

EVALUATION OF SKINNED AND UNSKINNED CHICKEN MEAT FROM THE VIEW POINTS OF FATTY MATERIALSF.M.M. ABU-SALEM^{*}, SOHEIR, M., El-Saidy^{**} and Z.A. EL-SHAMYI^{***}^{*} Dept. of Food Technology & Dairy, National Research, Dokki, Cairo, Egypt.^{**} Food Sci., Dept. Faculty of Agric., Zagazig Univ.^{***} Food Sci., Dept. Faculty of Agric., Suez Canal Univ.

SUMMARY: Fresh chicken meat with and without skin were frozen at -40°C and stored at -18°C upto 8 months. At 0,2,4,6 and 8 months of frozen storage, the oxidative rancidity of chicken fat was evaluated. Thiobarbituric acid (TBA), acid value (AV) and saponification value (SV) were determined. Frozen chicken meat were also treated after each storage period with the common processing techniques including: smoking and cooking in boiling water or cooking in conventional oven with subsequent storage at 4°. After these treatments (TBA) number, (AV) and (SV) were also concerned. Removing of skin accelerated the oxidative deterioration of the treated samples. Smoking had no significant effect on fatty acid compositions compared to the value obtained immediately after freezing.

INTRODUCTION: It is well known that oxidation of food and food products and the development of rancid flavour is partially due to the presence of unsaturated fatty acids. Technological processing such as mechanical deboning (Dawson and Gartner, 1983), frozen storage (Igene et al., 1980), cooking (Newburg and Concon, 1980; Yamauchi *et al.*, 1982) refrigerated storage after cooking (Yamauchi *et al.*, 1982), lead to a remarkable and undergoes deterioration in meat owing to autoxidation of the unsaturated fatty acids of the meat fat. Chicken meat is especially susceptible to oxidative rancidity because of it contains heigher level of polyunsaturated fatty acids (Marion and Woodroof, 1965; Edwards *et al.*, 1973; Fristron and Weihrauch, 1976).

In this work, the cumulative effects of common household techniques of processing on oxidative changes in chicken meat were studied. The development of lipid autoxidation for skinned and unskinned chicken meat samples was evaluated by the determination of (TBA) values, acidity and saponification numbers.

The effect of freezing and smoking on the fatty acid composition of the investigated chicken meat samples was also investigated.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Materials: Fresh slaughtered chicken samples were obtained from El-Shark-El-Awsat Company. They are divided into two categories i.e., with and without skin; frozen at -40°C and wrapped in polyethylene. These samples were transported to the EL-ABBASSA FISH FARM, EL-SHARKIAH GOVERNORATE, Egypt, stored at -18°C and after 2, 4, 6 and 8 months of frozen storage; samples were either smoked or cooked in water or in conventional oven. Samples were also withdrawn for chemical analysis within the suggested storage periods.

Methods:

Cooking condition: For experimental cooking the two categories of chicken samples were cooked in both water and conventional oven. Boiling was extended for 40 min., while in a conventional oven, the samples were cooked until the average temperature in the center of chicken meat was 75°C for one hour.

Smoking conditions : Smoking was carried out for 3 hours at 60°C using MECO smoker series 5000 available at ABBASSA FISH FARM, EL-SHARKIAH GOVERNORATE, EGYPT. Hard wood (Beech type) was used as a source of smoke according to Wheaton and Lawson (1985).

Analytical Methods: For chemical analysis, chicken meat samples were minced and a representative samples were taken for analysis. For fatty acid compositions, Pye-unicum gas liquid chromatography, series 104 Model 64, was used and methylated fatty acids was prepared as described by Gougltitz and Lehman 1963, injected in the column and peak areas were calculated.

Thiobarbituric acid was analysed with protection against autoxidation according to the detailed methods of Pikul *et al.*, (1983). Acid and saponification values were given by the procedures recommended by the AoAC (1980).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION:

TBA values in chicken meat: The TBA values of chicken meat samples (with and without skin) were calculated and presented in Table (1) . The differences between the investigated samples were calculated as percentages and given for the samples up to 8 months of storage at -18°C . It was noticed that TBA values of the unskinned chicken meat samples showed higher values especially within the first 4 months of storage than that of the skinned samples. These results are mainly due to the differentiation in the level of the fatty materials which are expected to be lower in the sample free of skin. Similar result were obtained by Pikul *et al.*, (1984).

