

Station de Génétique quantitative et appliquée, INRA, 78352 Jouy-en-Josas Cedex, France.

SUMMARY

Current applications of quantitative genetics for genetic improvement of farm animals rely on sophisticated statistical procedures applied to fairly simple genetic models and have been effective in producing large cumulative genetic changes in many traits. Recent advances in molecular genetics provide a potential for renewed methods in animal breeding. The two main possible fields of application of recombinant DNA methodologies, i.e. marker-assisted breeding and gene transfer, are reviewed. Use of genetic markers as an aid to present breeding practice appears to be rather promising, but research effort remains to be done before implementation of marker-assisted breeding schemes to a significant scale. It is not, at this stage, obvious that transgenesis will be an important way of improving meat-producing animals, at least in the near future.

INTRODUCTION

To date, genetic improvement of farm animals has been essentially based on the theory of quantitative genetics. Most traits of economic importance (e.g. growth rate, lean content, meat colour) show a continuous phenotypic variation explained by a variety of genetic and environmental factors. At the genetic level, it is assumed that a quantitative trait is influenced by numerous loci which have individually small effects relative to the total variation of the trait. These loci are termed quantitative trait loci or QTL (GELDERMANN, 1975). Under this assumption of polygenic mode of inheritance, it is not possible, in general, to determine the genotype of a particular animal by examination of the phenotype alone. Current selection methods rely on a statistical approach dealing with the effects of "ghost" genes and not with the genes themselves, and it could be said that animal breeding, though referring to a Mendelian dogma, has a Galtonian liturgy (HANSET, 1989).

The discovery of a number of single major genes with identifiable effects on quantitative traits, as well as recent advances in the field of molecular genetics, offer new prospects for the conversion of polygenic quantitative variability into individually defined Mendelian entities (BECKMANN and SOLLER, 1987). As far as animal breeding methods are concerned, these entities can be potentially taken from recombinant DNA technology in essentially two manners :

- (1) using cloned DNA sequences as probes to uncover genetic variation at the DNA level and for instance adding marker-assisted selection),
- (2) inserting cloned foreign genes into the germ line of an animal, for subsequent expression of the inserted gene in the offspring (transgenesis).

These two applications will be considered in turn in this report. Minimum consideration will be given to purely technical aspects and emphasis will be put on the possible future role of these new techniques for increasing rates of genetic progress in animal breeding programmes.

UTILIZATION OF GENETIC MARKERS

1.1. Genetic variation of quantitative traits

- Heritability

For a given trait, heritability (h^2) is the proportion of the total phenotypic variance due to additive effects of all QTL's affecting the trait : $h^2 = \sigma^2_A / \sigma^2_P$ (σ^2_A = additive genetic variance ; σ^2_P = phenotypic variance). The accuracy of the estimation of an individual's breeding value (A) from the phenotypic value of the individual (P) depends on h^2 . Among traits of economic importance in meat-producing mammals (table 1), reproductive traits are lowly heritable and, at the opposite, carcass composition traits are highly heritable. Heritability of meat quality traits is generally of low to moderate magnitude whereas heritability of growth rate and efficiency is of the order of 0.30.

- Number of genes

Opinions differ among geneticists as regards the number of genes implied in the variation of quantitative traits : from a few genes (e.g. THODAY and THOMPSON, 1976) to several hundreds of genes (e.g. MATHER and JINKS, 1971). This number is difficult to estimate experimentally. However, one can calculate the expected number of QTL's affecting a trait of heritability h^2 under restrictive hypotheses (equal effect D and equal gene frequencies p and q at each two-allele QTL). Results are shown in table 2 for various combinations of the above parameters. The number of QTL's with large effects, say $D > 1$, is limited, unless the heritability is high and gene frequencies greatly differ at each locus (i.e. $pq = 0.10$).

In real situations, the size of gene effects as well as gene frequencies are not, of course, the same for each QTL. So, the genetic variation of any trait results from an unknown mixture of occasional major genes ($D > 1$), a number of genes with

Table 1 - Average heritability values of economically important traits in meat-producing mammals

Traits	Usual range of heritability
Reproductive efficiency (litter size, fertility)	0.02 - 0.10
Meat quality traits (e.g. colour, water holding capacity, pH, tenderness)	0.15 - 0.30
Growth traits (average daily gain, feed efficiency, appetite)	0.20 - 0.40
Fat quality traits (e.g. fatty acid composition of pig backfat)	0.30 - 0.50
Body composition traits (lean content, fat content, rib eye area,...)	0.40 - 0.60

