Stabilization of Cooked Meat Flavor with Maillard Reaction Products

M.E. BAILEY, A.D. CLARKE, H.B. HEDRICK, S.Y. SHIN-LEE and G.K. CHOATE

University of Missouri, Food Science and Nutrition Department, Columbia, Missouri 65211

SUMMARY: Ground pork loin and beef clod roasts were treated with various levels of Maillard reaction products (M prepared from histidine, cysteine and glucose and roasted to an internal temperature of 70°C. Sensory and chemical analy are were used to quantitate changes in warmed-over flavor (WOF) during storage at 4°C. MRP incorporated in these meat samples at a level of 0.15% or above significantly reduced WOF during storage. Sodium tripolyphosphate (STP) (0.38%) helped to lower WOF when used with MRP. Sensory scores for warmed-over aroma, warmed-over flavor, meaty flavor and by oxidation, along with TBA values and various volatiles (particularly aldehydes), were good indices of WOF development.

Pre

ran

Stru

700

0n

Vola

drie

met

Por

ran Res

Por

Tab

Att

W:

Fre

Por

Ra

Me

aN: bRode

2J1

INTRODUCTION: Cooked, uncured meat refrigerated for a short time develops WOF, first observed and described hea TIMS and WATTS (1958). This undesirable flavor of cooked meat and related products has increased in importance recent due to the rapid increase in the utilization of "fast foods" and the growing importance of rapid food preparation in consume of s home cookery. This general subject has been reviewed extensively by LOVE and PEARSON (1971) and by ST. ANGELO' hea BAILEY (1987), as well as others, but practical inhibition procedures useful for commercial processing of cooked meat fo subsequent storage have not been forthcoming even though SATO et al. (1973) suggested some possible approaches for improving flavor of these products. A likely candidate for preserving or improving cooked meat flavor during refrigerate storage is processing with MRP prepared from natural precursors (BAILEY, 1988). The effectiveness of MRP for preserve desirable meat flavors of uncured cooked meat is described in this paper.

MATERIALS and METHODS: Meat Sample Preparation. Both beef and pork were studied in these experiments. samples were cooked after processing with antioxidants synthesized by reacting sugars, amino acids and phosphate buffer 10 form MRP.

Preparation of MRP. This was done by refluxing 0.2 M histidine and 0.2 M glucose with and without 0.4 mM cysteine 7.0 (0.1 N phosphate buffer) in a 130°C heating mantle for 2.0 hours.

Meat Processing and Cookery. Ground pork was baked in a gas convection oven at 175°C for 14 min. to an internal temperature of 70°C. Experimental samples were treated with MRP prepared without cysteine at 10% (w/w) prior to baking and compared to non-treated controls. Fat-free shoulder clod roasts (6.95 kg) were pumped to 8% (w/w) with MRP to 0,1 (w/w % solute) or 0.27% (w/w % solute) with and without 0.4% salt and 0.2% STP. The roasts were tumbled with 200 P pump solution for 1 hour at 10 revolutions/minute prior to cooking. The beef roasts were cooked in an Alkar-Rasmussel thermal processing unit (Alkar Engineering Corp., Lodi, WI) at a dry bulb temp. of 80°C for 2 hours followed by a temp.⁰ 91°C for another 2 hours to an internal endpoint of 68.3°C.

Storage of Processed and Cooked Meat. The cooked ground pork was stored at 4°C in beakers covered with watch glass and evaluated after 0, 1, 2 and 3 days. The beef roasts were wrapped in moisture-proof freezer paper and stored at 4°C for 7, 14 and 21 days.

Sensory Evaluation. The pork samples were re-heated in a 175°C oven for 14 minutes and kept warm (70°C) in a sand bath ^{preheated} to 110°C. They were then evaluated by 6 trained judges for warmed-over aroma, fresh meat flavor, porky, ^{rancid/cardboardy/oxidized and metallic flavors.} Evaluation of the various flavors was done by recording on a 10 cm nonstructured scale weighted on one end with the term "weak" and on the opposite end by "strong".

The beef was evaluated by 10 sensory panelists who were served 1.27 cm² crust-free samples that had been warmed in a ^{70°}C oven and served in a pre-heated (70°C) sand bath. The beef samples were evaluated for "browned" flavor, warmed-over aroma and warmed-over flavor by using a 10 cm unstructured scale anchored by the term "intense flavor" on one end and "none" ^{on the} other end. The descriptive analyses method of STONE et al. (1980) was used to quantitate results from these studies. TBA Numbers. TBA analyses were performed by the procedure developed by TARLADGIS et al. (1960). It was calculated by multiplying the sample absorbance by a constant (K) which was pre-determined to be 25.6.

