

INFLUENCE OF PREVIOUS EXPERIENCE AND PRE-SLAUGHTER BEHAVIOUR ON PIG MEAT QUALITY

ELDRIDGE, R.D. WARNER, C.I. BALL and E.NANTHAN

Victorian Institute of Animal Science, Department of Food and Agriculture, Werribee, Victoria, AUSTRALIA, 3030.

SUMMARY

The relationship between behavioural responses of pigs to pre-slaughter management within the abattoir and pig meat quality were studied in groups of 20 pigs from 5 different piggeries situated similar distances from the abattoir and slaughtered on 6 occasions at 3 week intervals. The pigs were independently selected by farm management on the basis of sex and liveweight specification before transport to the abattoir. Behaviour was assessed during lairage (resting in holding pens at the abattoir) and handling at the abattoir and meat quality was measured post-slaughter. Groups of boars and gilts were assessed on alternate occasions.

The mean ultimate pH and lightness (CIE; L*) of surface meat colour of the muscle *longissimus thoracis* 24 hours post-slaughter of each group of pigs was significantly correlated with the number of physical interactions by the pigs with the handler while in lairage ($P<0.01$), the time between stunning and de-hairing ($P<0.01$) and the level of kicking that occurred after shackling post-stunning and sticking ($P<0.05$). The mean incidence of PSE and DFD carcasses of each group of pigs over the period of the experiment was 23.2 and 4% respectively. Although there were no overall statistically significant differences in the incidence of PSE carcasses between piggeries and within piggeries, the incidence in PSE carcasses from 3 of the farms was significantly greater ($P<0.05$) from gilts than the incidence in boar carcasses (43.9% cv 15.1% respectively).

It was concluded that although pre-slaughter factors and post-slaughter processing may influence meat colour and pHu, a major cause of variation in pig meat quality is the source of slaughter pigs.

INTRODUCTION

Research suggests that pre-slaughter management and the innate ability of the animal to respond to stress may interact to have a major effect on meat quality (Warriss 1985). However, Grandin (1992) suggests that the behavioural responses of pigs to pre-slaughter management may reflect previous handling experiences and management of pigs on the farm unit. This experiment studied the relationship between behavioural responses to pre-slaughter management within the abattoir and meat quality of pigs from different piggeries.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Groups of 20 pigs from 5 pig farms situated similar distances from a pig abattoir were slaughtered on 6 occasions at approximately 3 week intervals. Groups of gilts or boars were selected independently by pig farm management on the basis of sex and liveweight for separate slaughterings. On each occasion, each group of pigs was loaded and transported in a similar manner to the abattoir where they were placed in similar but separate lairage pens (holding pens at the abattoir) between 19 and 24h prior to slaughter. The piggeries were classified into 2 types. Farms 1 and 2 (Type A farms) produced breeding stock and supplied Hampshire, Duroc or Hampshire-Duroc cross and first cross Large White x Landrace pigs respectively for slaughter while farms 3, 4 and 5 (Type B farms) supplied commercial grade

pigs that were of predominantly Large White x Landrace. All pigs were approximately 80 kg liveweight at slaughter.

The pigs' responses to humans in lairage (interaction score) were assessed as a handler moved through each lairage pen in a systematic manner. The interaction score was obtained by an observer counting the number of pigs that physically interacted with a handler entered the pen and stood quietly for 1 minute in 4 pre-determined positions in each lairage pen in the evening before slaughter and at 05.00 h on the morning of slaughter. Total movement time from lairage to stunning (stun time) and movement time through the force yard (force time) was recorded. Closed circuit TV was used to record the movement time and behaviour of pigs as they moved from the force yard into the "V" restrainer.