Table 2 - Expected numbers of quantitative trait loci (QTL) for different values of heritability and gene frequency

Heritability of the trait	Gene frequency situation (2)	D = difference between the two alternative homozygotes, in phenotypic standard deviation units				
		1/8	1/4	1/2	1	2
0.10	0.10	128	32	8	2.0	0.5
	0.25	51	13	3	0.8	0.2
0.30	0.10	384	96	24	6.0	1.5
	0.25	154	38	10	2.4	0.6
0.50	0.10	640	160	40	10.0	2.5
	0.25	256	64	16	4.0	1.0

(1) It is assumed that all QTL's have 2 alleles (A and a), with equal effect (D) and equal gene frequencies (p for A and q for a).
(2) The value reported is the product pq : 0.10 corresponds to very different allele frequencies at each locus (p=0.90, q=0.10), 0.25 corresponds to equal allele frequencies (p=q=0.50).
intermediate effects (0.25<D<1) and many minor genes (D<0.25).

- Major genes

Several genes with large and sometimes very large effects on commercial traits in meat-producing animals have been identified. These include the double muscling gene (*mh*) in cattle (e.g. HANSET and MICHAUX, 1985), the "Booroola" gene (*H₁*) affecting ovulation rate and litter size in sheep (e.g. PIPER et al, 1985), the halothane sensitivity gene (*Halⁿ*) responsible for malignant hyperthermia syndrome and pale, soft, exudative (PSE) meat condition in pigs (e.g. OLLIVIER et al, 1975), the "meat" gene (*RN⁺*) in pigs (LE ROY et al, 1990), and the sex-linked dwarf gene (*dw*) in poultry (review by MERAT, 1990). It is worth noting that two major QTL's (*Hal* and *RN*) are involved in the variation of pig meat quality, each of them affecting different parameters of the curve of post mortem fall in muscle pH : the rate of pH fall and pH₁ for *Hal* and the extent of pH fall and pH_u for *RN*.

1.2. Genetic polymorphisms

Several methods are available for detecting and describing genetic polymorphisms in the genome of domestic animals. "Classical" polymorphisms which were the only available until recent years are based on visible traits, such as coat colour, polledness, specific tests (e.g. exposure to halothane in pigs), one-dimensional and two-dimensional electrophoresis (e.g. of blood and milk proteins) and immunological techniques (blood groups, major histocompatibility complex,...).

In the last 10-15 years, the appearance of methodologies allowing to describing genetic variation at the molecular level has itself has been at the origin of several new classes of genetic polymorphisms (see, for instance, SOLLER, 1990).

- Restriction fragment length polymorphisms (RFLP).

This technique basically lies on the use of a group of enzymes (restriction endonucleases), each of which is able to cleave a DNA molecule at a large number of sites. Each cleavage site is defined by a specific 4 to 8 bp nucleotide sequence (4 to 8 base pairs), and each restriction enzyme is specific to sites having a particular nucleotide sequence. After enzymatic digestion, the resulting DNA fragments of various length can be separated by gel electrophoresis. As the size of the whole genome is very large (about 3 x 10⁹ bp for the haploid mammalian genome), the number of DNA fragments is considerable and a specific method was developed in the mid-1970's for identifying a particular fragment, by use of a previously cloned and labelled DNA fragment sequence which serves as a probe to locate its homologue on the electrophoresis gel ("Southern blot"). Most RFLP studies have been performed on the DNA of domestic animals.

far, whether resulting from point mutations or more important changes (deletion, insertion) in the DNA, are diallelic in nature, as found for instance in cattle (FRIES et al, 1989).

- Variable number of tandem repeats (VNTR) loci : minisatellites and microsatellites.

In the mid-1980's, polymorphisms consisting of a varying number of a tandemly repeated DNA sequence were found in humans. A number of probes, hybridizing to such VNTR regions, were developed and the electrophoretic banding patterns appeared to be unique to different individuals (DNA fingerprinting for individual identification and parentage checking). These VNTR loci, containing repeated sequences of 10 or more base pairs, are termed minisatellites and can be extremely polymorphic.

A more recently described class of VNTR loci is based on "microsatellite" sequences consisting of several or many repeats of 2 to 4 bp. These microsatellites exhibit site-specific length variation, similar to that shown by minisatellite sequences, and are highly polymorphic. Poly(TG), the most frequent microsatellite motif, appears as $5 \text{ to } 10 \times 10^4$ individual islets dispersed throughout the genome of many species. This number of poly(TG) microsatellites, if they are randomly distributed, would mean that a microsatellite islet of that type is present every 50 to 100 kb in the genome (kb = 1000 bp). In addition, the study of microsatellite polymorphisms can be facilitated by the use of polymerase chain reaction (PCR) amplification.