Changes in TBA values of chicken meat samples as a result of smoking or during storage under refrigerating conditions were largely higher especially when storage period

was prolonged. These trends that occur during smoking of chicken meat are a function of smoked gases that postulated and accelerated oxidation of fatty materials in the presence of atmospheric oxygen.

The calculated TBA number after cooking (in water or in convention oven) showed no differences in the skinned or in the unskinned samples. On the other hand, TBA numbers were increased after cooking ; a trend which may be related to that loss of juices during cooking lead to a moticeable increment in the fatty material of the investigated samples.

Changes in AV and SV: The progress of fatty changes was also followed by the determination of the AV and SV (Table 2 and 3). In frozen, smoking and cooking samples there is a noticeable increment of AV during storage such trend may be related to one of the following reasons:

- Lipase enzyme, still active or regenerated after inhibition by the investigated technological treatments.
- Auto-oxidation which could occur in the presence of oxygen especially when storage period was extended more than 4 months.

Effect of smoking process on the fatty acid composition:

The fatty acids patterns of the skinned and unskinned were concerned for the smoked samples in relation to the frozen one as seen in Table (4) . The obtained data indicated the main following points:

- Smoked samples realized lower concentration of the individual fatty acids in relation to the frozen samples.
- The skinned chicken meat samples indicaler a low concentration of fatty acids in frozen samples as well as in the smoked one in relation to the unskinned samples.

CONCLUSIONS: From the aforementioned results it could be concluded that it is preferable to use whole chicken for preparing the suggested chicken product because of:

- Removing of skin failed to improve the quality of the tested samples especially from the view points of the changes that occur in fatty materials . In other words, removing of skin accelerated the oxidative deterioration of chicken meat samples.
- The presence of fatty materials is expected to improve organoleptic properties of the tested samples especially in the smoked one.

Table (1): Change in T.B.A. values of skinned and unskinned chicken meat stored for 8 months at -18°C.

| Chicken samples prepared in the form of | Skinned | | | | | Unskinned | | | | |
|---|---------------------------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-----------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| | Storage periods (in months) at -18°C | | | | | | | | | |
| | 0 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 8 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 8 |
| Freezing | 0.158 | 0.212 | 0.258 | 0.346 | 0.548 | 0.212 | 0.34 | 0.316 | 0.403 | 0.581 |
| Smoking | 0.219 | 0.293 | 0.320 | 0.443 | 0.620 | 0.286 | 0.324 | 0.343 | 0.494 | 0.688 |
| T.A.* | 0.351 | 0.418 | 0.450 | 0.559 | 0.765 | 0.379 | 0.447 | 0.498 | 0.638 | 0.557 |
| T.B.** | 0.874 | 0.809 | 1.039 | 1.199 | 1.219 | 1.09 | 1.175 | 1.254 | 1.345 | 1.254 |
| Cooking in water | 0.283 | 0.342 | 0.381 | 0.439 | 0.717 | 0.332 | 0.377 | 0.419 | 0.489 | 0.771 |
| T.C.*** | 0.202 | 0.909 | 0.969 | 1.054 | 1.067 | 0.915 | 0.955 | 1.035 | 1.209 | 1.122 |
| Cooking in convention over | 0.255 | 0.283 | 0.318 | 0.387 | 0.648 | 0.308 | 0.329 | 0.381 | 0.469 | 0.698 |
| T.D.*** | 0.637 | 0.395 | 0.442 | 0.529 | 0.825 | 0.638 | 0.719 | 0.815 | 1.004 | 0.948 |

* T.A= Smoked samples stored for 10 days.at 4°C.