Analysis of Volatile Compounds. Volatiles were analyzed by the method of SUZUKI and BAILEY (1985), except a different ^b headspace pre-sampling procedure was used. It consisted of trapping of volatiles on Tenax GC (80-100 mesh) supported by ^{volatile-free} silanized glass wool in a pyrex tube (9 x 90mm) mounted on a condenser supported by a 250 ml flask containing 5 g ^{of sample}, 25 ml of distilled water and 1.64 μ g of internal standard (2-methyl-4-octanone in pentane). Volatiles were evolved by heating 30 minutes at 140°C (mantle temp.) under a stream of nitrogen (190 ml/min). The Tenax GC-trapped volatiles were dried by back-flushing with nitrogen gas at 190 ml/min. for 5 min. The volatiles were then quantitated by the direct sampling method of SUZUKI and BAILEY (1985).

RESULTS and DISCUSSION: Sensory Analysis of WOF of Cooked Pork. Sensory analysis was performed on freshly cooked Pork and pork stored for 1 and 2 days at 4°C. Five characteristics, warmed-over flavor, fresh meaty flavor, porky flavor, ^{rancid/cardboardy/oxidized flavor and metallic flavor, were chosen from terms identified by the panel during training sessions.} Results obtained from Duncan's multiple range tests of analysis of variance data concerning the changes in flavor of cooked pork samples stored at 4°C are presented in Table 1. Table 1. Mean WOF scores^{a,b} for cooked ground pork during storage at 4°C

ttribute	Days of storage			
Warmed-over aroma	0	1	2	LSD ^f
esh-meaty	0.97 ^c ±0.35	5.92 ^d ±0.74	8.19° ±0.57	0.56
rky	8.40 ^c ±0.51	3.67 ^d ±0.71	1.69 ^e ±0.59	0.64
^{acid/cardboardy/oxidized}	4.44° ±1.10	3.66 ^d ±0.55	4.28° ±1.64	1.14
	0.91 ^c ±0.34	5.78 ^d ±0.56	8.11 ^e ±0.68	0.54
=70.	0.89 ^c ±0.39	3.61 ^d ±0.76	5.23° ±1.02	0.65

MA

alysi

210

 ${}^{sage}_{Means}$ for scores 0 to 10; 0 = no flavor and 10 = very intense trace. SD values used by different letters differ significantly (P<0.001). for scores 0 to 10; 0 = no flavor and 10 = very intense flavor. Ins followed to 10; 0 = no flavor and 10 = very intense flavor. M_{eans}^{s} followed by different letters differ significantly (P<0.001). LSD values used to establish differences by Duncan's multiple range test.

There were highly significantly (P<0.001) changes in flavor attributes during storage at 4°C, but no significant differences among replications for any attribute. All indices of flavor change, except fresh-meaty flavor and porky flavor, increased. The intensity of fresh-meaty flavor decreased as storage increased and this seems to be an important attribute of WOF. There we no trend in the change of porky flavor indicating that it was not related to WOF.

Increase in Volatile Compounds During Storage of Pork at 4°C for 3 Days. Fifty-two compounds were quantitated in pork during storage at 4°C for 3 days. Twenty of the most important are listed in Table 2, along with their concentrations found during storage. These changes are all significant at the 0.05 level and reveal progressive increases in concentration during refrigeration.

	Days of storage			
Compound	0	1	3	
Aldehydes, saturated		the state of the second shirts and	White billing	
Pentanal	0.019	0.306	0.745	
Hexanal	0.067	3.525	8.714	
Heptanal	0.020	0.108	0.222	
Octanal	0.017	0.115	0.234	
Nonanal	0.126	0.322	0.740	
Aldehydes, unsaturated				
2-Hexenal	0.004	0.017	0.029	
2-Heptenal	0.028	0.084	0.254	
2-Octenal	0.004	0.121	0.382	
2-Nonenal	0.006	0.047	0.152	
2-Decenal	0.006	0.065	0.110	
2,4-Nonadienal	n.d. ^c	0.016	0.061	
2,4-Decadienal	0.005	0.107	0.249	
Alcohols				
1-Pentanol	0.017	0.233	0.530	
1-Hexanol	0.004	0.021	0.075	
1-Heptanol	n.d.	0.011	0.025	
1-Heptene-3-ol	n.d.	0.091	0.354	
Ketones				
2-Heptanone	0.008	0.032	0.065	
2,3-Octanedione	n.d.	0.203	0.721	
2-Decanone	0.006	0.043	0.080	
Others			New Anderson	
2-Pentyl furan	0.007	0.068	0.142	

 Table 2.
 Concentration (PPM^{a,b}) of volatile compounds from cooked pork during storage at 4°C

 $^{a}N = 10.$

^bConcentration during storage are all significantly (P<0.05) different.

^cNone detected.