1.3. Associations between QTL's and markers

The observation of a significant association of a quantitative trait with the segregation of alleles at a marker locus indicates either that part of the variation of the trait is due to a pleiotropic effect of the marker gene (the marker is then the QTL) or that the marker locus is linked with one segregating locus (or perhaps several loci) controlling part of the variation of the trait. In the latter case, the effect of the marker is due to a statistical association (linkage disequilibrium) between alleles at the marker locus and the QTL : a limitation is that recombination events (crossing-over) between the two loci may reduce the linkage disequilibrium and therefore the strength of the association, unless the marker locus and the QTL are very closely linked.

Methods for detecting QTL's through linked markers will not be developed here. A number of methods using population differences are based on comparisons between marker genotypes for the trait of interest in the F_2 generation of a cross between populations (breeds or eventually inbred lines). Other methods are based on within-family comparisons between marker genotypes in a particular segregating population. For more details on experimental designs of QTL-marker linkage studies, see SOLLER and GENIZI (1978), BECKMANN and SOLLER (1988), SIMPSON (1989), WELLER et al (1990) and QTL and OLLIVIER (1992). According to SOLLER (1990), studies based on crosses would be most effective for detecting QTL's affecting traits whose value differs to a large extent between populations (e.g. populations differing in susceptibility to a particular disease or in reproductive traits), while studies based on within-family comparisons in one population would be most effective for detecting QTL's affecting traits showing large within-population genetic variance (e.g. meat content).

A common characteristic of marker-QTL linkage studies is the large size of the design to set up. From theoretical considerations, it turns out that several hundreds and more often 1000 to 2000 animals are required to detect QTL's having effects of, say, 0.3-0.5 standard deviation units and that QTL's having effects of less than 0.2 standard deviation units will be hard to detect, even in very large experiments (more than 5000 animals).

By analogy with the human genetic map, the total map length in domestic mammals is expected to be 30 Morgans (M), one Morgan representing the distance on which on average one crossing-over occurs each time a gamete is formed. Given a desired map distance of 20 cM (cM = centimorgan) between adjacent markers, around 150 markers would be required to obtain an evenly distributed genetic map. In fact, much more markers will be probably needed to generate a useful map for breeding purposes. Firstly, there is no a priori information on the location of the markers. Some chromosomal regions will be over-represented in the map and others under-represented. At that point, however, it should be possible to exploit comparative gene mapping data to search for markers whose location is known in other species in order to fill the remaining gaps in the map to be completed. Secondly, a given animal will be informative only for the marker loci for which it is heterozygous.

1.4. Marker-assisted breeding methods

The question relative to direct selection on specific quantitative trait loci and to indirect selection through linked marker loci has received attention for more than 25 years (e.g. SMITH, 1967 ; SOLLER, 1978 ; SMITH and WEBB, 1981). However, the interest for this question has been recently renewed with the appearance of DNA-level markers in the 1980's, since this class of markers potentially provides a much higher density of genome coverage and shows a higher degree of polymorphism. The efficiency of marker-assisted selection as compared to traditional methods of selection on phenotype has been examined on theoretical grounds by several authors (e.g. SMITH and SIMPSON, 1986 ; BECKMANN and SOLLER, 1987 ; HANSET, 1989 ; SOLLER and THOMPSON, 1990).

1.4.1. Marker-assisted selection

In general terms, for a trait of additive genetic variance σ_A^2 , genetic gain per unit of time is given by $\Delta G = i\sigma_A^2\rho/\sqrt{t}$, where i = intensity of selection, ρ = accuracy of selection (correlation between the criterion of selection and the true breeding value) and t = interval of generation.

Using information on QTL's, directly or most often through markers, may affect each of the 3 above parameters (OLLIVIER, 1990) :

(1) Accuracy of selection. Markers give a supplementary information which, combined with usual performance measurement, allows increases in the accuracy of estimation of breeding values. In individual selection schemes, this may be particularly useful for traits which cannot be accurately predicted on the live animal (carcass traits).

(2) Interval of generation. Markers may affect time of selection. Information on QTL-markers (e.g. blood markers) is usually available at birth or in the young age, allowing early selection decisions and a decrease in interval of generation (especially for traits expressed late in life such as reproductive traits). Early screening by means of markers may also reduce the numbers of individuals entering performance test or progeny test procedures, which leads to saving costs.

