TRAMUSCULAR VS. DISSECTED BODY FAT IN GRASS FED STEERS. ^{1. GARCIA and J. J. CASAL} VARCIA and J. J. CASAL Mitituto de Tecnologia de Carnes, CICV, INTA

f sc^{ulu} ¹⁷ 1708 Moron, Buenos Aires, Argentina.

The intramuscular fat percentages (MF%) were determined in <u>Biceps brachii</u> (BB), <u>Semi-</u> ^{sue intramuscular fat percentages (MF%) were determined in <u>Biceps Disconta</u> (ST), <u>Longissimus dorsi</u> (LD) and <u>Psoas major</u> (PM) muscles from 340 grass fed (LD) of dissected to total dissected} ^{there (ST)}, <u>Longissimus dorsi</u> (LD) and <u>Psoas major</u> (PM) muscles to total dissected ^{Nagg} for different breed types, at slaughter weight, relating the MF% to total dissected for the formation of the types of the type of type of the type of typ of different breed types, at slaughter weight, relating the Hr of the Alt of MF and 4.1-+1.58 for (Stress fats (TDF). The average MF% were 2.7-+0.98; 1.7-+0.66; 2.9-+1.4 and 4.1-+1.58 for the slower of MF and the slower ⁸, ^{Ld} (TDF). The average MF% were 2.7-+0.98; 1.7-+0.00, 2.9 MF and the 81% ¹⁰ and PM respectively. The 69% of the ST muscles have less than 2% of MF and the 81% ^{MUSCL} ^{4U} and PM respectively. The 69% of the ST muscles have less than 2.5 company depots. The ^{INUSCLES} less than 4% of MF. There are differences in relatively to TDF than in S or BB

Wroduction

J.

SCI PE

all

The intramuscular (marbling) fat influences the eating quality of beef and also is a important (marbling) fat influences the relation between dietary lipids the intramuscular (marbling) fat influences the eating quality of Deer and the spect of its consumption as a result of the relation between dietary lipids on the one of its consumption as a result of the relation between dietary lipids The ^{onset} of cardiovascular diseases (LRCP, 1984).

The ^{relationship} between marbling and beef meat quality has also commercial importance ^{leeds to relationship} between marbling and beef meat quality has also commercial importance The relationship between marbling and beef meat quality has also commercial at the found a heeds to be considered in any beef meat production system. Several studies have found a intra-^{seds} to be considered in any beef meat production system. Several studies have intramuscular fat content in meat from grass fed steers compared with meat from grain ^{abimals} (W ^{Autramuscular} fat content in meat from grass fed steers compared with the second be favorable ^{Animals} (Marmer et al., 1984; Westerling & Hedrick, 1979). This fact could be favorable ^{Anals} (Marmer et al., 1984; Westerling & Hedrick, 19799, 1979, 1979, 1

The relationship between intramuscular fat content and total body fat in the bovine is well known. w_{ell} k_{now} . This lack of information is due to difficulties in the determination of ounts and the is needed, so that improve food products can be developed. of intramuscular fat. A further understanding of lipid deposition patterns in the

The ^{heeded}, so that improve food products can be developed. leg from of this paper was to determine the intramuscular fat percentages of four Food teriale for fat content to dissected body fats. $f_{rom 340}$ grass fed steers of different breed types at slaughter weights, relating

Three hundred and forty A. Angus and crosses of A.A. with Charolais, Holando, Nellore, by and to Three hundred and forty A. Angus and crosses of A.A. with Charolais, Holando, Were and Limousine steers, placed on full feed on a mixed pasture of rye grass and white Markey Were and the same way, no Torover, "Inousine steers, placed on full were considered. Since the animals were managed and fed in the sum the castelan due to diet were expected. At the end of the trial the steers were slaughtered of the castelan due to diet were expected. At the water only. The left side of each carcass the Castelar abattoir of INTA, after 24 h with water only. The left side of dissected distribution was performed. The total weight of dissected different fat y dine as r_{hilled} at 1 C until the total dissection was performed. The total weight of dissected r_{hilled} at 1 C until the total dissection fat $[T_{0}]^{1}$ at 1 C until the total dissection was performed. The total weight f_{0} in the half carcass was calculated as the sum of the weights of the different fat $[T_{0}]_{0}$ arcass sampling:

Biceps brachii (BB) and <u>Semitendinosus</u> (ST): The total muscles minced and aliquot styles brachii (BB) and Semitendinosus (ST): The total muse.

