

POUNCEIN PORK: THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN GENOTYPE, AS DETERMINED BY THE RESTRICTION ENDONUCLEASE ASSAY, AND THE ENVIRONMENT

FORTIN, S. A. POMMIER* and A. HOUDE*

Centre for Food and Animal Research, Agriculture Canada, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada, and Lennoxville Research Station*, Agriculture Canada, Lennoxville, Québec, Canada

SUMMARY

Four hundred-forty-eight commercial pigs were subjected to one of the following preslaughter treatments under field conditions: feed removal, 44 or 30 hours prior to slaughter; feed removal, 20 or 6 hours prior to slaughter; sorted and weighed, 44 or 30 hours prior to slaughter; sorted and weighed, 20 or 6 hours prior to slaughter. The genotype (NN, Nn) of all pigs was determined by applying the restriction endonuclease assay for porcine malignant hyperthermia on a sample of the LD muscle. The quality of pork (PSE) was objectively determined using the $L^* a^* b^*$ coordinates as measured by the Colormet Meat Probe. The timing of feed removal relative to slaughter had no impact ($P > 0.05$) on the development of PSE in pork. The timing of sorting/weighing, however, did ($P < 0.05$); sorting/weighing 44 or 20 hours prior to slaughter relative to 30 or 6 hours, respectively, improved the quality of pork. Furthermore, the magnitude of the response was higher ($P < 0.05$) in pigs of the Nn genotypes. Regardless of the preslaughter manipulations, pigs of the Nn genotypes had poorer ($P < 0.01$) pork quality than pigs of the NN genotype. The correlation coefficient between L^* and genotype was 0.12 ($P < 0.01$).

INTRODUCTION

The pork quality defect PSE is discriminated against because of its poor colour, water holding capacity and poor structure. These characteristics are costly to the pork industry as they are associated with weight loss, poor yield and extra labour needed for marketing carcasses according to quality.

The property of pork meat and, hence, the development of quality defects such as PSE can vary considerably from pig to pig. The development of PSE pork can be of genetic origin, of environmental origin (physical activity and/or psychological stress) or a combination of both. The contribution of a given environmental stressor to the development of PSE largely depends on the nature, the extent and the time of the given stressor prior to slaughter whereas, pigs which have a genetic pre-disposition to stress (genotype:nn) will, in most cases, invariably develop PSE regardless of the stressor imposed prior to and at slaughter. Research evidence also points to a genotypic-environmental interaction whereby heterozygote pigs (Nn), exposed to a given preslaughter stressor, could develop PSE after slaughter more easily than homozygote pigs (NN).

With the recent discovery of the mutation responsible for the development of malignant hyperthermia in pigs (Fujii et al, 1991) it is now possible to determine the genotype of a large number of pigs, rapidly and relatively inexpensively by a restriction endonuclease assay (Houde and Pommier, 1992).

This research was initiated to investigate, under field conditions, the genotype-environment interaction in pigs subjected to two preslaughter stressors.

MATERIALS and METHODS

In Canada, there are two types of marketing systems for delivering pigs to the abattoir. After a sale is completed, the sold market pigs can either be transported directly to the abattoir or first delivered to a central point (assembly yard) where they will be assembled with other pigs to form a load of 210-250 pigs and then delivered to the abattoir. In the former case, pigs are usually slaughtered on the same day they leave the farm whereas, in the latter case, these pigs are generally slaughtered on the day following the delivery at the assembly yard.

In this study, pigs were exposed to two preslaughter stressors: 1) feed removal (evening prior to or on the morning of delivery at the assembly yard or at the abattoir) and 2) preparation for delivery, i.e., sorting and weighing (evening prior to or on the morning of delivery at the assembly yard or at the abattoir). Super-imposed to these stressors were the two types of marketing systems previously described. All pigs were slaughtered at a commercial abattoir after a three hour rest following delivery (Table 1).

TABLE 1. Preslaughter stressors.

Treatment	Type of marketing	Hours between treatment/slaughter
Feed removal	Delivery at yard	44
		30
	Delivery at the abattoir	20
Preparation for delivery		6
	Delivery at yard	44
	Delivery at the abattoir	20
		6

The genotype of 448 commercial pigs obtained in four lots from the same producer was determined by applying the restriction endonuclease assay for porcine malignant hyperthermia on a muscle (LD) sample (Houde and Pommier, 1992). The quality of pork (LD muscle) was objectively determined on the hanging carcass using the L* a* b* coordinates as measured by the Colour Meat Probe (D65/10) (Fortin, 1989). The effects of genotype, the timing of feed removal and of delivery preparation on the quality of the LD muscle were evaluated using the GLM procedure of Statistical Analysis System Institute Inc. (SAS, 1985).

RESULTS and DISCUSSION

Timing of feed removal

The effects of the timing of feed removal and of the genotype on the colour (L*) of pork are presented in Table 2. Irrespective of the type of marketing system under which pigs were marketed, the timing of the feed removal (evening prior to vs the morning of delivery) did not result in a change in colour as measured by L* (P=0.1 to 0.9). Previous research (Eikelenboom et al 1990; Murray et al 1989) has shown that prolonged fasting has generally a positive impact on the quality of pork. In these reports, however, duration of fasting was always compared with no fasting at all; i.e., access to feed until slaughter. The present

TABLE 2. The effects of timing of feed removal and genotype (NN, Nn) on the L* value of the LD muscle.¹

Genotype	Delivery at the yard ²		Delivery at the abattoir ²	
	Feed removal	L*	Feed removal	L*
NN	44 h	25.6	20 h	28.5
	30 h	26.1	6 h	28.7
Nn	44 h	27.7	20 h	29.9
	30 h	28.0	6 h	30.2

¹ Least-squares means.