**T.B.= Smoked samples stored for 30 days at 4°C

***T.C,D= Cooked samples stored for 4 days at 4°C.

Table (2): Changes in AV of skinned and unskinned chicken meat stored for 8 months at -18°C.

| Chicken samples prepared in the form of | Skinned | | | | | Unskinned | | | | |
|---|--|-------|-------|-------|-------|-----------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| | Storage periods (in months) at -18 °C | | | | | | | | | |
| | 0 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 8 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 8 |
| Freezing | 0.379 | 0.474 | 0.524 | 0.564 | 0.599 | 0.497 | 0.548 | 0.582 | 0.638 | 0.698 |
| Smoking | 0.419 | 0.508 | 0.569 | 0.609 | 0.654 | 0.547 | 0.591 | 0.626 | 0.688 | 0.764 |
| T.A.* | 0.514 | 0.598 | 0.657 | 0.719 | 0.728 | 0.607 | 0.662 | 0.672 | 0.744 | 0.824 |
| T.B.** | 1.342 | 1.643 | 1.720 | 1.773 | 1.823 | 1.386 | 1.716 | 1.742 | 1.794 | 1.849 |
| Cooking in water | 0.454 | 0.548 | 0.603 | 0.648 | 0.693 | 0.556 | 0.620 | 0.655 | 0.712 | 0.771 |
| T.C.*** | 0.629 | 0.664 | 0.752 | 0.816 | 0.855 | 0.701 | 0.765 | 0.804 | 0.862 | 0.995 |
| Cooking in convention over | 0.429 | 0.533 | 0.587 | 0.643 | 0.683 | 0.531 | 0.606 | 0.641 | 0.686 | 0.759 |
| T.D.*** | 0.557 | 0.612 | 0.669 | 0.737 | 0.752 | 0.597 | 0.682 | 0.716 | 0.807 | 0.899 |

* T.A. = Smoked samples stored for 10 days at 4°C.

**T.B. = Smoked samples stored for 30 days at 4°C

***T.C.D= Cooked samples stored for 4 days at 4°C.

Table (3): Changes in SV of skinned and unskinned chicken meat stored for 8 months at -18°C

| Chicken samples prepared in the form of | Skinned | | | | | Unskinned | | | | |
|---|---------------------------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|-----------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| | Storage periods (in months) at -18°C | | | | | | | | | |
| | 0 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 8 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 8 |
| Freezing | 158.44 | 159.17 | 159.25 | 159.35 | 159.40 | 159.92 | 160.22 | 160.32 | 160.39 | 160.50 |
| Smoking | 158.45 | 159.82 | 159.20 | 159.97 | 160.04 | 160.41 | 160.95 | 161.00 | 161.07 | 161.19 |
| T.A* | 159.73 | 160.65 | 160.80 | 160.90 | 160.97 | 160.77 | 161.55 | 161.61 | 161.69 | 161.77 |
| T.B.** | 160.72 | 162.12 | 162.27 | 162.36 | 162.43 | 161.87 | 162.95 | 163.04 | 163.09 | 163.29 |
| Cooking in water | 159.21 | 160.09 | 160.27 | 160.32 | 160.36 | 160.76 | 161.42 | 161.50 | 161.59 | 161.74 |
| T.C.*** | 160.34 | 160.76 | 161.04 | 161.12 | 161.19 | 161.49 | 162.22 | 162.24 | 161.32 | 162.53 |
| Cookin in convention oven | 160.09 | 159.94 | 160.02 | 160.17 | 160.22 | 160.47 | 160.85 | 160.09 | 161.17 | 161.39 |
| T.D.*** | 160.23 | 160.41 | 160.48 | 160.69 | 160.69 | 160.81 | 161.75 | 161.81 | 161.89 | 162.07 |

* T.A. = Smoked samples stored for 10 days at 4°C.

**T.B. = Smoked samples stored for 30 days at 4°C

***T.C,D= Cooked samples stored for 4 days at 4°C.

Table (4): Changes in fatty acids (weight %) of skinned and unskinned chicken meat.