styles brachii (BB) and Semitendinosus (ST): The total muse.

styles brachii (BB) and Semitendinosus (ST): The total muse.

styles brachii (BB) and Semitendinosus (ST): The total muse.

styles brachii (BB) and Semitendinosus (ST): The total muse.

styles brachii (BB) and Semitendinosus (ST): The total muse.

style brachi (BB) and Semitendinosus (ST): The total muse.

style brachi (BB) and Semitendinosus (ST): The total muse.

style brachi (BB) and Semitendinosus (ST): The total muse.

style brachi (BB) and Semitendinosus (ST): The total muse.

style brachi (BB) and Semitendinosus (ST): The total muse.

style brachi (BB) and Semitendinosus (ST): The total muse.

style brachi (BB) and Semitendinosus (ST): The total muse.

style brachi (BB) and Semitendinosus (ST): The total muse.

style brachi (BB) and Semitendinosus (ST): The total muse.

style brachi (BB) and Semitendinosus (ST): The total muse.

style brachi (BB) and Semitendinosus (ST): The total muse.

style brachi (BB) and Semitendinosus (ST): The total muse.

style brachi (BB) and Semitendinosus (ST): The total muse.

style brachi (BB) and Semitendinosus (ST): The total muse.

style brachi (BB) and Semitendinosus (ST): The total muse.

style brachi (BB) and Semitendinosus (ST): The total muse.

style brachi (BB) and Semitendinosus (ST): The total muse.

style brachi (BB) and Semitendinosus (ST): The total muse.

style brachi (BB) and Semitendinosus (ST): The total muse.

style brachi (BB) and Semitendinosus (ST): The total muse.

style brachi (BB) and Semitendinosus (ST): The total muse.

style brachi (BB) and Semitendinosus (ST): The total muse.

style brachi (BB) and Semitendinosus (ST): The total muse.

style brachi (BB) and Semitendinosus (ST): The total muse.

style brachi (BB) and Semitendinosus (ST): The total muse.

style brachi (BB) and Semitendinosus (ST): The total muse.

style brachi (BB) and Semitendinosus (ST): The total muse.

style brachi (BB) and Semitendinosus (ST): The t ^{wit} 200 g kept from each at - 20 C until the analyses were performed. ^{Wiles} were mine (LD) and <u>Psoas major</u> (PM) slices of -+ 150 g from the middle of the ^{Wiles} were mine

^wCles Were minced and kept at -20 C until the analysis were performed. ^{Wo} aligned and kept at -20 C until the minced muscles were existence of the minced m

Mo aliquot samples of 10 g each from the minced muscles were extracted to decommendation of the British Standards The samples of the according to the Official Method of the British Standards The samples of the standards of the British Standards the official Method of the British Standards the samples of the standards the official Method of the British Standards the samples of the samples of the standards the samples of the sa

The data were processed statistically by the NWASTATPAK Program, Northwest Analytical, b Portland Orea $y_{0}^{V_{0}} d_{dta}$ were processed statistically by the NWASTATPAK Program, Northwest Analysis $y_{0}^{V_{0}} d_{dta}$ were processed statistically by the NWASTATPAK Program, Northwest Analysis $y_{0}^{V_{0}} d_{dta}$ were processed statistically by the NWASTATPAK Program, Northwest Analysis $y_{0}^{V_{0}} d_{dta}$ were processed statistically by the NWASTATPAK Program, Northwest Analysis $y_{0}^{V_{0}} d_{dta}$ were processed statistically by the NWASTATPAK Program, Northwest Analysis $y_{0}^{V_{0}} d_{dta}$ were processed statistically by the NWASTATPAK Program, Northwest Analysis $y_{0}^{V_{0}} d_{dta}$ were processed statistically by the NWASTATPAK Program, Northwest Analysis $y_{0}^{V_{0}} d_{dta}$ were processed statistically by the NWASTATPAK Program, Northwest Analysis $y_{0}^{V_{0}} d_{dta}$ were processed statistically by the NWASTATPAK Program, Northwest Analysis $y_{0}^{V_{0}} d_{dta}$ were processed statistically by the constant proposed by Huxley (1932) was used in the $y_{0}^{V_{0}} d_{dta}$ were processed statistically by the constant proposed by Huxley (1932) was used in the $y_{0}^{V_{0}} d_{dta}$ were processed statistically by the constant proposed by Huxley (1932) was used in the $y_{0}^{V_{0}} d_{dta}$ were processed statistically by the constant proposed by Huxley (1932) was used in the $y_{0}^{V_{0}} d_{dta}$ were processed statistically by the constant proposed by Huxley (1932) was used in the $y_{0}^{V_{0}} d_{dta}$ were processed statistically by the constant proposed by Huxley (1932) was used in the $y_{0}^{V_{0}} d_{dta}$ were processed statistically by the constant proposed by Huxley (1932) was used in the $y_{0}^{V_{0}} d_{dta}$ were processed statistically by $y_x = \frac{y_x}{y_x} \frac{y_x}{y_x$ the whole (x). In the present study the part (x) was TDF and MF weights or TDF and part (x) subcutaneous fat (2000) part (y) subcutaneous fat (SCF), intermuscular fat (IMF), kidney fat (KF) and intramuscular fat (MF). fat (MF). Heterogeneity of standard errors of the various growth coefficients was taken account by use of the Debrard Fill account by use of the Behrens-Fisher test in comparisons of growth rates (Berenson et 1983) 1983).