(3) Intensity of selection. Selection based on markers can be made independently of sex (as well as of age) and, for instance, allows to evaluate males and to increase intensity of selection for traits expressed only in females (e.g. prolificity).

In order to assess the interest of taking into account additional information provided by marker loci, consider the case of individual selection on a single trait. The marker information may be summarized by a "molecular score" (see THOMPSON, 1990) and marker-assisted selection criterion is an index $I = b_1P + b_2M$ with P = individual phenotypic value and M = individual molecular score. The relative efficiency of marker-assisted selection (based on the index I) compared to individual selection (based on P alone) is given by $R = \sqrt{(m/h^2) + [(1-m)^2/(1-mh^2)]}$ with h^2 = heritability of the trait and m = proportion of the additive genetic variance which is associated with the marker loci contributing to the molecular score. Values of R for different values of h^2 and m are given in table 3. In that situation, the advantage of including marker information in selection is substantial for traits of low heritability if an important fraction of the additive genetic variance is associated with the markers. However, it must be kept in mind here that most of the QTL's affecting a lowly heritable trait are expected to have small effects (see table 2) and therefore that only QTL-marker linkage experiments of very large scale are able to detect such QTL's.

Table 3 - Relative efficiency (R) of marker-assisted selection and individual selection (see text)

m	h ²			
	0.05	0.10	0.25	0.50
0.25	2.36	1.75	1.26	1.07
0.50	3.20	2.29	1.51	1.17
0.75	3.88	2.75	1.75	1.26
1	4.37	3.16	2.00	1.41

A particular type of marker-assisted selection is that concerning a specific single locus previously detected as having a major effect on certain quantitative or qualitative traits. In pigs, the halothane sensitivity locus (Hal) belongs to a linkage group encompassing several blood marker loci (Gpi, H, Pgd, A1BG,...). Some of these "classical" markers have been used for reducing the frequency of the halothane sensitivity gene either from examination of within-family segregation (JUNEJA, 1985) or on a population basis in one breed showing strong linkage disequilibrium between Hal and the markers (SELLIER, 1985). Recently, further progress has been achieved for the control of the halothane gene with the discovery of a DNA-level marker relative to the ryanodine receptor (RYR), the calcium release channel of the skeletal muscle sarcoplasmic reticulum. A single point mutation at nucleotide 1843 of the RYR gene has been identified as being correlated with halothane sensitivity, probably being causative of, halothane sensitivity in several porcine breeds (FUJII et al, 1991 ; OTSU et al, 1991).

1.4.2. Marker-assisted introgression

Given a resource population identified as containing a favourable QTL allele which one wishes to introduce into an existing commercial breeding stock, two procedures are available according to that donor and recipient populations differ greatly or differ slightly in overall economic merit. In the first case, it is possible to create a "composite" population by carrying out a breeding programme in this new population. In the second case, it will be better to implement an individual

programme in order to introduce the desired favourable gene from the donor to the recipient population without appreciably reducing the genetic level of other economically important traits in the latter population.

An introgression programme consists of carrying out a series of backcrosses between the two populations, with the recipient commercial population as the recurrent parent, for reducing to a minimum the contribution of the donor population, other than the desired favourable allele, to the final new population. Use of markers greatly facilitates the introgression since it allows to keep the frequency of the favourable allele at maximum levels (i.e. 0.50) until the end of the backcrossing procedures. Marker-assisted selection can also be useful by allowing a more rapid fixation of the introgressed gene in the subsequent intercross generations. The most favourable situation is to have the introgressed gene "bracketed" by a pair of marker loci, with a marker haplotype which is unique to the donor population. The primary advantage of a marker haplotype compared to a single marker is that recombination events changing the linkage relationships between QTL and marker alleles can be detected.

UTILIZATION OF TRANSGENIC ANIMALS

2.1. Historical background

The ability to insert functional foreign genes into the germ line of animals is one of the major recent advances in biology. The first animals carrying experimentally introduced foreign genetic material (virus SV40) were produced in the mid-1970's. However, it was the paper published by PALMITER et al (1982) on "giant" transgenic mice (showing, in some cases, a nearly doubled size compared to their non-transgenic littermates) which really attracted the attention of geneticists working on large animals. Following this pioneer work on mice, most gene transfer experiments with farm animals have been concerned with the manipulation of concentration of circulating somatotropin by means of the integration of a number of copies of foreign growth hormone gene into the genome : for reviews, see PURSEL et al (1989), CLARK (1990), HOUEBINE (1990), WALL et al (1990). The first transgenic rabbits, pigs and sheep were produced by the mid-1980's (HAMMER et al, 1985 ; BREM et al, 1985).

