosurg. <u>46</u>: 776-783.

FAHRBACH, R. (1948): Die heute üblichen Betäubungsverfahren bei Slachttieren und ihre historische En^{twicklung}.

GILBERT C.E. and DEVINE, C.E. (1982): Effect of electrical stunning methods on petechial haemorrhages and the blood pressure of lambs. Meat Sci. <u>7</u>: 197-207.

JEMMI, T. (1984): Untersuchungen zur Ursache von Schulterblutungen bei Schlachtschweinen als Folge der ele^{ktri^t} schen Hochvoltbetäubung. Diss. Bern.

KIRTON,, A.H., BISHOP, W.H. MULLORD, M.M. and FRAZERHURST, L.F. (1978): Relationships between time of stumming and their effect on blood pressure and blood article in the state of 200.206. and time of throat cutting and their effect on blood pressure and blood splash in lambs. Meat Sci. 2: 200-206

LAMBOOY, E. (1981): Some neural and physiological aspects of electrical and mechanical stunning in ruminents.

LAMBOOY, E. (1990): The use of CO2 for the stunning of slaughterpigs. Fleischwirtsch. 70: 1173-1177.

LAMBOOY, E. and SYBESMA, W. (1988): The effect of environmental factors such as preslaughter treatment and environmental factors such as preslaughter treatment congr. trical stunning on the occurrence of haemorrhages in the shoulder of slaughter pigs. Proc. 34th Int. Congr. Mean Sci. Techn., Brisbane: 101-103. LEET, N.G., DEVINE, C.E. and GAVEY, A.B. (1977): The histology of blood splash in lamb. Meat Sci. 1: 229-234.

LEUENBERGER, Th. (1989): Jet-injektion beim Schwein; Untersuchungen über die Anwendbarkeit einer Hochdr^{uckwäs} LINDGREN, S.O. (1966): Experimental studies of mechanical effects in head injury. Acta Chir. Scand.,, Supplies MEAT INSPECTION ACT (Vleeskeuringeruch) (1996)

MEAT INSPECTION ACT (Vleeskeuringswet)(1919, revised 1981). Ed. Schuurman and Jordens. W.E.J. Tjeenk Willink.

D

36

MÜLLER, M. (1929). Über das Wesen der elektrischen Betäubung der Schlachttiere. Dtsch. Schlachthofzeit^{ung 29}. 88-90.

SCHATZMANN, U., LEUENBERGER, Th., FUCHS, P., HOWALD, M. and HOWARD J. (1990): Untersuchungen über die Anwender

VOORHOEVE, P.E. (1980): Anatomisch-fysiologisch substraat van pijn. In: "Pijn bij mens en dier". PUDOC, Wagening

WAL, P.G. van der, NIEUWENHUYSEN, H.Th., SYBESMA, W. and SCHOLTEN, J.I.M. (1975): Het optreden van frac^{turen} ^(f)

WERNBERG, N.E. (1978): Eine neue Kohlendioxid-Schweinebetäubungsanlage in den dänischen Baconfabrik^{en.} Fl^{eisch}