^{ICLE PROTEINASES AND MEAT AGING}

MAMMAD KOOHMARAIE

^MARS, Roman L. Hruska U.S. Meat Animal Research Center, P.O. Box 166, Clay Center, Nebraska 68933, U.S.A

246. The purpose of this manuscript is to review and summarize the results of experiments conducted in our pros^{6ratory} regarding the mechanism of meat tenderization during postmortem storage of carcasses at refrigerated ^{beratures}. Clearly, the conversion of muscle to meat and the subsequent tenderization process are complex Juer ^{chomena} and much remains to be learned. However, current experimental data suggest that proteolysis of key ^{fibrillar} proteins is the principal reason for improvement in meat tenderness during postmortem storage. ^{ty pr}oteins is the principal reason for improvement in models of desmin (and probably degradation t_{itin}), the weakening and/or degradation of Z-disks and degradation of desmin (and probably degradation t_{itin}). ^{titin)} are responsible for the increased fragility of myofibrils during postmortem storage. There is ^{stantial} experimental evidence suggesting that the calpain proteolytic system is responsible for postmortem ^{Heolysis th}at results in meat tenderization. Calpain is the only proteolytic system that has all of the ^{hacteristics} that are necessary for bringing about postmortem changes that result in meat tenderization. ^{hubtedly}, other factors (such as rate of pH and temperature decline during rigor development, ionic ^{Phytedly}, other factors (such as rate of pH and temperature decline during rigor development, ionic Thgth, ^{and} others) influence the process. However, we believe that the rate and extent of postmortem $u_{e_0}|_{v_{e_0}}$ u_{e_0} (u_{e_0}) influence the process. However, we believe that the system in postmortem muscle. u_{e_0} (u_{e_0}) ^{wld} be directed toward understanding the regulation of the calpain proteolytic system in postmortem muscle.

The improvement in meat tenderness during postmortem storage of carcasses at refrigerated temperatures has h known th known since the turn of the century (LEHMANN, 1907). However, the mechanism through which these changes Boo the semained elusive and controversial.

^{Be}cause consumers consider tenderness to be the most important organoleptic characteristic of meat, it is ^{sential that} we understand the mechanism of meat tenderization so that methodologies can be developed to ^{hipulate} the process advantageously. Undoubtedly, the mechanism of tenderization is complex and affected by ^{Aumber} of ^{Variables}. Over the years, the following variables have been proposed to influence meat ^{Aderness}. ^{Nderness: animal age and gender, rate of glycolysis, amount and solubility of collagen, sarcomere length,} ^hic strength and degradation of myofibrillar proteins.

The purpose of this manuscript is to review and summarize the results of experiments conducted in this ^{Orat}ory no ^{boratory} ^{related} to the role of endogenous proteinases in the postmortem tenderization process. The ^{huscript} i ^{Inuscript} ^{is} not intended to be a comprehensive review of all factors affecting meat tenderness. Throughout ^{PC is} not intended to be a comprehensive review of all factors affecting mean ^{Manuscri}pt, postmortem storage is defined as holding of carcasses at refrigerated temperatures and should ^{distinguise}. Also, our research efforts have ^{distinguished} from other methods such as high temperature conditioning. Also, our research efforts have ^{ten} di^{rected} from other methods such as high temperature conditioning. Also, call ^{les} ^ahd should and erstanding the causes of variation in meat tenderness of animals slaughtered at similar ^{les a}hd should should and should and should and should an animal should be added at similar to should be added at similar the state of the should be added at similar the state of the should be added at similar the should be added at the should be added at the should be added at should be added at the should be added <sup>les and toward understanding the causes of variation in meat tenderness of annual
<sup>les and should not be extrapolated to other situations. For additional information, the reader is referred to
^{number of not be extrapolated to other situations of public 1987; DAVEY, 1983; DUTSON, 1983; DUTSON}</sup></sup> ^{Number} of ^{review} papers written on this subject (ASGHAR and BHATTI, 1987; DAVEY, 1983; DUTSON, 1983; DUTSON ^{NU PEARSON, 1000} ¹⁴ PEARSON, 1985; GOLL et al., 1983; GREASER, 1986; KOOHMARAIE, 1988, 1992a,b; MARSH, 1977, 1983;

MARSH et al., 1988; OUALI, 1990, 1992; PEARSON, 1986; PENNY, 1980; ROBSON and HUIATT, 1983; ROBSON et al. 1981, 1984). Throughout this manuscript, due to space limitation, original information source will be g only when the subject has not been addressed in these review articles.

ssue

e Sa

Si

. 91

Vofib

Postmortem Changes in Skeletal Muscle

Because of the number of recent reviews in this area (see above), only important changes as th^{ey reli} the objective of this manuscript will be discussed. During postmortem storage of carcasses, numerous d occur in skeletal muscle, some of which result in the loss of tissue integrity which is translated in^{to} improvement of meat tenderness. These changes include: 1) Z-disk weakening and/or degradation whi^{ch le} fragmentation of myofibrils. 2) Degradation of desmin which leads to fragmentation of myofibrils, proba through disruption of transverse crosslinking between myofibrils. 3) Degradation of titin. Titin ^{fild} which are made up of titin molecules, connect myosin filaments, along their length, from the M-lin^{e to t} Z-disk (WANG, 1985). Titin has been proposed to be involved in the regulation of the elasticity of the (WANG et al., 1991). When titin was preferentially destroyed by radiation (HOROWITS et al., 1986) or M_{eq} controlled proteolysis (YOSHIOKA et al., 1986), the tension of stretched muscle was reduced. Therefore Musi degradation of titin during postmortem storage would cause weakening of myofibril strength and, th^{erefu} improvement in meat tenderness. 4. Degradation of nebulin. Because of the location of nebulin in myo (I-band), it is not clear how nebulin degradation will affect meat tenderness. 5) Disappearance of t^{rov} and simultaneous appearance of polypeptides with molecular weight of 28 to 32. This is the most notice have reported change that occurs during postmortem storage. However, because of the location of troponⁱⁿ¹ myofibrils (i.e., I-band), it is doubtful that degradation of troponin-T by itself will have a direct ^{entrep}l meat tenderness. But, these changes (i.e., the disappearance to troponin-T and appearance of 28 to 32 isen polypeptides) seem to be good indicators of the extent of postmortem proteolysis. The origin of 2^{8} to totej polypeptides has not been determined and, therefore, these polypeptide could be from degradation of an myofibrillar proteins with molecular mass greater than 32 kDa. 6) Appearance of a polypeptide with a main weight of 95. Neither the origin nor its significance to meat tenderness is known. 7) Perhaps the main and the provided the provided to the provided tenderness is known. important observation is that the major contractile proteins (myosin and actin) are not affected. important changes that occurs in the tissue is the ease of fragmentation of myofibrils under controlle nd 3) homogenization, which does not occur in the unaged tissue. This phenomenon, first reported by DAVEY to tec GILBERT (1969), which is measured routinely by a number of laboratories, is called Myofibril Fragments at her Str lugges Index (MFI) and is highly related to meat tenderness (for review see PARRISH, 1977). Speculative^{1y,} weakening and/or degradation of Z-disks and degradation of desmin (and probably degradation of t^{itin) type} responsible for the increased fragility of myofibrils during postmortem storage. Mechanisms of Postmortem Changes in Muscle Tissue