2.2. Methods for gene transfer

Several methodologies are currently being used to produce transgenic animals (JAENISCH, 1988). In mammalian species, the most widely and successfully used method is the microinjection of cloned DNA directly into the male pronucleus of a fertilized egg. Infection of embryos at various developmental stages by retroviral vectors can also be used, this method being probably the only feasible for generating transgenic chickens. A more recently developed technique involves the production of genes by DNA transfection or retroviral transduction into embryonic stem (ES) cells, which are capable to colonize the host blastocysts and to contribute to the germ line of the resulting mosaic animal.

Transgenesis is based on fusion genes made of parts from several genes. The transferred genes usually consist of a regulatory element (promoter or enhancer) from one gene fused to the structural DNA sequence of another gene. For instance, the first transgenic pigs (designated mMT-hGH) were obtained from gene constructions composed of mouse metallothionein-I as promoter and human growth hormone gene as structural gene (HAMMER et al, 1985 ; BREM et al, 1985).

2.3. Integration and expression of transgenes

Overall efficiency of transgenesis remains low, as shown in table 4 (WALL et al, 1990) : the proportion of transferred microinjected eggs which result in an individual expressing the transgene is 2% in mice and appears to be 5-10 times lower in pigs and sheep. Prenatal mortality is a significant contributor to the inefficiency of transgenesis in pigs and sheep as less than 50% of the microinjected eggs develop to term. However, in pigs as well as in mice, transgenes are expressed in transgenic individuals at a rate of 60%. A general feature of producing transgenic animals by DNA microinjection is the highly variable number of transgene copies which become integrated at a single random site of the host genome. In addition, the amount of the product seems to be uncorrelated with the number of inserted transgene copies. So, the level of expression of a particular transgene is unpredictable and each transgenic animal is essentially unique.

2.4. Transmission of transgenes

A transgene (T) is integrated in one of the host chromosomes to give an hemizygous (TO) transgenic individual. The general rule is that the transgene is transmitted to offspring of the transgenic founder by ordinary Mendelian inheritance (i.e. to about 50% of offspring). However, abnormal transmission patterns have been observed. As reported by Pursel et al (1990) in pigs, a proportion of transgenic boars failed to transmit the transgene to their progeny and were probably mosaics, with integration only in somatic cells. Mosaicism in the germ line was probably present in a boar who transmitted the transgene to only 1 of 33 offspring.

Table 4 - Efficiency of transgene integration in three mammalian species (according to WALL et al, 1990)

Item	Species		
	Mouse	Pig	Sheep
Size of data set (*)	>100	70	13
Offspring per injected egg transferred	25%	8%	8%
Transgenics per offspring	10%	8%	6%
Expressors per transgenic	60%	62%	33%
Expressing transgenic per injected egg transferred	2%	0.3%	0.2%

(*) Number of transgenic animals upon which percentages are based.

2.5 Performance of transgenic GH pigs and sheep

In contrast to the observation of a considerably increased growth rate in transgenic mice which express foreign genes, transgenic GH pigs generally exhibit the same daily gain as control pigs (review by PURSEL et al, 1990). Efficiency and carcass leanness are markedly improved in transgenics, but the continuous "over-expression" of GH results in serious health problems (lameness, gastric ulcers,...) and in impaired reproductive capacity (anoestrus in gilts, lack of oestrogen in boars). The same pattern of effects was reported in transgenic GH sheep by WARD et al (1990).

2.6. Use of transgenes for livestock improvement

As pointed out by SMITH et al ((1987) and ELSEN (1988), a gene transfer operation conducted for improvement of a particular target trait in farm animals comprises several steps :

- identification of candidate genes
- choice of the most relevant gene for transfer,
- cloning of the gene and development of the gene construction used for transfer,
- production of transgenics,
- screening of the individuals carrying and expressing the transgene,
- evaluation of the merit of each transgenic individual for the target trait and also for all other traits of economic importance,
- testing the transmission of the transgene to offspring,
- development of a transgenic stock from the founder(s) of highest interest,
- dissemination of transgenic breeding animals to commercial farms.

As a general rule, development of transgenics should take place within current nucleus breeding stocks in order to avoid a genetic lag which would ensue if stocks of lower original economic merit were used.

2.7 Other possible use of transgenes

Gene transfer operations in farm animals may be developed to produce novel products, e.g. molecules of high value, or to induce compositional changes in traditional animal products such as milk (MERCIER et al, 1986 ; MUYSSAERT et al, 1989 ; VERRINDER GIBBINS, 1989).