Post-slaughter variables recorded on the slaughter floor included the amount of kicking post shackling (shackle score), processing time from stunning to evisceration and initial pH (pHi). Shackle score was scored as 0 for no movement to 5 for strong kicking over approximately 2 minutes post shackling. The pHi was measured at a convenient position at the end of the slaughter chain approximately 40 minutes post-slaughter. The carcasses were subjected to blast-chilling (-20°C) for 2h after leaving the slaughter floor. A chop was removed from the anterior end of the loin approximately 24 hours post-slaughter for the measurement of the ultimate pH (pHu) and surface meat colour of the muscle *longissimus thoracis* between the 11/12th rib. The pH was measured using a Jenco Model 6009 portable pH meter (Jenco Electronics Ltd, San Diego, USA) fitted with an Ionode IJ42S spear type electrode (Ionode Pty. Ltd. Brisbane, Australia). Meat colour (CIE, $L^*a^*b^*$ colour space) was measured on the muscle surface after allowing the meat to bloom for 30 minutes using a Chromameter Model CR-200 (Minolta Camera Co. Ltd., Osaka, Japan).

RESULTS.

The mean pHu and lightness (L^*) of surface meat colour for each group of pigs ($n=20$) were significantly correlated to the interaction score (pHu $r=-0.70$; L^* $r=0.80$; $df=28$; $P<0.01$), processing time (pHu $r=-0.50$; L^* $r=0.63$; $df=28$; $P<0.01$) and shackle score (pHu $r=-0.45$; L^* $r=-0.38$; $df=28$; $P<0.05$). Increasing interaction scores and processing times were associated with paler meat with a low pHu while an increased shackle score was associated with darker meat with a higher pH.

Due to marked variation in the time in which pHi was able to be taken (35 to 55 minutes post-slaughter due to variations in processing time) this measurement was not suitable for assessing the incidence of PSE (pale, soft and exudative) meat. Thus PSE meat was defined by the L^* value ($L^* > 48$) and pHu (pH < 5.52) of the muscle *longissimus thoracis* 24 hours post-slaughter, as pig meat with these specifications was shown to have a drip loss of $>5\%$ in an earlier study (Warner et al. 1991). DFD (dry, firm and dark) meat was defined as meat with a pHu > 6.0 .

The mean incidence of PSE and DFD carcasses for each group of pigs ($n=20$) over the 18 week period of the study was 23.2 and 4.0% respectively. The incidence of PSE carcasses of pigs from Type B farms was greater ($P<0.05$) than the incidence of PSE carcasses of pigs from Type A farms (29.5% cv 13.8% respectively; least significant difference (LSD)=12.4%) but there was no difference in the incidence of PSE carcasses between farms ($P>0.05$). There was a significant ($P<0.05$) interaction between farm type and sex of pig in the incidence of PSE carcasses. The incidence of PSE carcasses from gilts was greater ($P<0.05$) than from boar carcasses (43.9% cv 15.1% respectively; LSD=15.2%) from Type B farms but there was no differences between gilts and boars from Type A farms (17.4% cv 10.2% respectively). The incidence of DFD carcasses from Type A farms was greater ($P<0.05$) than from Type B farms (6.7% cv 2.2% respectively; LSD=4.5).

Means and interaction means for incidence of PSE and DFD, movement time through the force yard (Ftime), total handling time to stunning (Stime) and interactive score (Iscore) for gilts and boars from 5 different farms. Type A farms supplied predominantly 1st cross pigs. Type B farms supplied commercial grade crossbred pigs.

Type A		Type B			Mean of farm types		Type x Sex Interactions			
1	2	3	4	5	Type A	Type B	Type A Gilts	Type A Boars	Type B Gilts	Type B Boars
11.8	15.8	29.2	32.7	26.7	13.8 ^a	29.5 ^b	17.4 ^a	10.2 ^a	43.9 ^b	15.1 ^a
7.5	5.8	3.3	0.8	2.5	6.7 ^a	2.2 ^b	3.3	10.0	1.1	3.3
4.6 ^a	2.9 ^b	3.6 ^b	3.2 ^b	3.4 ^b	3.8	3.4	4.0 ^a	3.6 ^a	2.9 ^b	3.9 ^a
11.4 ^a	6.7 ^b	8.3 ^b	7.5 ^b	8.4 ^b	9.0	8.0	10.6 ^a	7.5 ^b	7.2 ^b	9.0 ^b
12.3	17.2	20.2	24.7	24.3	14.8 ^a	23.1 ^b	12.8	16.7	24.4	21.7

Means with different superscripts ^{ab} are significantly different (P<0.05).