Results and Discussion

Means, standard deviation, coefficients of variation (%) and minimum and maximum value slaugther weight, age loft cide weight. for slaugther weight, age, left side weight, left side fat weight and per cent of weight fat for the total steer population (fat for the total steer population (n=340) are shown in Table 1. The left side fatranged from 7.2 to 54.1 kg and because of this wide range it was possible to $e^{xanjp^{0}}$ relation to the growth of TDF and its percentage. Means, standard deviation, coefficient with the four variation (%) and minimum and maximum values for % of intramuscular fat in the Magina brachii, <u>Semitendinosus</u>, <u>Longissimus dorsi</u> and <u>Psoas major</u> are presented in ^{Table} 69% of M. \$ distribution of the four muscles according to the % MF are shown in Fig. 1 tendinosus had less than 2% of MF and 81% of M. Longissimus dorsi less than 4% of MF. Average values for % MF according to % TDF are shown in Table 3. These values are need the values given recently by The National T the values given recently by The National Research Council (1988) for several USA peel and grades. Marmer et al. (1984) here a and grades. Marmer et al (1984) has also demonstrated that tissues from grass fed steels leaner than tissues from grain fed steers. Crouse et al (1984) found that carcasses grass fed heifers possessed lower (200 05) models and (1984) found that carcassesgrass fed heifers possessed lower (p<0.05) marbling scores than carcasses from grain heifers at 0.96 cm 12 th rib fat thickprocess from arick heifers at 0.96 cm 12 th rib fat thickeness. Smith et al (1977), Westerling & H^{edrick}

and TDF % are shown in Table 4. The values were similar to those detected previously at authors (Garcia et al., 1986). Prediction of the second state of the second st authors (Garcia et al., 1986). Prediction of MF % considering only TDF % is not at a enough at least in grass pasture systems. Considerable economic significance is not attraction of the total amount of carcase for not only to the total amount of carcass fat, but also to the relative amounts deposited in particular carcass depote

The growth coefficients (b) for MF content in the four muscles relative to given in Table 5. This results indicated differences in relative growth among depots. in LD and PM muscles grew at faster rates (p< 0.05) then in ST and BB muscles.

Conclusions

There are significant differences in relative growth of intramuscular fat apon ied muscles. The intramuscular fat growth fact studied muscles. The intramuscular fat growth faster in Longissimus dorsi and psoaf Prediction of intramuscular fat considering only total dissected fat we^{ight jf}

accurate enough in grazing steers.

Animal Product Options in the Marketplace. In "Designing Foods". National Research and USA. 1988. BERENSON M.J.

Intermediate Statistical LEVINE D.M and GOLSTEIN M., 1983. BERENSON M.L., Applications. Prentice. Hall Inc., Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey 0762.

BRITISH STANDARDS INSTITUTION, 1958. British Standard 684, London.

Effect of a grass or grain ^{diet of} 1986. Effect of breed-type on the relation steers. Meat Sci. 17,283-201 and SEIDEMAN S.C., CROSS H.R. CROUSE J.D. quality of three beef muscles. J. Anim. Sci. 58,619-625.

Lipid Research Clinics Coronary Primary Prevention Trial Results (LRCP), 1984. J. Assoc. 251,351-364; 365-374.