Clearly, the changes discussed in the previous section are all produced by proteolytic action; and Men a therefore, the changes resulting in improvement in meat tenderness are produced by endogenous proteological ytosois not a new concept. As early as 1917, HOAGLAND et al concluded that proteolysis was an important with contributing to postmortem changes in skeletal muscle, including meat tenderness. Because the proteout

tal that occur in skeletal muscle during postmortem storage are minimal, the classical methods failed to ^{Aect these} changes and, therefore, the proteolysis hypothesis was questionable until the advent of gel etrophoresis. Gel electrophoresis made it possible to demonstrate these minimal, yet significant, changes therefore, give credibility to the proteolysis theory. Based on the observation reported by numerous ^{horatories}, PENNY (1980) concluded that, "there is no doubt that proteolytic enzymes are responsible for the ^{Anges} during conditioning (postmortem storage)." Tenderness could also be improved by changes in the ^{mective} tissue; however, because proteolytic changes in collagen (the principal component of the connective ^{Asue}) during postmortem storage comparable to those of myofibrillar proteins have not been observed (TARRANT, B) ^k, ^{the role of collagen is questionable at best. In addition, while collagen may affect meat tenderness of} ^{same muscle} obtained from young (e.g., 1 year old) and old animals (e.g., 7 years old), it is doubtful if ^{v significant} differences exist in collagen solubility of muscle (e.g., longissimus) from animals of similar We, therefore, have concluded that differences in the rates of myofibrillar protein degradation are the therefore, have concluded that differences in the radius of a nimals of similar age. of ^{Meed}, there is substantial experimental evidence in support of this theory. Some of these include: 1) ^{ore Musion} of ^{carcasses} with zinc chloride, which inhibits postmortem proteolysis, also inhibits the w^{of him}al ^{anon} of carcasses with zinc chloride, which inhibits postmortem protections. W^{of him}al ^{anon} process (KOOHMARAIE, 1990). 2) Muscle from B-adrenergic agonist fed animals which undergo ^{himal} or no postmortem proteolysis, also remains tough compared to muscle from untreated animals (FIEMS th^{or no} Postmortem proteolysis, also remains tough compared to muscle from difference and shackELFORD, 1991; WHEELER and ¹¹² MHARAIE and SHACKELFORD, 1991; WHEELER and ¹¹² MHARAIE and SHACKELFORD, 1991; WHEELER and ¹¹² MHARAIE ^{1/2¹} ^{1/290}; KRETCHMAR et al., 1990; KOOHMARAIE et al., 1991a; KUOHMARAIE and ^{1/2} ^{1/1} ^{194E, 1992}). 3) Differences in the extent of postmortem proteorysis and ^{196erences} in meat tenderness between <u>Bos taurus</u> and <u>Bos indicus</u> breeds of cattle (SHACKELFORD et al., 1991; ¹⁹⁹¹ ¹⁹⁹² ¹⁹⁹² ¹⁹⁹² ¹⁹⁹² ¹⁹⁹² t^{e HIPPLE} et al., 1990) and 4) differences in rate of postmortem proteolysis are probably the reason for the ^{32 Userved} . ^{32 Userved} differences in meat tenderness from pigs, sheep and cattle (KOOHMARAIE et al., 1991b). Definases Involved in Postmortem Proteolysis

relat

s chan

nto th

h lead

robat

ilane.