² Effect of treatment: P>0.05; Effect of genotype: P<0.05.

each differs from others in that the timing of feed removal was compared rather than the duration of fasting per se; i.e., prior to vs morning of delivery. For both types of marketing, the additional period of feed removal was approximately 6 or 30 hours depending on the type of marketing considered. It would then appear that under the marketing conditions seen in Canada whether feed is removed the evening prior to delivery or on the morning of delivery does not have an impact on the quality of pork. Pork from pigs of the NN genotype had a lower L^* value ($P < 0.05$) than pork from pigs of the Nn genotypes.

Timing of delivery preparation

Table 3, the effects of the genotype and the timing of delivery preparation, and the genotype-environment interaction are presented. The effects of timing of the delivery preparation relative to slaughter and the genotype (NN, Nn) on the L^* value of the LD muscle.¹

Genotype	Delivery at the yard ²		Delivery at the abattoir ²	
	Delivery preparation	L^*	Delivery preparation	L^*
NN	44 h	26.2	20 h	27.4
Nn	30 h	28.3	6 h	29.8
	44 h	28.7	20 h	28.9
	30 h	32.5	6 h	34.3

¹ Least-squares means.

² Effects of genotype, treatment and interaction: $P < 0.05$.

presented. Irrespective of the type of marketing considered, sorting and weighing the evening prior to delivery improved the quality of pork (L^*).

The genotypes (NN, Nn) had also an impact ($P < 0.05$) on the quality of pork. Furthermore, the magnitude of the improvement when the sorting/weighing took place the evening prior to delivery was larger ($P < 0.05$) in pork from pigs of the Nn genotype; 4 units of L^* vs 2 units of L^* in pork from pigs of the NN genotype; hence, demonstrating not only lower quality in pork from heterozygote (Nn) pigs but also a genotype-environmental interaction.

Genotype and the quality of pork

Table 4, the results were combined across treatments and experiments. Pork from pigs of the NN genotype was of better quality (lower L^* value) than pork from pigs of the Nn genotype. The coefficient of correlation between the genotype and L^* was 0.12 ($P < 0.01$). In a study of the effect of the genotype on the quality (the genotypes NN, Nn, nn were determined by halothane testing and blood typing), Lundsström et al (1989) also showed a negative effect of the Halothane gene on the quality of pork from pigs of the Nn genotypes.

TABLE 4. The effect of the genotypes (NN, Nn) on the L^* value of the LD muscle when the preslaughter treatments are ignored¹.

Genotype ²	L^*
NN	26.4
Nn	30.7

¹ Least-squares means.

² Effect of genotype: $P < 0.01$.

CONCLUSIONS

In the present study, commercial pigs were subjected to two preslaughter stressors under current Canadian marketing conditions in order to investigate the effect of the genotype (NN, Nn) and the genotype-environment interaction on the quality of pork.

In one case, the preslaughter stressor under investigation (timing of feed removal) did not impact on the quality of pork. Nevertheless, pork from Nn pigs had much lower quality. On the other hand, the response to another preslaughter stressor (timing of delivery preparation) depended very much on the genotype of the pig. In all cases and irrespective of the stressor under consideration, the quality of pork from Nn pigs was poorer than the quality of pork from NN pigs. Furthermore, the heterozygote pigs (Nn) were more responsive to a preslaughter manipulation.

The suggestion, then, of promoting a breeding strategy whereby specialized homozygote nn terminal sire lines and homozygote NN dam lines are maintained to produce heterozygote Nn market pigs is completely erroneous. On the contrary, complete elimination of the gene should be the main objective of any breeding strategy aiming at improving the quality of pork.

REFERENCES

- EIKELENBOOM G., BOLINK A.H., SYBESMA W. 1991 Effects of feed withdrawal before delivery on pork quality and carcass yield. *Meat Sci.*, 29, 25-30.
- FORTIN A. 1998. Detection of PSE pork under field conditions using the Colormet Meat Probe. Proc. 35th ICoMST, Copenhagen, Denmark.
- FUJII J., OTSU K., ZORZATO F., de LEON S., KHANNA V.K., WEILER J.F., O'BRIEN P.J., MACLENNAN D.H. 1991. Identification of a mutation in porcine ryanodine receptor associated with malignant hyperthermia. *Science* 253, 448-451.
- HOUDE A., POMMIER S.A., 1992. Use of PCR technology to detect a mutation associated with malignant hyperthermia in different pig tissues. *Meat Sci.*, (submitted).
- LUNSDTRÖM K., ESSÉN-GUSTAVSSON B. RUNDGREN M., EDFORS-LILJA I., MALMFORS G. 1989. Effect of halothane sensitivity genotype on muscle metabolism at slaughter and its relationship with meat quality: a within-litter comparison. *Meat Sci.*, 25, 263-268.
- MURRAY A.C., JONES S.D.M., SATHER A.P. 1989. The effect of preslaughter feed restriction and genotype for susceptibility on pork lean quality and composition. *Can. J. Anim. Sci.*, 69, 83-91.
- SAS. 1985. SAS user's guide, Version 5, SAS Institute, Inc., Cary, NC, USA.