WILLIAMS J.E., 1984. Effects of dietary regimen and tissue

an^{usc} ^{Bov}ine fatty acid profiles. J. Anim. Sci. 55,105 field gken ^{G.M.}, CROUSE H.D., MANDIGO R.W. and NEER K.L., 1977. Influence of feeding regime and et ^{CROUSE} et type on growth, composition and palatability of steers. J. Anim.Sci. 45,236-241.

Wenced by diet, sex and anatomical location and relationship to sensory characteristics. Ahim. Sci. 48,1343-1348.

f control of the steer population (n=340). Mean (x), standard deviation (n=340). Mean (x), standard deviation (n=0, control of the steer population (n=0, control of the steer population). Some characteristics (n=0, control of the steer population (n=0, control of the steer population (n=0, control of the steer population (n=0, control of the steer population). Some characteristics (n=0, control of the steer population (n=0, control of the steer population) (n=0, control of the steer population). Some characteristics (n=0, control of the steer population) (n=0, control of the stee

allor.				
^{raligh} ter weight (kg)	Х	SD	CV%	Min-max
· · · ·	441	44	9.9	350-360
^{t side} weight (kg) ^{tr cent} carce	771	118	15.4	479-1073
Can fat wein	127	15	12.0	95-180
^{tr} Cent carcass fat	23.3	8.0	33.0	7.2-54.1
Iso Iat	18.6	5.4	28.9	5.8-34.3

and

amusci

um val

Mm Big 2. M. 5 E. ower eef teers sses rain ck (1

5. 0 h

among

85

t 15 DROP

h coul 10-15

hods

t on a'p'c

tion

mer

y for the 2. Percentages of muscular fat (MF%) of Mm <u>Biceps brachii</u> (BB), <u>Semitendinosus</u> (ST), y for the 2. Percentages of muscular fat (MF%) of Mm <u>Biceps brachii</u> (BB), <u>Semitendinosus</u> (2004) attended to the second deviation (SD), Coefficient (LD) and <u>Psoas major</u> (PM). Mean (x), standard deviation (SD), Coefficient to the second deviation (CV%) and minimum and maximum values (min-max).

SD	CV %	Min-max
0.98	36	1.03-5.75
0.66	38	0.46-3.93
1.40	48	1.06-6.91
1.58	38	1.21-7.98

4	1 1.58 alues of MF% according to the Biceps brachii Semitendin		38			1.21-7.98	1.21-7.98		
Average	/al								
n	Bicons	MF% acco	ording to	the TDF%	in the fou	ir muscl	es.		
	-rceps	brachii	Semite	ndinosus	Longiss	simus	Psoas I	najor	
19	X	SD	Х	SD	х	SD	x	SD	
70	1.6 b	0.47	0.9 a	0.30	1.2 a,b	1.00	2.3 c	0.92	
116	2.3 c	0.71	1.2 a	0.39	1.9 b	1.06	3.1 d	1.31	
100	1.6 b	0.76	1.7 a	0.49	2.5 b	1.10	4.0 c	1.20	
35	3.3 c	0.95	1.9 a	0.54	3.0 b	1.18	4.7 d	1.43	
35 Scular	3.9 b	0.92	2.6 a	0.78	4.4 b	1.14	5.7 c	1.49	

d, Values in rows with different letters differ (p < 0.05).

Table 4	. Simple cor	relation	coefficients	between '	TDF%	and MF%	in the	e four	muscles	studiei
		%BB	MF%ST			MF%LD			MF%PM	
TDF%	0.	64*	0.65			0.62*			0.61*	1

* p < 0.05.

Table 5. Growth coefficients (b) for MF(g) in BB, ST, LD and PM muscles relative to $T^{\rm pf}$ IM, K and TDF%.

Tits

tic

All

De

126 atin

Dd c agua

ater

[8] it 197 al 1 gi 21

20)

	Biceps bracchii		Semitendinosus		Longissimus		Psoas ma	
	b	SE	b	SE	b	SE	b	
DF (KG)	.548 a,c	.055	.483 a	.044	.976 b	.146	.700 b,	
B (KG)	.312 a	.036	.374 a,b	.032	.553 b,c	.105	.428 C	
M (KG)	.538 a	.062	.696 a,c	.059	1.204 b,c	.180	.859 b .397 a,	
(KG)	.304 a	.040	.367 a,c	.034	.534 b	.087	.397 u,	
TDF	.524 a	.055	.608 a,c	.057	1.086 b	.206	.734 ~	

a,b,c Values in rows with different letters differ (p <0.05).

Fig. 1 Distribution of MF% in the Mm BB, ST, LD and PM (n = 340).