to the

the

Currently, While the proteolysis theory is accepted by most, the question of proteinases involved has ^{mained} controversial. Proteinases should have the following characteristics to be considered as possible ^{med Controversial}. Proteinases should have the following characteristics to be and the substrate (i.e., myofibrils); m^{eⁱ le^letal muscle cell (for details see GOLL et al., 1983); 2) have access to the substrate (i.e., myofibrils); have interval degraded during postmortem storage. The} $1^{p^{1}}$ Muscle cell (for details see GOLL et al., 1983); 2) have access to the second during postmortem storage. The $1^{p^{1}}$ have the ability to degrade the same proteins that are degraded during postmortem storage. The $1^{p^{1}}$ hoteolytic ^{of have} the ability to degrade the same proteins that are degraded during postmortem to the same proteins that are degraded during postmortem to the same proteins that are degraded during postmortem to the same proteins that have the potential to be involved in postmortem proteolysis include: 1) the lysosomal at the same proteins. Such as that have the potential to be involved in postmortem proteolysis include: 1) the lysosomal at the same proteins that have the potential to be involved in postmortem proteolysis include: 1) the lysosomal at the same proteins at the same proteins are degraded during postmortem proteolysis include: 1) the lysosomal at the same proteolysis at the same proteolysis include at the same proteolysis at the potential to be involved in postmortem proteolysis include: 1) the lysosomal at the same proteolysis at the same proteolysis at the potential to be involved in postmortem proteolysis include at the same proteolysis at the potential to be involved in postmortem proteolysis include at the potential to be involved in postmortem proteolysis include at the potential to be involved in postmortem proteolysis include at the potential to be involved in postmortem proteolysis include at the potential to be involved in postmortem proteolysis include at the potential to be involved in postmortem proteolysis include at the potential to be involved in postmortem proteolysis include at the potential to be involved in postmortem proteolysis include at the potential to be involved in postmortem proteolysis include at the potential to be involved in postmortem proteolysis include at the potential to be involved in postmortem proteolysis include at the potential to be at the potential ^{1/y tic} systems that have the potential to be involved in postmortem proteoryste ^{1/y aggests} (MCP); and 3) the calpains. Current experimental evidence ^{1/y aggests} that ^(PSINS; 2) the multicatalytic proteinase complex (MCP); and 3) the calpains. Call ^(PSINS; 2) the multicatalytic proteinase complex (MCP); and 3) the calpains. Call ^(PSINS; 2) the multicatalytic proteinase complex (MCP); and 3) the calpains. Call ^(PSINS; 2) the multicatalytic proteinase complex (MCP); and 3) the calpains. Call ^(PSINS; 2) the multicatalytic proteinase complex (MCP); and 3) the calpains. Call ^(PSINS; 2) the multicatalytic proteinase complex (MCP); and 3) the calpains. Call ^(PSINS; 2) the multicatalytic proteinase complex (MCP); and 3) the calpains. Call ^(PSINS; 2) the multicatalytic proteinase complex (MCP); and 3) the calpains. Call ^(PSINS; 2) the multicatalytic proteinase complex (MCP); and 3) the calpains. Call ^(PSINS; 2) the multicatalytic proteinase complex (MCP); and 3) the calpains. Call ^(PSINS; 2) the multicatalytic proteinase complex (MCP); and 3) the calpains. Call ^(PSINS; 2) the multicatalytic proteinase complex (MCP); and 3) the calpains. Call ^(PSINS; 2) the multicatalytic proteinase complex (MCP); and 3) the calpains. Call ^(PSINS; 2) the multicatalytic proteinase complex (MCP); and 3) the calpains. Call ^(PSINS; 2) the multicatalytic proteinase complex (MCP); and 3) the calpains. Call ^(PSINS; 2) the multicatalytic proteinase complex (MCP); and 3) the calpains. Call ^(PSINS; 2) the multicatalytic proteinase complex (MCP); and 3) the calpains. Call ^(PSINS; 2) the multicatalytic proteinase complex (MCP); and 3) the calpains. Call ^(PSINS; 2) the multicatalytic proteinase complex (MCP); and 3) the calpains. Call ^(PSINS; 2) the multicatalytic proteinase complex (MCP); and 3) the calpains. Call ^(PSINS; 2) the multicatalytic proteinase complex (MCP); and 3) the calpains. Call ^(PSINS; 2) the multicatalytic proteinase complex (MCP); and 3) the calpains. Call ^(PSINS; 2) the multicatalytic proteinase complex (MCP); and 3) the calpains. Call ^(PSINS; 2) the multicatalytic proteinase complex (MCP); and 3) the calpainse complex (MCP); and 3) the calpainse ^{Vos th}at lysosomal cathepsins do not play a significant role in posimor can positive and myosin, while among yofibrillan Vofibrillar Proteins these are the primary substrates for lysosomal cathepsins; 2) lysosomal cathepsins are ^{by} ^{by} ^{assumed} within lysosomes and must, therefore, be released to have access to myofibrils. While it has ^{of Non assumed} within lysosomes and must, therefore, be released to nave access ^{of Vtosol}, that during postmortem storage lysosomes are ruptured, thereby releasing cathepsins into the this hypothesis. To the contrary, the only experime ^{assumed} that during postmortem storage lysosomes are ruptured, thereby releasing and the only experiment th hat has example is no experimental evidence to support this hypothesis. To the contrary, the only experiment the bas example is no experimental evidence to support this hypothesis. what has examined the accuracy of this hypothesis indicates that even after electrical stimulation and 28 days diff storage at the accuracy of this hypothesis indicates that even after electrical stimulation. Because of ^{nds} examined the accuracy of this hypothesis indicates that even after electrical other storage at 4°C, lysosomal enzymes were still localized within lysosomes (LaCOURT et al., 1986). Because of

these and other reasons (KOOHMARAIE 1988, 1990, 1992a), we have concluded that lysosomal cathepsin^{s do ^{fi}lew} a significant role in postmortem proteolysis.

dern

Calp

ecti

1ycolv

oteo].

pro

İmilar

e vali

dentic

0 18 m

Nofibr

The second candidate is the MCP. Until recently, no experimental data were available to determine the of MCP in this process. We have recently purified and characterized MCP from ovine skeletal muscle (KOOHMARAIE, 1992d). Our results indicate that ovine skeletal muscle indeed contains MCP with similar bee **IOHMA** biochemical properties to MCP isolated from other mammalian and non-mammalian tissues. Some of the characteristics of ovine skeletal muscle MCP include: 1) molecular mass of 600 kDa which dissociates ^{14 r}o series of low molecular polypeptides ranging from 21 to 31 kDa; 2) it has no proteolytic activity as ^{js be}ef from tissue, but it can be reversibly activated by heating at 60°C and with pre-treatment with 10W concentration of sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS); 3) maximum proteolytic activity is observed at pH 7.5 ^{10 Cu}r 45°C, it retains about 2% of its maximum activity at 5°C and pH 7.5, and about 22% of its maximum act^{ivitem} pH 5.5 and 45°C; and 4) calcium chloride has no effect on its proteolytic activity. For more deta^{15 d calp} characteristics, the reader is referred to excellent reviews (RIVETT, 1989; ORLOWSKI, 1990). Results of the experiments indicate that even after activation (by heating or incubation in the presence of SDS), monthly it were very poor substrate for MCP. We incubated myofibrils with MCP and analyzed the effects with SD^{5-Mh}bit phase and electron microscopy. Morphologically, MCP had no effect on myofibrils and based on SDS-PAGE (19) MCP only degraded troponin-C and myosin light chain-1 and -2. These results indicated that MCP $does n^{10}$ teol major role in postmortem proteolysis that results in meat tenderization.

In contrast to lysosomal proteinases and MCP, substantial experimental evidence exists suggesting the second secon calpains are the primary proteolytic system responsible for postmortem proteolysis that results in mean and proteolysis that results in the proteolysis the proteolysis that results in the proteolysis t tenderization. There is considerable experimental evidence indicating that calcium causes weakening and degradation of Z-disks. The first report that documented the role of calcium in Z-disk weakening was in the part of the part o DAVEY and GILBERT (1969). They reported that EDTA inhibited the weakening and disappearance of $Z-disk_{ij}^{ij}$ time. speculated that EDTA probably acts by chelating calcium. BUSCH et al (1972) provided further support of the sup demonstrating that myofibril fragmentation was inhibited by EDTA and was induced by calcium. KOOHMARMINIAGE (1988a) also demonstrated that all postmortem changes were completed within 24 hours when muscle slices to ces incubated with a buffer solution containing calcium chloride and none of the postmortem changes o^{ccurr} EDTA was included in the buffer instead of calcium chloride.