There were significant differences in the way pigs from the different piggeries responded to handling and interacted with stockpersons in the lairage environment. Pigs from Type A farms were less (P<0.01) physically interactive with the handler than pigs from Type B farms. Although there were no differences (P>0.05) in stun time for pigs from the different farms, pigs from farm 1 moved slower (P<0.05) through the force yard to the restrainer (force time) than pigs from farm 2 and markedly slower than pigs from the other 3 farms (Table 1). Although there were no differences between pig sources in the amount of jamming or baulking by pigs moving into the restrainer, the stockpersons took longer to clear jammed and baulking pigs from farm 1 than pigs from other farms. There were no differences (P>0.05) in force or stun times between gilts and boars. Once the pigs were stunned there were no differences (P>0.05) in processing times for pigs from the different sources.

DISCUSSION

Results show that although some pre-slaughter factors and processing post-slaughter may influence meat colour and pH, the major influence on meat quality appears to be due to the source and sex of the pigs slaughtered.

Pigs from Type B farms were significantly more interactive and showed a much greater response to the handler, the pigs from Type A farms were less interactive and often ignored the presence of the handler in the lairage pen. However during intensive handling through the force yard and into the restrainer, those pigs that were most interactive with the handler during lairage (Table 1) moved markedly quicker through the force yard and into the restrainer than pigs from farm 1. Examination of video records of pigs moving into the restrainer suggested that the slower force time (P<0.05) for pigs from farm 1 to move through the complex was mainly due to the difficulty of clearing jamming and baulking pigs at the entrance of the restrainer.

Previous studies (1991) found that difficult to handle pigs at the abattoir increased the incidence of meat quality defects and suggested that the difficulty of handling was associated with on farm experiences. However, in this study, if the difficulty of handling is associated with movement times,

jamming and baulking of pigs, the incidence of meat quality problems was lowest amongst the group of pigs that were slowest and appeared to be the most difficult to handle (baulking or jamming at the restrainer) and highest amongst pigs that moved the fastest and caused the most problems while moving through to slaughter. Our results suggest that the physical interaction of pigs with a handler may indicate inexperience of pigs to stockpeople and resulting in more rapid movements during periods of intensive handling. Reasons for the differences in the incidence of animal responses on meat quality defects are not evident from this study.

Pig meat in Australia is largely obtained from gilts and entire males, however there is little information available on the influence of sex on meat quality defects. Our results suggest that sex of the pig may have a marked influence on the incidence of meat quality problems. Commercial grade gilts from Type B farms tended to be more interactive with the handler in lairage and tended to move more rapidly during slaughtering procedures. It is suggested that these animals may have been hyper-active and therefore more susceptible to stress. A significant difference in incidence of PSE between sexes was also observed within a group of 400 pigs from a single source at an other abattoir (Warner *et al* 1991).

In summary, it is concluded that although pre-slaughter factors and post-slaughter processing may influence meat colour and pH, a major cause of variation in pig meat quality is the source and/or sex of slaughter pigs. This variation may be due to either previous handling at the farm influencing behavioural, physiological and psychological responses of pigs to pre-slaughter handling at the abattoir or some sex associated factors. These factors may either act independently or together to influence the quality of pig meat.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The biometric advice of Mr Kim Butler in the statistical analysis of the data is gratefully acknowledged. The Australian Pig Research and Development Corporation is acknowledged for the provision of financial support.

REFERENCES

- GRANDIN T., 1991. Handling problems caused by excitable pigs. 37th International Congress of Meat Science and Technology, Kiel, Germany. 2:8
- WARNER R.D., ELDRIDGE G.A., WINFIELD, C.G., 1991. Pre-slaughter management and pig meat quality in Victorian abattoirs: A preliminary report to the Pig Research and Development Corporation, Canberra, Australia.
- WARRISS P.D., 1985. The effect of time and conditions of transport and lairage on pig meat quality. In "Evaluation and control of meat quality in pigs" (P.V. Tarrant, G. Eikelenboom and G. Monin, eds.) Martinus Nijhoff Publishers, The Netherlands.