3 - SOME OTHER APPLICATIONS OF MOLECULAR GENETICS

A list of other applications of recombinant DNA techniques in the field of animal production is given below.

3.1. Manipulation of sex ratio

Available methods for altering the normal mammalian sex ratio were recently reviewed by Mc EVOY (1992). In cattle, specific DNA probes in cattle (e.g. LEONARD et al, 1987) and sheep allows to sexing individual embryos in vitro and transplantation.

3.2. Genetically engineered vaccines

With the advent of DNA manipulation techniques, a new generation of veterinary vaccines has appeared, as reviewed by AYNAUD (1991). Possibility to make distinction between vaccinated and infected animals is one of the major advantages of these new vaccines.

3.3. Production of "recombinant" molecules for administration to animals

In that area, exogenous administration of bovine somatotropin (bST) or porcine somatotropin (pST) is a well known example. In the first attempts to investigate the effects of daily injections of pST on pig growth performance, purified pituitary extracts were used. In the 1980's, progress in genetic engineering allowed that manipulated microorganisms produce large quantities of highly purified recombinant growth hormone and it was shown that recombinant pST has

ects as native pituitary pST (EVOCK et al, 1988). The effects of exogenous pST administration to growing-finishing pigs are recently reviewed by BONNEAU (1991). However, the legal authorization for the use of pST by pig industry remains certain.

3.4. Labelling of animal products

The probable appearance of a very large number of DNA-level polymorphic markers in farm animals leads some people to think that in the future it could be possible to "patent" the origin of animal products, e.g. meat from a particular species or even from a particular breed.

CONCLUSION

There is no doubt that the considerable advances in molecular genetics and DNA manipulation offer new opportunities applications in the area of genetic improvement of farm animals. However, too optimistic views on the immediate potential of these new methodologies should be avoided and, as pointed out by KENNEDY et al (1990) among others, debates on molecular versus quantitative genetics are essentially meaningless.

Traditional breeding methods, though lying on fairly simplistic genetic models, have been, and still are, a powerful tool generating genetic changes in many traits, particularly in traits showing moderate or high heritability (growth efficiency and carcass composition). Many geneticists agree for considering that selection based on DNA-level markers should not be seen as an alternative to current methods of selection on phenotype. The true question is where and how information on markers can be integrated into the quantitative framework of traditional breeding programmes. The best theoretical prospects for marker-assisted selection schemes concern lowly heritable traits. In that case, the problem however remains to be able to detect a sufficient number of QTL's with appreciable effects in order to have a noticeable proportion of the additive genetic variance which is associated with the markers. One can think that in the short term marker-assisted selection will be most efficient for "point" actions dealing with a few major QTL's which are already identified for most of them. This approach has been exemplified in the last few years by the discovery of DNA-level blood markers for the halothane gene in pigs and casein genes in dairy animals.

As for the gene transfer technology, results found so far on the integration of growth-related transgenes in pigs and sheep are rather disappointing from an overall point of view, due to highly unfavourable correlated effects on health and fertility. Prospects for transgenesis are perhaps of greater promise if the purpose is to modify composition and/or quality of animal products. However, it is felt that muscle and meat are less suitable targets than milk for this type of genetic engineering. It is not, at this stage, obvious that genetically modified animals will play a role, in the near future, in meat-producing species.

REFERENCES

- NAUD J.M., 1991. Les vaccins vétérinaires de nouvelle génération. *INRA Prod. anim.*, 4, 89-95.
- BECKMANN J.S., SOLLER M., 1987. Molecular markers in the genetic improvement of farm animals. *Biotechnology*, 5, 573-576.
- BECKMANN J.S., SOLLER M., 1988. Detection of linkage between marker loci and loci affecting quantitative traits in crosses between segregating populations. *Theor. Appl. Genet.*, 76, 228-236.
- BONNEAU M., 1991. Regulation of pig growth by somatotrophic hormones. II-The effect of exogenous GRF or pST administration on performance and meat quality. *Pig News and Information*, 12, 39-45.
- REM G., BRENING B., GOODMAN H.M., SELDEN R.C., GRAF R., KRUFF B., SPRINGMANN K., HONDELE J., MEYER J., WINNACKER E.L., KRAÜSSLICH H., 1985. Production of transgenic mice, rabbits and pigs by microinjection into pronuclei. *Zuchthygiene*, 20, 251-252.
- LARK A.J., 1990. Transgenic animals applied to livestock production. In "Proceedings of the 4th World Congress on Genetics Applied to Livestock Production" (W.G. Hill, R. Thompson and J.A. Woolliams, eds), Vol. XIII, pp. 37-40.
- SEN J.M., 1988. Génie génétique : le point de vue du sélectionneur. *Biofutur*, 69, 17-22.
- EVOCK C.M., ETHERTON T.D., CHUNG C.S., IVY R.E., 1988. Pituitary porcine growth hormone (pGH) and a recombinant pGH analog stimulate pig growth performance in a similar manner. *J. Anim. Sci.*, 66, 1928-1941.
- RIES R., BECKMANN J.S., GEORGES M., SOLLER M., WOMACK J., 1989. The bovine gene map. *Anim. Genet.*, 20, 3-29.
- WILL J., OTSU K., ZORZATO F., DE LEON S., KHANNA V.K., WEILER J.E., O'BRIEN P.J., MACLENNAN D.H., 1991. Identification of a mutation in porcine ryanodine receptor associated with malignant hyperthermia. *Science*, 253, 448-451.
- HAINE B., JUNEJA R.K., 1985. Prediction of the halothane (HAL) genotypes of pigs by deducing HAL, PHI, P02, PGD haplotypes of parents and offspring : results from a large-scale practice in Swedish breeds. *Anim. Blood Grps Biochem. Genet.*, 16, 265-283.