Acceleration of Postmortem Proteolysis and Tenderization Processes

Based on the observation reported in the previous section, it became evident that the elevation of concentration in postmortem muscle is the cause of postmortem tenderization. To determine whether the observations could be repeated in situ, lamb carcasses were infused with a solution of calcium chloride increase intracellular concentration of calcium (KOOHMARAIE et al., 1988b). Results indicated that he proteolysis and tenderization were accelerated such that ultimate tenderness values were obtained with hours of postmortem storage as opposed to 7 to 14 days in non-infused carcasses. Though these experied by Pop designed to activate calpains (KOOHMARAIE et al., 1988a,b, for review see KOOHMARAIE, 1988, 1992a), ^w know the precise mechanism(s) through which calcium chloride infusion accelerates postmortem prote^{01/5}

^{Werness.} However, we believe the primary mode of action of calcium is through activation of calpains (for s do^{filew} See KOOHMARAIE, 1988, 1992a). There is no doubt that calcium will induce changes other than activation ^(a)Pains, however, these changes may not affect meat tenderness (TAYLOR and ETHERINGTON, 1991; WHIPPLE and mi^{ne 'HARA}IE, 1992). Regardless of the mechanism of action, calcium chloride infusion of whole carcasses or ^{ection} of cuts of meat is a very effective method of rapidly producing uniformly tender meat. The process 11^{af been very} effective under all experimental conditions thus far examined, including: lamb carcasses ^DHARAIE et al., 1988b, 1989; ST. ANGELO et al., 1991); <u>Bos indicus</u> carcasses (KOOHMARAIE et al., 1990); tes if Fround muscles (WHEELER et al., 1991); and mature cow carcasses (MORGAN et al., 1991); postrigor injection as ^{js beef long}issimus muscle (WHEELER et al., 1991); and mature con carear and the steaks (WHIPPLE and MMADA. HMARAIE, 1992).

5¹⁰ (urrent experimental data suggest that of the three proteolytic systems discussed, the calpain proteolytic activ^{ilitem is the best possible candidate for causing postmortem proteolysis and tenderization because:} ^{115 d' Cal}pains have an absolute requirement for calcium and, clearly, the elevation of calcium is the reason for its ^{of observed} changes in postmortem muscle that result in tenderization; 2) calcium has no effect on the mo^{filivity} of MCP (KOOHMARAIE, 1992d); 3) calcium not only does not stimulate cathepsins activity, but at 10 mM Activity by 39% (BARRETT, 1973); %) of these short for conditions; and 5) of these three short in vitro conditions; and 5) of these three theory is a precisely reproduces postmortem changes under in vitro conditions; and 5) of these three three short is a precisely reproduce postmort in the short is a precise of the short is a p ³ ⁽¹⁾ ⁽ ^{Sosomes}. While precise location of MCP in relation to myofibrils is not known, calpains are localized and the second 14% in A-band: KUMAMOTO et al., ⁹ ^{will} ^{harily} at the Z-disk (for μ -calpain: 66% on Z-disk, 20% in I-band, and 14% in A-band; KUMAMOTO et al.,

g and

hese

ide

pos

thi

ine

Conclusions as ^{10 Clearly}, the process of conversion of muscle to meat and the subsequent tenderization process is complex the process of conversion of muscle to meat and the subsequent tenderization process is complex ^{13, the} process of conversion of muscle to meat and the subsequent. ^{13, th}e process of conversion of muscle to meat and the subsequent. ^{13, th}e process of conversion of muscle to meat and the subsequent. ^{13, th}e process of conversion of muscle to meat and the subsequent. ^{13, th}e process of conversion of muscle to meat and the subsequent. ^{13, th}e process of conversion of muscle to meat and the subsequent. ^{13, th}e process of conversion of muscle to meat and the subsequent. ^{remains} to be learned. Over the years, a number of factors have been amount and solubility of again and their interaction need to be Appl^(H)lagen and postmortem proteolysis. Undoubtedly, all of these parameters and their interaction need to be and postmortem proteolysis. Undoubtedly, all of these parameters and current knowledge of the explain the observed variation in meat tenderness. Based on our current knowledge of the arte of the set ^{bucess}, ^{postmortem} proteolysis is the most important of all and that most other factors (such as rate of ^{Jycolysis}, ^{joc} to such a state of the such as the most important of all and that most other factors on the ^{ycolysis}, ^{ultimate} pH, rate of temperature decline) affect meat tenderness by their influence on the ^{roteolytic} ^{vols,} ^{ul}timate pH, rate of temperature decline) affect meat tenderness by them. ^{he} process involved. Factors such as ionic strength and collagen solubility are probably involved in tenderness of meat obtained from animals of The process, but they cannot explain the differences observed in tenderness of meat obtained from animals of any theory t ^{Injlar} ^{age.} Rather, these factors set the so-called "background toughness" (MARSH, 1977). For any theory to ^{Age.} Rather, these factors set the so-called "background toughness (mathematical dentical background toughness of meat from animals of animals of a state able to explain the large variation observed in tenderness of meat from <u>Bos Taurus</u> cattle slaughtered ^{(dentical backgrounds} (e.g., variation observed in tenderness of meat from <u>Bos</u> <u>Taurus</u> cattle slaughtered at 16 ^(a) ¹⁸ ^{Month} of ^{val backgrounds} (e.g., variation observed in tenderness of meat from <u>Bos Taurus</u> cash. ^{In Ig month} of age). Clearly, collagen cannot explain these differences and neither can ionic strength. While ^{Indic strength} of age). Clearly, collagen cannot explain these differences and nerther services and nerther serv ^{strength} of Postmortem muscle is double that of living tissue (equivalent of second popt, 1980-81) and that such a significant elevation in ionic strength would be expected to affect which that such a significant elevation that needs to be addressed is: why would be expected is: why would be expected to affect the such a significant elevation that needs to be addressed is: why would be expected to affect the such a significant elevation that needs to be addressed is: why would be expected to affect the such a significant elevation that needs to be addressed is: why would be expected to affect the such a significant elevation that needs to be addressed is: why would be expected to affect the such a significant elevation that needs to be addressed is: why would be expected to affect the such a significant elevation that needs to be addressed is: why would be expected to affect the such a significant elevation that needs to be addressed is: why would be expected to affect the such a significant elevation that needs to be addressed is: why would be expected to affect the such a significant elevation that needs to be addressed is: why would be expected to affect the such a significant elevation that needs to be addressed is: why would be expected to affect the such as the such a significant elevation that needs to be addressed is: why would be expected to affect the such as the ^{wer POPE, 1980-81}) and that such a significant elevation in ionic strength would be expected a significant elevation in ionic strength would be expected a significant elevation in ionic strength would be expected as the such a significant elevation that needs to be addressed is: why would ionic strength which may lead to their instability, the question that needs to be addressed is: why would ionic

strength be different in longissimus of animals of identical backgrounds. Let's examine two cases to state of these three factors (collagen, ionic strength, and postmortem proteolysis) can explain the variation tenderness.

nng .