| Fatty acids | Skinned | | Unskinned | |
|-------------|---------------------------------|---------|---------------------------------|---------|
| | Samples prepared in the form of | | Samples prepared in the form of | |
| | Frozen | Smoked | Frozen | Smoked |
| 10:0 | 0.1296 | 0.16 | 0.1496 | 0.1449 |
| 12:0 | 0.2549 | 0.2397 | 0.2846 | 0.2645 |
| 12:1 | 0.0547 | 0.0447 | 0.06928 | 0.05916 |
| 13:0 | 0.06 | 0.05 | 0.06480 | 0.05477 |
| 13:1 | 0.1949 | 0.1749 | 0.2049 | 0.19 |
| 14:0 | 4.2949 | 4.3548 | 4.3699 | 4.3347 |
| 14:1 | 1.0698 | 1.0498 | 1.1698 | 1.12 |
| 15:0 | 0.5748 | 0.5899 | 0.5899 | 0.5896 |
| 15:1 | 0.1248 | 0.1148 | 0.1449 | 0.1349 |
| 16:0 | 15.1299 | 15.2343 | 15.3049 | 15.2293 |
| 16:1 | 20.1399 | 20.0149 | 20.19 | 20.0449 |
| 17:0 | 0.1849 | 0.1797 | 0.1849 | 0.1788 |
| 17:1 | 0.2592 | 0.2097 | 0.2898 | 0.2549 |
| 18:0 | 2.3349 | 2.30 | 2.4049 | 2.3445 |
| 18:1 | 4.2595 | 4.1090 | 4.3749 | 4.3099 |
| 18:2 | 9.2697 | 9.1099 | 9.7586 | 9.6488 |
| 18:3 | 1.3442 | 1.1264 | 1.3690 | 1.2289 |
| 18:4 | 2.6848 | 2.6049 | 2.7498 | 2.5747 |
| 20:0 | 0.2097 | 0.2097 | 0.2249 | 0.2144 |
| 20:3 | 0.06480 | 0.04472 | 0.0748 | 0.05 |
| 20:4 | 0.2738 | 0.2626 | 0.28 | 0.2489 |

REFERENCES:

- A.O.A.C., (1980): Official Methods of Analysis of the Association of Official Analytical Chemists. 13th ed, Benjamin Franklin Station, Washington, DC.20044.
- Dawson, L.E. & Gartner, R. (1983): Lipid Oxidation in Mechanically Deboned Poultry. Food Technology, 37, 112-116.
- Edwards, H.M., Tr, Demman, F., Abou-Ashour, A. & Nugara, D. (1973): Carcass Composition Studies. I. Influence of Dietary Fat Supplementation on Total Carcass and Fatty acid Composition. Poultry Science, 52, 934-948.
- Fristrom, G.A. & Weihrauch, J.L. (1976): Comprehensive evaluation of fatty acids in foods. Journal of the American Dietetic Association, 69, 517-522.
- Gouglitz, E.J. and Lehman, L.W. (1983): The preparation of alkyl esters from highly unsaturated triglycerides. J. Am. Oil Chem. Soc., 42, 197-198.
- Igene, J.O., Pearson, A.M., Dugan, L.R., Jr & Price, J.F. (1980): Role of triglycerides and Phospholipids on Development of Rancidity in Model Meat Systems During Frozen Storage. Food chemistry, 5, 263-276.
- Marion, J.E. & Woodrool, J.G. (1965): Lipid fractions of chicken broiler tissues and their fatty acid composition, Journal of Food. Science, 30, 38-43.
- Newburg, D.S. and Concon, J.M. (1980): Malonaldehyde Concentrations in Food are Affected by Cooking Conditions. Journal of Food Science, 45, 1681-1687.
- Pikul, J., Leszczynski, D.E. and Kummerow, F.A. (1983) : Elimination of sample autoxidation by Butylated Hydroxytoluene Additions Before Thiobarbituric Acid Assay for Malonaldehyde in Fat From Chicken Meat. Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry 31, 1338-1342.
- Pikul, J., Leszczynski, D.E., Niewiarowicz, A. and Kummerow, F.A. (1984): Lipid Oxidation in Chicken Breast and Leg Meat After Sequential Treatments of Frozen Storage, Cooking, Refrigerated Storage and Reheating. Journal of Food Technology, 19, 575-584.
- Wheaton, F.W. and Lawson, t.B. (1985): Processing Aquatic Food Products. A. Wiley Interscience Publication, New York, USA P. 294.
- Yamauchi, K., Nagai, Y. and Ohashi, T. (1982) : Quantitative Relationship Between Alphatocopherol and Polynsaturated Fatty Acids and its Connection to Development of Oxidate Rancidity in Chicken Skeletal Muscle. Agricultural and Biological Chemistry, 46, 2719-2724.