- GELDERMANN H., 1975. Investigation on inheritance of quantitative characters in animals by gene markers. I. Methods. *Appl. Genet.*, 46, 300-319.
- GIBSON J.P., SMITH C., 1986. Technology and animal breeding : applications in livestock improvement. In "3rd Congress on Genetics Applied to Livestock Production" (G.E. Dickerson and R.K. Johnson, eds), vol. XII, pp. 96-106.
- GÖTZ K.U., OLLIVIER L., 1992. Theoretical aspects of applying sib-pair linkage tests to livestock species. *Genet. Sel. Evol.*, 29-42.
- HAMMER R.E., PURSEL V.G., REXROAD C.E.Jr., WALL R.J., BOLT D.J., EBERT J.M., PALMITER R.D., BRINSTER R.L., 1989. Production of transgenic rabbits, sheep and pigs by microinjection. *Nature*, 315, 680-683.
- HANSET R., 1989. Les perspectives d'une sélection assistée par marqueurs. *Ann. Méd. Vét.*, 133, 465-488.
- HANSET R., MICHAUX C., 1985. On the genetic determinism of muscular hypertrophy in the Belgian White and Blue breed. I. Experimental data. II. Population data. *Génét. Sél. Evol.*, 17, 359-368, 369-386.
- HOUEBINE L.M., 1990. Les manipulations génétiques : comment améliorer la croissance. *INRA Prod. anim.*, 3, 207-211.
- KENNEDY B.W., VERRINDER GIBBINS A.M., GIBSON J.P., SMITH C., 1990. Coalescence of molecular and quantitative genetics for livestock improvement. *J. Dairy Sci.*, 73, 2619-2627.
- LANDE R., THOMPSON R., 1990. Efficiency of marker-assisted selection in the improvement of quantitative traits. *Genet. Res.*, 124, 743-756.
- LEONARD M., KIRZENBAUM M., COTINOT C., CHESNE P., HEYMAN Y., STINNAKRE M.G., BISHOP C., DELORD M., VAIMAN M., FELLOUS M., 1987. Sexing bovine embryos using Y-chromosome-specific DNA probe. *Theriogenology*, 248 (Abstr.).
- LE ROY P., NAVEAU J., ELSÉN J.M., SELLIER P., 1990. Evidence for a new major gene influencing meat quality in the pig. *Genet. Res.*, 55, 33-40.
- Mc EVOY J.D., 1992. Alteration of the sex ratio. *Anim. Breed. Abstr.*, 60, 97-111.
- MATHER K., JINKS J.L., 1971. *Biometrical Genetics*. Chapman Hall, London.
- MERAT P., 1990. Effets associés et utilisation de gènes majeurs réduisant la taille chez la poule domestique. *INRA Prod. anim.*, 3, 151-158.
- MERCIER J.C., 1986. Genetic engineering applied to milk producing animals : some expectations. In "Exploiting genetic technologies in animal breeding. Genetic developments" (C. Smith, J.W.B. King and J.C. McKay, eds), Oxford University Press, pp. 122-130.
- MUYSSON D.J., VERRINDER GIBBINS A.M., 1989. The alteration of milk content by genetic engineering and recombinant DNA-mediated selection techniques. *Can. J. Anim. Sci.*, 69, 517-527.
- OLLIVIER L., 1990. Les gènes marqueurs et leur utilisation en amélioration génétique. Séminaire "Biotechnologies et génétique du porc", 29-30 mai 1990, Rennes, Institut Technique du Porc, 5 p.
- OLLIVIER L., SELLIER P., MONIN G., 1975. Déterminisme génétique du syndrome d'hyperthermie maligne chez le porc. *Ann. Génét. Sél. anim.*, 7, 159-166.
- OTSU K., KHANNA V.K., ARCHIBALD A.L., MACLENNAN D.H., 1991. Cosegregation of porcine malignant hyperthermia with a probable causal mutation in the skeletal muscle ryanodine receptor gene in backcross families. *Genomics*, 11, 70-74.
- PALMITER R.D., BRINSTER R.L., HAMMER R.E., TRUMBAUER M.E., ROSENFELD M.G., BRINBERG N.C., EVANS R.N., 1989. Dramatic growth of mice that develop from eggs microinjected with metallothionein-growth hormone fusion protein. *Nature*, 300, 611-615.
- PIPER L.R., BINDON B.M., DAVIS G.H., 1985. The single gene inheritance of the high litter size of the Booroola Merino. "Genetics of reproduction in sheep" (R.B. Land and D.W. Robinson, eds), Butterworths, London, pp. 115-125.
- PURSEL V.G., PINKERT C.A., MILLER K.F., BOLT D.J., CAMPBELL R.G., PALMITER R.D., BRINSTER R.L., HAMMER R.E., 1989. Genetic engineering of livestock. *Science*, 244, 1281-1288.
- PURSEL V.G., BOLT D.J., MILLER K.F., PINKERT C.A., HAMMER R.E., PALMITER R.D., BRINSTER R.L., 1990. Expression of growth hormone in transgenic pigs. *J. Reprod. Fertil., Suppl.* 40, 235-245.
- SELLIER P., 1985. The use of blood markers as an aid for selecting against the halothane sensitivity gene in the Landrace pig breed. 36th EAAP Annual Meeting, Kallithea, Greece, paper G4-10.
- SIMPSON S.P., 1989. Detection of linkage between quantitative trait loci and restriction fragment length polymorphisms in inbred lines. *Theor. Appl. Genet.*, 77, 815-819.