OS t

Case 1: Tenderness of meat from B-adrenergic agonist-fed (BAA; L644,969 from Merck Sharp and Dohme) animals. When lambs (KRETCHMAR et al., 1990; KOOHMARAIE and SHACKELFORD, 1991; KOOHMARAIE et al., 1990; KOOHMARAIE and SHACKELFORD, 1991; KOOHMARAIE et al., steers (WHEELER and KOOHMARAIE, 1992) are fed BAA, the meat from their carcasses is tough and postmorted fine storage has no effect on it (i.e., it remains tough). Ultimate pH is proposed to cause elevation of in the strength is proposed to cause elevation of in the strength is proposed to cause elevation of it that strength in postmortem muscle. The correlation between ionic strength and pH is reported to be about files. (for review see OULAI, 1990). Since BAA feeding does not affect ultimate pH of the muscle, one would e that BAA should not effect ionic strength, yet meat from BAA fed animals is not affected by postmorter tent (i.e., remains tough). Because the half-life of collagen is in excess of 200 days and BAA effects are after 2 weeks of feeding (PRINGLE et al., 1992), toughness of meat from BAA-fed animals cannot be due it changes in colleges and changes and changes in colleges and changes a changes in collagen and, indeed, our data support this speculation (KOOHMARAIE and SHACKELFORD, 1991). However, all data collected thus far indicate that lack of postmortem proteolysis is the reason for the plysi toughness of meat from BAA fed animals (FIEMS et al., 1990; KOOHMARAIE et al., 1991a; KOOHMARAIE and SHACKELFORD, 1991; KRETCHMAR et al., 1990; WHEELER and KOOHMARAIE, 1992).

Case 2: Toughness of meat from <u>Bos</u> indicus as compared to meat from <u>Bos</u> taurus. It has clearly de muscl documented that meat obtained from <u>Bos</u> indicus carcasses is significantly tougher than that obtained the Bos taurus carcasses (RAMSEY et al., 1961; KOCH et al., 1982; PEACOCK et al., 1982; CROUSE et al., 1989). To identify the cause of these differences in tenderness, we (SHACKELFORD et al., 1991; WHIPPL item 1990) determined a number of factors that are proposed to affect tenderness in meat obtained from Bost MUEY C and <u>Bos</u> indicus cattle raised under identical management practices (similar climate, diet, and slaughte use Of all factors examined (pH and temperature decline, muscle composition, fiber type composition and did amount and solubility of collagen, sarcomere length, MFI and SDS-PAGE of myofibrillar proteins du^{ring} postmortem storage), only postmortem proteolysis, determined by MFI and SDS-PAGE, was different. neither the pattern of pH decline nor ultimate pH was different, it was concluded that ionic strength Uson above discussion on the relationship between pH and ionic strength) is not the cause of differences $\frac{1}{1000}$ tenderness of meat from these breeds of cattle. Data clearly suggest that the reduced rate of postmar fragmenter and formation of postmark fragmenter and the reduced rate of postmark fragmenter and proteolysis in meat from <u>Bos indicus</u> carcasses was the only logical explanation for differences in the logical explanation explanation for differences in the logical explanation of meat from these two breeds of cattle (WHEELER et al., 1990; WHIPPLE et al., 1990).

Clearly, these two examples indicate that differences in the rate of postmortem proteolysis ¹⁵ th explanation for the observed variation in meat tenderness.

Current experimental data suggest that the calpain proteolytic system is probably responsible for postmortem changes that result in improvement in meat tenderness. To manipulate the process, we must understand how calpains are regulated in postmortem muscle. Using a modeling approach, DRANSFIELD in it demonstrated that 68% of the variation in toughness was accounted for by variation in μ -calpain activities of the variation of the variation in toughness was accounted for by variation in μ -calpain activities of the variation of the variation in toughness was accounted for by variation in μ -calpain activities of the variation in toughness was accounted for by variation in μ -calpain activities of the variation in toughness was accounted for by variation in μ -calpain activities of the variation in the Identification of the regulatory mechanism for calpain in postmortem muscle could enable us to manip process and, thereby, enhance the tenderization process. Recently, we have begun to determine the

to ^{set Calpain} in postmortem muscle (KOOHMARAIE, 1992c). Results indicate that pH and temperature, two key changes t_{occur} in muscle during rigor development, have a dramatic effect on the inactivation of μ -calpain. We ^{le that such experimental approaches would lead to development of alternative carcass handling procedures} T^{ing slaughter} and early postmortem to maximize calpain potential and, therefore, improvement in the rate of ohme) 1991a Iderization.

norter ^{Fin}ally, we must develop the methodology to predict meat tenderness as early postmortem as possible and, of ^{joinately}, prior to slaughter. The development of such methodology would enable us to decide how a particular put ^{Alcass} should be marketed, depending upon its predicted eating quality. Variation in meat tenderness at the Ind entry level is one of the biggest problems that our industry is facing now. It is sobering to realize that rte^{m (n]}y time that actual meat tenderness is known is when it is eaten. We must, therefore, collectively ^{Incentrat} actual meat tenderness is known is when it is catched a second dependences prior to eating. We apply the predict meat tenderness prior to eating. We due^{f^e ^{placing} special emphasis on knowledge acquisition to develop such technology.} 91)' GHAR A.

References

T^{HAR} A., BHATTI A.R. 1987. Endogenous proteolytic enzymes in skeletal muscle: Incl. 5.5. MAR A., BHATTI A.R. 1987. Endogenous proteolytic enzymes in skeletal muscle: Incl. 5.5. MAR A., BHATTI A.R. 1987. Endogenous proteolytic enzymes in skeletal muscle: Incl. 5.5. MAR A., BHATTI A.R. 1987. Endogenous proteolytic enzymes in skeletal muscle: Incl. 5.5. MAR A., BHATTI A.R. 1987. Endogenous proteolytic enzymes in skeletal muscle: Incl. 5.5. Mar A., BHATTI A.R. 1987. Endogenous proteolytic enzymes in skeletal muscle: Incl. 5.5. Mar A., BHATTI A.R. 1987. Endogenous proteolytic enzymes in skeletal muscle: Incl. 5.5. Mar A., BHATTI A.R. 1987. Endogenous proteolytic enzymes in skeletal muscle: Incl. 5.5. Mar A., BHATTI A.R. 1987. Endogenous proteolytic enzymes in skeletal muscle: Incl. 5.5. Mar A., BHATTI A.R. 1987. Endogenous proteolytic enzymes in skeletal muscle: Incl. 5.5. Mar A., BHATTI A.R. 1987. Endogenous proteolytic enzymes in skeletal muscle: Incl. 5.5. Mar A., BHATTI A.R. 1987. Endogenous proteolytic enzymes in skeletal muscle: Incl. 5.5. Mar A., BHATTI A.R. 1987. Endogenous proteolytic enzymes in skeletal muscle: Incl. 5.5. Mar A., BHATTI A.R. 1987. Endogenous proteolytic enzymes in skeletal muscle: Incl. 5.5. Mar A., BHATTI A.R. 1987. Endogenous proteolytic enzymes in skeletal muscle: Incl. 5.5. Mar A., BHATTI A.R. 1987. Endogenous proteolytic enzymes in skeletal muscle: Incl. 5.5. Mar A., BHATTI A.R. 1987. Endogenous proteolytic enzymes in skeletal muscle: Incl. 5.5. Mar A., BHATTI A.R. 1987. Endogenous proteolytic enzymes in skeletal muscle: Incl. 5.5. Mar A., BHATTI A.R. 1987. Endogenous proteolytic enzymes in skeletal muscle: Incl. 5.5. Mar A., BHATTI A.R. 1987. Endogenous proteolytic enzymes in skeletal muscle: Incl. 5.5. Mar A., BHATTI A.R. 1987. Endogenous proteolytic enzymes in skeletal muscle: Incl. 5.5. Mar A., BHATTI A.R. 1987. Endogenous proteolytic enzymes in skeletal muscle: Incl. 5.5. Mar A., BHATTI A.R. 1987. Endogenous proteolytic enzymes in skeletal muscle: Incl. 5. , BHATTI A.R. 1987. Endogenous proteolytic enzymes in skeletal muscle: Their significance in muscle nd ^{RETT} A.J., 1973. Human cathepsin B1. Purification and some properties of the enzyme.

