# Les ROM LAST FEED TO SLAUGHTER IN RELATION TO SKATOLE LEVEL OF ENTIRE MALE PIGS

## Meat Research Institute, Maglegaardsvej 2, DK-4000 Roskilde

### abill MMARY

r0"

D

tg'

3.85

5

<sup>wir</sup> <sup>of this</sup> work was to find out whether the length of time from last feed to slaughter had an effect on the skatole level in backfat and meat <sup>wir</sup> this work was to find out whether the length of time from last feed to slaughter had an effect on the skatole level in backfat and meat <sup>of work</sup> was to find out whether the length of time from last reed to staughter has an end of the three farms. The farms were selected to staughter male pigs carcasses. The experimental material consisted of 300 entire male pigs supplied from three farms. The farms were selected <sup>thale</sup> pig carcasses. The experimental material consisted of 500 entrie mate pige sterr <sup>8 to the</sup> average skatole content in their previous deliveries. Each farm was represented in each of the three groups: low, medium and high <sup>1</sup> to the average skatole content in their previous deliveries. Each farm was represented in each of the three groups: low, medium and high <sup>the average</sup> skatole content in their previous deliveries. Each farm was represented in each of the average skatole content in their previous deliveries. Each farm was represented in each of the average skatole content in their previous deliveries. Each farm was represented in each of the average skatole content in their previous deliveries. Each farm was represented in each of the average skatole content in their previous deliveries. Each farm was represented in each of the average skatole content in their previous deliveries. Each farm was represented in each of the average skatole content in their previous deliveries. Each farm was represented in each of the average skatole content in their previous deliveries. Each farm was represented in each of the average skatole content in the previous deliveries. Each farm was represented in each of the average skatole content in the previous deliveries. Each farm was represented in each of the average skatole content in the previous deliveries. Each farm was represented in each of the average skatole content in the previous deliveries. Each farm was represented in each of the average skatole content in the previous deliveries. Each farm was represented in each of the average skatole content in the previous deliveries. All pigs were fed ad libitum. Group 1 male pigs were last red the evening before control of the average skatole content in male pigs was Manual pigs were fed ad libitum. Group 1 male pigs were last red the evening before claughter was highest in pigs delivered from the farm The for Group 1 had a significantly lower skatole content in the backfat than Group 2. The effect of time from last feeding before slaughter was highest in pigs delivered from the farm the backfat than Group 1 and 0.15 ppm for Group 2. The effect of time from last feeding before slaughter was highest in pigs delivered from the farm <sup>bighest</sup> average skatole content. There was no effect of time of last feed on the slaughter weight, meat content or meat quality (pH<sub>1</sub>, rigor, u<sup>g (2)</sup> <sup>190be</sup> values or skin damage). RODUCTION

<sup>of entire</sup> male pigs for meat production has now been introduced in Denmark and is expected to increase rapidly from 1992 to 1993. In <sup>a entire</sup> male pigs for meat production has now been introduced in Denmark and is expected to increase the pigs are analysed for skatole. The method is adapted to a fully automated system in which 150–180 samples per hour can be used to a fully automated system in the backfat are sorted out as boars. <sup>(Mortensen</sup> and Sørensen, 1984). Entire male pigs containing more than 0.25 ppm skatole in the backfat are sorted out as boars. <sup>(Mortensen</sup> and Sørensen, 1984). Entire male pigs containing more than one pre-

<sup>bin</sup> <sup>problem</sup> is boar taint in about 5% of the entire male pigs. The main contributing component to boar taint has for a long time been to be the tain the boar taint in about 5% of the entire male pigs. The main contributing component to boar taint has for a long time been to be the boar taint in about 5% of the entire male pigs. <sup>rublem</sup> is boar taint in about 5% of the entire male pigs. The main contributing component to c <sup>and</sup> <sup>and</sup> <sup>lundström</sup> et al. (1988) found skatole to be a better predictor for boar taint than androstenone.

<sup>are</sup> large variations in skatole level between farms that deliver male pigs to the slaughterhouse. For farms with a high percentage of pigs <sup>auge variations</sup> in skatole level between farms that deliver male pigs to the slaughternouse. For terms of they have too many <sup>by</sup> with statole level is economically important, because the farmers are punished economically if they have too many  $u_{\text{th}} s_{\text{statole}} > 0.25$  ppm. It has previously been indicated, that some of the variation in skatole level of the variation in skatole level of the variation of the variation in skatole level of the variation of the variation in skatole level of the variation of the variation in skatole level of the variation of the variation in skatole level of the variation of the variation in skatole level of the variation of the variation in skatole level of the variation of the variation in skatole level of the variation of the variation in skatole level of the variation of the <sup>10</sup> and during the dispatch (Sørensen et al. 1985). Later investigations showed that transportation time the lairage period at the slaughterhouse varying from 1 to 20 hours did not influence the skatole level in male pigs (Maribo, 1990 and the gualine state of the The lairage period at the slaughterhouse varying from 1 to 20 hours did not influence the skatole level in the rest is also influenced by the treatment before slaughter. Fighting is more frequent when boars are lairaged for showing and a showing the treatment before slaughter. Previous work has shown that mixing boars from different <sup>Yuality</sup> of meat from boars is also influenced by the treatment before slaughter. Fighting is more nequely and this affects the incidence of skin damage and frequency of DFD meat. Previous work has shown that mixing boars from different damage and DFD meat (Warriss & Brown, <sup>wh and this</sup> affects the incidence of skin damage and frequency of DFD meat. Previous work has shown that frequency of skin damage and DFD meat (Warriss & Brown, <sup>hogs</sup> & Triplet the stand before slaughter lead to a significantly higher frequency of DFD meat is higher when pigs have fasted for a long <sup>a C</sup>Trimble, 1988, Maribo 1990, 1991). It has also been shown that frequency of DFD meat is night, when pro-<sup>andussen</sup> et al. 1987). Danish work has shown that upon an analysis they are treated equal before slaughter (Barton–Gade 1987, 1990).