1967. Improvement of metric traits through specific genetic loci. *Anim. Prod.*, 9, 349-358.
- MEUWISSEN T.H.E., GIBSON J.P., 1987. On the use of transgenes in livestock improvement. *Anim. Breed. Abstr.*, 96-103, 1-10.
- SIMPSON S.P., 1986. The use of genetic polymorphisms in livestock improvement. *J. Anim. Breed. Genet.*, 103, 205-217.
- WEBB A.J., 1981. Effect of major genes on animal breeding strategies. *Z. Tierz. Züchtgsbiol.*, 98, 161-169.
- ER M., 1978. The use of loci associated with quantitative traits in dairy cattle improvement. *Anim. Prod.*, 27, 133-139.
- ER M., 1990. Genetic mapping of the bovine genome using deoxyribonucleic acid-level markers to identify loci affecting quantitative traits of economic importance. *J. Dairy Sci.*, 73, 2628-2646.
- ER M., BECKMANN J.S., 1990. Molecular mapping of quantitative genes. in "Proceedings of the 4th World Congress on Genetics Applied to Livestock Production" (W.G. Hill, R. Thompson and J.A. Woolliams, eds), Vol. XIII, pp. 93-96.
- ER M., GENIZI A., 1978. The efficiency of experimental designs for the detection of linkage between a marker locus and a locus affecting a quantitative trait in segregating populations. *Biometrics*, 34, 47-55.
- AY J.M., THOMPSON J.N. Jr., 1976. The number of segregating genes implied by continuous variation. *Genetica*, 46, 335-344.
- R.J., BOLT D.J., FRELS W.I., HAWK H.W., KING D., PURSEL V.G., REXROAD C.E. Jr., ROHAN R.M., 1990. Transgenic farm animals : current state of the art. *AgBiotech News and Information*, 2, 391-395.
- D K.A., NANCARROW C.D., MURRAY J.D., SHANAHAN C.M., BYRNE C.R., RIGBY N.W., TOWNROW C.A., LEISH Z., WILSON B.W., GRAHAM N.H., WYNN P.C., HUNT C.L., SPECK P.A., 1990. The current status of genetic engineering in domestic animals. *J. Dairy Sci.*, 73, 2586-2592.
- ER J.I., KASHI Y., SOLLER M., 1990. Power of daughter and granddaughter designs for determining linkage between marker loci and quantitative trait loci in dairy cattle. *J. Dairy Sci.*, 73, 2525-2537.