W.A., STROMER M.H., GOLL D.E., SUZUKI A., 1972. Ca²⁺-specific removal of Z lines from rabbit skeletal M^{MUSE} J. Cell Biol., 52, 367-381.

d Huse J. Cell Biol., 52, 367-381. d Huse J.D., CUNDIFF L.V., KOCH R.M., KOOHMARAIE M., SEIDEMAN S.C., 1989. Comparisons of <u>Bos indicus</u> and inheritance for carcass beef characteristics and meat palatability. J. Anim. Sci., 67, 2661-2668. ^{NUSE} J.D., SEIDEMAN S.C., CUNDIFF L.V., 1987. The effect of carcass electrical stimulation on meat obtained indicus and Post tourus cattle, J. Food Qual., 10, 407-416. p_{PL}^{WUSE} J.D., SEIDEMAN S.C., CUNDIFF L.V., 1987. The effect of carcass in B_{OS} indicus and B_{OS} taurus cattle. J. Food Qual., 10, 407-416.

Just Mar S.C., CUNDIFF L.V., 1987 Indicus and <u>Bos taurus</u> cattle. J. Food Qual., 10, 407-410. Indicus and <u>Bos taurus</u> cattle. J. Food Qual., 10, 407-410. Indicus and <u>Bos taurus</u> cattle. J. Food Qual., 10, 407-410. Indicus and <u>Bos taurus</u> cattle. J. Food Qual., 10, 407-410. Indicus and <u>Bos taurus</u> cattle. J. Food Qual., 10, 407-410. Indicus and <u>Bos taurus</u> cattle. J. Food Qual., 10, 407-410. Indicus and <u>Bos taurus</u> cattle. J. Food Qual., 10, 407-410. Indicus and <u>Bos taurus</u> cattle. J. Food Qual., 10, 407-410. Indicus and <u>Bos taurus</u> cattle. J. Food Qual., 10, 407-410. Indicus and <u>Bos taurus</u> cattle. J. Food Qual., 10, 407-410. Indicus and <u>Bos taurus</u> cattle. J. Food Qual., 10, 407-410. Indicus and <u>Bos taurus</u> cattle. J. Food Qual., 10, 407-410. Indicus and <u>Bos taurus</u> cattle. J. Food Qual., 10, 407-410. Indicus and <u>Bos taurus</u> cattle. J. Food Qual., 10, 407-410. Indicus and <u>Bos taurus</u> cattle. J. Food Qual., 10, 407-410. Indicus and <u>Bos taurus</u> cattle. J. Food Qual., 10, 407-410. Indicus and <u>Bos taurus</u> cattle. J. Food Qual., 10, 407-410. Indicus and <u>Bos taurus</u> cattle. J. Food Qual., 10, 407-410. Indicus and <u>Bos taurus</u> cattle. J. Food Qual., 10, 407-410. Indicus and <u>Bos taurus</u> cattle. J. Food Qual., 10, 407-410. Indicus and <u>Bos taurus</u> cattle. J. Food Qual., 10, 407-410. Indicus and <u>Bos taurus</u> cattle. J. Food Qual., 10, 407-410. Indicus and <u>Bos taurus</u> cattle. J. Food Qual., 10, 407-410. Indicus and <u>Bos taurus</u> cattle. J. Food Qual., 10, 407-410. Indicus and <u>Bos taurus</u> cattle. J. Food Qual., 10, 407-410. Indicus and <u>Bos taurus</u> cattle. J. Food Qual., 10, 407-410. Indicus and <u>Bos taurus</u> cattle. J. Food Qual., 10, 407-410. Indicus and <u>Bos taurus</u> cattle. J. Food Qual., 10, 407-410. Indicus and <u>Bos taurus</u> cattle. J. Food Qual., 10, 407-410. Indicus and <u>Bos taurus</u> cattle. J. Food Qual., 10, 407-410. Indicus and <u>Bos taurus</u> cattle. J. Food Qual., 10, 407-410. Indicus and <u>Bos taurus</u> cattle. J. Food Qual., 10, 407-410. Indicus and <u>Bos taurus</u> ca

guild WEY C.L., 1983. Post-mortem chemical changes in muscle-meat aging. Proc. Rec.P. ^{Aging}, J. GILBERT K.V., 1969. Studies in meat tenderness. 7. Changes in the fine structure of meat during ^{Ading}, J. Food Sci., 34, 69-74. MUSFIELD E., 1992. Modelling post-mortem tenderisation--III: Role of calpain I in conditioning.

sci., 31, 85-94. per NSON T.R., 1983. Relationship of pH and temperature to distribution of specific muscle proteins and activity proteinasor 1 Food Riochem., 7, 223-245. ^{of} J_{ysosomal} Proteinases. J. Food Biochem., 7, 223-245.

ptr ^{IVsosomal} Proteinases. J. Food Biochem., 7, 223-245. ^{IV (A,M, Pearson and T.R. Dutson, eds). AVI Publishing Co., Inc., Westport, CT, 45-72pp.} ^{TARSON} A.M., 1985. Postmortem conditions Co., Inc., Westport, C., ^{Fragmentation} and T.R. Dutson, eds). AVI Publishing Co., Inc., Westport, C., ^{Fragmentation} and T.R. Dutson, eds). AVI Publishing Co., Inc., Westport, C., ^{Fragmentation} in bulls. Most Sci. 27, 29-39.