Th 0.3

n

b

d

0

CC

Vc

co

pi

du

Sti

T

1

100

0

al b co d ci

cor the

TBA values were used to determine the most ideal conditions for preparing MRP antioxidants with histidine and glucose for maximum protection of pork desirable flavor during refrigerated storage. Acidity of pH 7.0 was chosen as a compromise between pH 9.0, which gave MRP with maximum antioxidant activity, and pH 5.6, which gave maximal meaty flavor of pork during storage. MRP prepared with 0.2 M glucose and 0.2 M histidine and added to ground pork at 10% prevented WOF during storage at 4°C for 3 days. A two hour heating time at 130°C was sufficient to produce MRP from 0.2 M histidine and 0.2 M glucose with near maximal antioxidant properties during the period studied. There were highly significant (P<0.001) ^{correlations} between TBA numbers and WOF attributes, between TBA numbers and volatile organic compounds, and between ^{volatile} organic compounds and WOF attributes.

Sensory and Chemical Analyses of WOF of Cooked Beef. Analyses of variance of sensory and chemical measurements of ^{cooked} beef roasts during storage at 4°C for 21 days revealed significant changes in warmed-over aroma, warmed-over flavor, browned flavor, overall acceptability and TBA values during storage at 4°C for 21 days. There were also significant changes due to treatment. Table 3 contains results of treatment on the mean sensory and TBA scores for pre-cooked roasts during storage for 21 days.

Table 3.

S

Th

re HS

OIK

und

ng

Mean sensory scores^{a,b} and TBA values for pre-cooked roasts treated with MRP during storage for 21 days at 4°C Treatment

		TBA values			
00% water	Warmed-over aroma	Warmed-over flavor	Browned flavor	OA ^d	- (mg/kg meat
4% NOD-	3.07 ^e	3.37°	1.36°	3.08 ^e	3.28 ^e
40%	2.60 ^{ef}	2.75 ^f	1.86 ^f	4.72 ^f	2.45 ^f
0.380 NaCl	2.18 ^{fg}	2.01 ^g	3.10 ^g	•	1.76 ^g
3% STPc 8% MRP 9% MRP	2.12 ^{fg}	2.13 ^g	1.90 ^f	4.90 ^g	2.16 ^g
0.75% NaCl 0.38% STPc	1.96 ^g	1.74 ^h	3.53 ^g	-	1.33 ^g

 $b_{0=none; 10=intense.}^{b_{0=none; 10=intense}}$

 $^{c}\text{STP} = \text{sodium tripolyphosphate.}$ OA = overall acceptability.

 $_{c_hMeans}^{c_hMeans}$ bearing different superscripts in the same column are significantly different (P<0.05).

All MRP treatments significantly (P<0.05) reduced sensory attributes of WOF, except browned flavor, during 21 day storage. The meaty-browned flavor was rated higher in samples treated with 0.14% or 0.28% MRP which contained 0.75% salt and 0.38% samples treated with 0.14% or 0.28% MRP which contained 0.75% salt and

^{0.38%} STP. TBA values were also lower in samples treated with MRP, salt and STP compared to untreated samples or those containing 0.38% STP than without STP, but the sentence of the senten

^{containing only} MRP. The desirable browned flavor was better protected by MRP containing 0.38% STP than without STP, but the Mpp the MRP at either 0.14 or 0.28% offered some protection against WOF during storage of beef roasts at 4°C for 21 days.

<u>CONCLUSIONS</u>: Ground pork and roast beef develop warmed-over flavor during storage at 4°C, which can be protected by processing with Maillard reaction products with and without sodium tripolyphosphate. A major change in flavor is reduced of desirable meaty browned flavor during storage. A variety of sensory attributes along with TBA values and the concentration of oxidation volatiles from fatty acids can be used to quantitate warmed-over flavor of pork and beef during refrigerated store.

REFERENCES:

BAILEY, M.E. (1988): Inhibition of warmed-over flavor, with emphasis on Maillard reaction products. Food Technol 42: 123-126.

LOVE, J.D. and PEARSON, A.M. (1971): Lipid oxidation in meat and meat products. J. Am. Oil Chem. Soc. 48:54 6

SATO, K., HEGARTY, G.R. and HERRING, H.R. (1973): The inhibition of warmed-over flavor in cooked meats. J. Food Sci. <u>38</u>: 398.

ST. ANGELO, A.J. and BAILEY, M.E., editors (1987): Warmed-Over Flavor of Meat. Academic Press, Inc., Orland t FL, 294 pages.

STONE, H., SIDEL, J.L. and BLOOMQUIST, J. (1980): Quantitative descriptive analysis. Cereal Foods World 25:# u

SUZUKI, J. and BAILEY, M.E. (1985): Direct sampling capillary GLC analysis of flavor volatiles from ovine fat. J. Agric. Food Chem. <u>33</u>: 343-347.

TARLADGIS, B.G., WATTS, B.M., YOUNATHAN, M.T. and DUGAN, L.R. JR. (1960): Distillation method for the quantitative determination of malonaldehyde in rancid foods. J. Am. Chem. Soc. <u>37</u>: 44.

TIMS, M. and WATTS, B.M. (1958): The protection of cooked meats with phosphates. Food Technol. 12: 240.

0 0 m

of A p

o c n to o m

£.

Di Di