Tragmentation in bulls. B., COTTYN B.G. 1990. Effect of a B-agonist on meat quarters to DLL D.E., OTSUKA Y., NAGAINIS P.A., SHANNON J.D. SATHE S.K., MUGURUMA, M., 1983. Role of muscle proteinases in maintenance of muscle integrity and mass. J. Food Biochem., 7, 137-177.

^{1U2pp.}, ^{1986.} Conversion of muscle to meat. In Fluster, ^{1986.} ^{OAGLAND} R., ^{MCBRYDE} C.N., POWICK W.C., 1917. Changes in fresh beef during cold storage above freezing. ^{BROWITS} R. V.S. Dept. of Agric. 1-100pp. DROWITS R., KEMPNER E.S., BISHER M.E., PODOLSKY R.J., 1986. A physiological role for titin and nebulin in UCH R.M. JILL C., DIVEN.

^{AREJETR}, KEMPNER E.S., BISHER M.E., PODOLSKY R.J., 1980. A physical styles of cattle (Cycle III). ^{AREJETR}, Muscle. Nature, 323, 160–164. ^{AREJETR} III. Carcass composition, quality and palatability. J. Anim. Sci., 54, 35–45. ^{AREMARAIE M} IO

^{And Carcass} composition, quality and palatability. J. Anim. Sci., 54, 35-45. ^{And MARAIE} M., 1988. The role of endogenous proteases in meat tenderness. Proc. Recip. Meat Conf., 41, 89-100.

KOOHMARAIE M., 1990. Inhibition of postmortem tenderization in ovine carcasses through infusion of z^{incln} " J. Anim. Sci., 68, 1476-1483. KOOHMARAIE M., 1992a. The role of Ca²⁺-dependent proteases (calpains) in postmortem proteolysis and me^{ist}ie tenderness. Biochimie, (In press). KOOHMARAIE M., 1992b. Role of the neutral proteinases in postmortem muscle protein degradation and meat Hudon tenderness. Recip. Meat Conf. Proc. 44 (In proce) KOOHMARAIE M., 1992c. Effect of pH, temperature and inhibitors on autolysis and catalytic activity of bits Cattr deter skeletal muscle µ-calpain. J. Anim. Sci., (Accepted). KOOHMARAIE M., 1992d. Ovine skeletal muscle multicatalytic proteinase complex (proteosome): purificati characterization and comparison of its effects on myofibrils with μ -calpains. J. Anim. Sci., (Submitter) KOOHMARAIE M., BABIKER A.S., MERKEL R.A., DUTSON T.R., 1988a. Role of Ca-dependent proteases and 1950 Bond enyzmes in postmortem changes in boving skaletal must be and a state of the stat acit enyzmes in postmortem changes in bovine skeletal muscle. J. Food Sci., 53, 1253-1257. KOOHMARAIE M., BABIKER A.S., MERKEL R.A., DUTSON T.R., 1988b. Acceleration of postmortem tenderization Use cytos carcasses through activation of Ca-dependent proteases. J. Food Sci., 53, 1638-1641. KOOHMARAIE M., CROUSE J.D., MERSMANN H.J., 1989. Acceleration of postmortem tenderization in ovine card through infusion of calcium chloride. J. Anim. Sci. 67, 934,942 BSON through infusion of calcium chloride. J. Anim. Sci., 67, 934-942. SCHRE KOOHMARAIE M., SHACKELFORD S.D., 1991. Effect of calcium chloride infusion on the tenderness of lambs from B-adrenergic agonist. J. Anim. Sci., 69. 2463-2471 KOOHMARAIE M., SHACKELFORD S.D., MUGGLI-COCKETT N.E., STONE R.T., 1991a. Effect of the B-adrenergic L644,969 on muscle growth, endogenous proteinase activities, and postmortem proteolysis in wether ANG J. Anim. Sci., 69, 4823-4835. Warme KOOHMARAIE M., WHIPPLE G., CROUSE J.D., 1990. Acceleration of postmortem tenderization in lamb and RRANT Brahman-cross beef carcasses through infusion of calcium chloride. J. Anim. Sci., 68, 1278-1283. KOOHMARAIE M., WHIPPLE G., KRETCHMAR D.H., CROUSE J.D., MERSMANN H.J., 1991b. Postmortem proteolysis " longissimus muscle from beef, lamb and pork carcasses of Anim Sci. Construction proteolysis" IYLOR Meat KRETCHMAR D.H., HATHAWAY M.R., DAYTON W.R., 1990. Alterations in postmortem degradation of myofib^{rill²} proteins in muscle of lambs fed a B-adrenergic agonist. J. Anim. Sci., 68, 1760-1772. Cejj KUMAMOTO T., KLEESE W.C., CONG J.Y., GOLL D.E., 1992. Localization in electron micrographs of the Carder Anat. Rec., 232, 60-77. ANG K and e Acad LaCOURT A.A., OBLED A., DEVAL C., OUALI A., VALIN C., 1986. Postmortem localization of lysosomal pertain hydrolase. Cathepsin B. In "Cysteine proteinases and their inhibitane" (Helterin of lysosomal Co., ediHEELER effec hydrolase. Cathepsin B. In "Cysteine proteinases and their inhibitors" (Walter de Gruyter and Co., New York, 239-248pp HEELEF New York, 239-248pp. endog LEHMANN K.B., 1907. Studies of the causes for the toughness of meats. Arch. Hyg., 3, 134. HEELEF MARSH B.B., 1977. The nature of tenderness. Recip. Meat Conf. Proc., 30, 69-74pp. Meal tende MARSH B.B., 1983. Effects of early-postmortem muscle pH and temperature on meat tenderness. Recip. HEELEF MARSH B.B., RINGKOB, T.P., RUSSELL, R.L., SWARTZ, D.R., PAGEL, L.A., 1988. Mechanisms and strategies Mippu. HIPPLE

MORGAN J.B., MILLER R.K., MENDEZ F.M., HALE D.S., SAVELL J.W., 1991. Using calcium chloride inject^{ion} Alphi, improve tenderness of beef from mature cows. J. Anim. Sci., 69, 4459, 4476

WHIPPLE

WINGER

ORLOWSKI M., 1990. The multicatalytic proteinase complex, a major extralysosomal proteolytic system Biochemistry, 29, 10289-10297 Biochemistry, 29, 10289-10297. OUALI A., 1990. Meat tenderization: Possible causes and mechanisms. A Review. J. Musc. Foods., 1, 129 10541004

OUALI A., 1992. Proteolytic and physicochemical mechanisms involved in meat texture development. Biod hana. (In press).

PARRISH F.C., JR., 1977. Skeletal muscle tissue disruption. Recip. Meat Conf. Proc. 36, 87-98pp

PEACOCK F.M., KOGER M., PALMER A.Z., CARPENTER J.W., OLSON T.A., 1982. Additive breed and heterosis individual and maternal influences on feedlot gain and carcass traits of Angus, Brahman, Charolais crossbred steers. J. Anim. Sci. 55, 707,002 crossbred steers. J. Anim. Sci., 55, 797-803.

ARSON A.M., 1986. Physical and biochemical changes occurring in musscle during storage and preservation. In Muscle as food (CPU) (Distribution and Cademic Press, NY, 103-133pp. f z^{jn^{(In} "Muscle as food" (P.J. Bechtel, ed). Academic Press, NY, 103-133pp.} nd ^{mel Science} Publishers, Ltd., London, 115-143pp. y of ^{MMEY} C.B., COLE J.W., MEYER B.H., TEMPLE R.S., 1961. Effects of type and breed of British, Zebu and dairy tetermined by laboratory and family panels. J. Anim. Sci., 22, 1001-1008. Indef by laboratory and family panels. J. Annu. Sci., 22, 1989. The multicatalytic proteinase of mammalian cells. Arch. Biochem. Biophys., 268, 1-8. 19^{50⁹ BSON R.M., HUIATT, T.W. 1983. Roles of the cytoskeletal proteins desmin, titin and nebulin in muscle. ¹^{10⁶ BSON P.Meat Conf. Proc. 36, 116-124pp.}} At^{ion BSON} R.M., O'SHEA J.M., HARTZER M.K., RATHBUN W.E., LaSALLE F., SCHREINER P.J., KASANG L.E., STROMER M.H., Wisky M.L., RIDPATH J.F., PANG Y.Y., EVANS R.R., ZEECE M.G., PARRISH F.C., HUIATT T.W. 1984. Role of new son p. ^{car}^{Keretal} elements in maintenance of muscle integrity. J. Food From From Keretal ^{car}^{Keretal} elements in maintenance of muscle integrity. J. Food From Keretal ^{car}^{Keretal} elements in maintenance of muscle integrity. J. Food From Keretal ^{car}^{Keretal} elements in maintenance of muscle integrity. J. Food From Keretal ^{car}^{Keretal} elements in maintenance of muscle integrity. J. Food From Keretal ^{car}^{Keretal} elements in maintenance of muscle integrity. J. Food From Keretal ^{car}^{Keretal} elements in maintenance of muscle integrity. J. Food From Keretal ^{car}^{Keretal} elements in maintenance of muscle integrity. J. Food From Keretal ^{car}^{Keretal} elements in maintenance of muscle integrity. J. Food From Keretal ^{car}^{Keretal} elements in maintenance of muscle integrity. J. Food From Keretal ^{car}^{Keretal} elements in maintenance of muscle integrity. J. Food From Keretal ^{car}^{Keretal} elements in maintenance of muscle integrity. J. Food From Keretal ^{car}^{Keretal} elements in maintenance of muscle integrity. J. Food From Keretal ^{car}^{Keretal} elements in maintenance of muscle integrity. J. Food From Keretal ^{car}^{Keretal} elements in maintenance of muscle integrity. J. Food From Keretal ^{car}^{Keretal} elements in maintenance of muscle integrity. J. Food From Keretal ^{car}^{Keretal} elements in maintenance of muscle integrity. J. Food From Keretal ^{car}^{Keretal} elements in maintenance of muscle integrity. J. Food From Keretal ^{car}^{Keretal} elements in maintenance of muscle integrity. J. Food From Keretal ^{car}^{Keretal} elements in maintenance of muscle integrity. J. Food From Keretal ^{car}^{Keretal} elements in maintenance of muscle integrity. J. Food From Keretal ^{car}^{Keretal} elements in maintenance of muscle integrity. J. Food From Keretal ^{car}^{Keretal} elements in maintenance of muscle integrity. J. Food From Keretal ^{car}^{Keretal} elements in maintenance of muscle integrity. J. Food From Keretal ^{car}^{Keretal} elements in muscle integrity. J. Food From Keretal ^{car} ³⁴, 5-11pp. ¹⁵⁴, 5-11pp. ¹⁵⁴ ¹⁶⁴ ¹⁶⁴ ¹⁶⁴ ¹⁶⁴ ¹⁶⁵ ANGELO A.J., KOOHMARAIE M. CRIPPEN K.L., CROUSE J.D., 1991. Acceleration on tenderization/inhibition of ReANT p., Real of the content of the P.V., 1987. Review: Muscle Biology and Biochemistry. Proc. Int. Cong. Meat Sci. Technol., 33, 1-5. ^{11 MANT} P.V., 1987. Review: Muscle Biology and Biochemistry. Proc. Int. Cong. ^{11 More M.A.J.}, ETHERINGTON D.J., 1991. The solubilization of myofibrillar proteins by calcium ions. ^{11 More K.}, 29, 211-219. (and K. W. C. K. 1985. Sarcomere-associated cytoskeletal lattices in striated muscle. Review and hypothesis. (and Muscle Motil., 6, 315-369. Muscle Motil., 6, 315-369. And elasticity by titin isoforms: A test of the segmental extension model of resting tension. Proc. Natl. (USA), 88, 7101-7105. effectiveness of calcium chloride at improving meat tenderness. J. Anim. Sci., (Submitted). effectiveness of calcium chloride at improving meat tenderness. J. Anim. Sci., (Submitted). HELLER T.L., KOOHMARAIE M., 1992. He B-adrenergic agonist L644,969 on muscle protein turnover, endogenous Proteinase activities and meat tenderness in steers. J. Anim. Sci., (Accepted). Weenous Proteinase activities and meat tenderness in steers. J. Anim. Sci., (Northernous Proteinase activities and meat tenderness in steers. J. Anim. Sci., (Northernous Proteinase activities and meat tenderness of calcium chloride injection and hot-boning on the tenderness of round muscles. J. Anim. Sci., 69, 4871-4875. Meal ^{Venderness} of meat from Brahman and Hereford cattle. J. Anim. Sci., oo, the second state of the second s ^{Mnim., Sci.,} (Submitted). ^{that affect longissimus muscle tenderness in <u>Bos taurus</u> and <u>Bos indicus</u> cattle. J. Anim. Sci., 68, 2716-2728.} ^{Mat affect} longissimus muscle tenderness in <u>Bos taurus</u> and <u>Bos indicus</u> cattle. ... ^{129 MosHIOKA} R.J., ^{POPE} C.G., 1980-81. Osmotic properties of post-rigor beef muscle. Meat Sci., 5, 355-369. ^{on R.J.}, POPE C.G., 1980-81. Osmotic properties of post-rigor beef muscle. Meat Sci., ., ^{on the passive function of the passive tension dependent of the passive tension dependent of the passive tension dependent on and connection splitting in stretched skinned fibers from frog}

69