<sup>Name treated</sup> equal before slaughter (Barton-Gade 1967, 1990). <sup>Name from work</sup> was to investigate whether the period from last feed until slaughter influences the skatole level and meat quality in Rest from entire male pigs. MERIALS AND METHODS

<sup>ALS</sup> AND METHODS <sup>Previous</sup> delta material consisted of male pigs delivered from 3 farms. The farms had been selected according to the average skatole content <sup>Previous</sup> delta <sup>adental</sup> material consisted of male pigs delivered from 3 farms. The farms had been selected according to the selected ac At the farms the male pigs were divided in to two groups. Group 1 was last fed the evening before delivery to the slaughterhouse, whereas access to a The farms the male pigs were divided in to two groups. Group 1 was last fed the evening before delivery to the state  $\frac{1}{2} \log \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{1}{2}$  $\int_{1}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0$ <sup>1</sup> hour before slaughter in separate pens according to the farm from which they had been delivered. 45 mms. e.e.  $R_{igor}$  before slaughter in separate pens according to the farm from which they had been delivered. 45 mms. e.e.  $R_{igor}$  was been delivered. 45 mms. e.e.  $R_{igor}$  wa  $^{n_1}$  longissimus dorsi and biceps femoris, and skin damage was evaluated using a 4 point scale (1 = none, 2 - origin)  $^{n_1}$  longissimus dorsi and biceps femoris, and skin damage was evaluated using a 4 point scale (1 = none, 2 - origin)  $^{n_1}$  longissimus dorsi and biceps femoris, and skin damage was evaluated using a 4 point scale (1 = none, 2 - origin)  $^{n_1}$  longissimus dorsi and biceps femoris, and skin damage was evaluated using a 4 point scale (1 = none, 2 - origin)  $^{n_1}$  longissimus dorsi and biceps femoris, and skin damage was evaluated using a 4 point scale (1 = none, 2 - origin)  $^{n_1}$  longissimus dorsi and biceps femoris, and skin damage was evaluated using a 4 point scale (1 = none, 2 - origin)  $^{n_1}$  longissimus dorsi and biceps femoris, and skin damage was evaluated using a 4 point scale (1 = none, 2 - origin)  $^{n_1}$  longissimus dorsi and biceps femoris, and skin damage was evaluated using a 4 point scale (1 = none, 2 - origin)  $^{n_1}$  longissimus dorsi and biceps femoris, and skin damage was evaluated using a 4 point scale (1 = none, 2 - origin)  $^{n_1}$  longissimus dorsi and biceps femoris, and skin damage was evaluated using a 4 point scale (1 = none, 2 - origin)  $^{n_1}$  longissimus dorsi and biceps femoris, and skin damage was evaluated using a 4 point scale (1 = none, 2 - origin)  $^{n_1}$  longissimus dorsi and biceps femoris, and skin damage was evaluated using a 4 point scale (1 = none, 2 - origin)  $^{n_1}$  longissimus dorsi and biceps femoris, and skin damage was evaluated using a 4 point scale (1 = none, 2 - origin)  $^{n_1}$  longissimus dorsi and biceps femoris, and skin damage was evaluated using a 4 point scale (1 = none, 2 - origin)  $^{n_1}$  longissimus dorsi and biceps femoris, and skin damage was evaluated using a 4 point scale (1 = none)  $^{n_1}$  longissimus dorsi and biceps femoris, and skin damage was evaluated using a 4 point scale (1 = none)  $^{n_1}$  longissimus dorsi and biceps femoris, and skin damage was evaluated using a 4 point scale (1  $w_{as}^{w_{as}}$  measured in the foreleg subjectively using a 4 point scale: 1 = relaxed, 4 = complete rigor, as were analysed using the fully automated  $(s_{ybesma, 1966})$ . Fat samples were taken for analysis of the skatole content. The samples were analysed using the fully automated

system. The skatole results are an average of 3 analyses from each male pig. The meat content and slaughter weight were noted. The  $dr^{0}$  slaughter pH<sub>2</sub> values were measured in semissingly and the state of the slaughter pH<sub>2</sub> values were measured in semispinalis capitis, longissimus dorsi and biceps femoris. Probe values were also measured longis dorsi and biceps femoris using the MQM-equipment (Barton-Gade & Olsen, 1984, Borggaard 1989).

All calculations were performed using the Statistical Analysing System (SAS Institute Inc., 1985). The effect of farm and treatment were by the following model:

Where  $Y_{ijk} = \text{the } i_{ij}k$  observation;  $\mu = \text{general mean } L_i = \text{effect of the i'th group } N_i = \text{effect of the J'th farm, } LN_{ij} = \text{interaction between grow} = \frac{1}{N_{ij}} \int_{M_{ij}} \frac{1}{N_{ij}} \frac{1}{N_{i$ 

Mos

The results of the analysis of variance is shown in Tables 1, 2 and 3. Table 4 shows the frequency of quality defects. A significant inter-

The two experimental groups were significantly different with respect to skatole. Skatole content was lowest in the group that was last fer the week of the structure of the str evening before slaughter. The average skatole content for the two groups was 0,12 ppm and 0,15 ppm for group 1 and 2 respectively. The average for the form with the two states of the form the form states of the form with the two states of the form with the two states of the form two states of two stat a significant interaction between farm and feed group, the farm with the highest average skatole content showing the greatest effect of time feeding (See Table 2). There was also a significant difference by the farm with the highest average skatole content showing the greatest effect of 1.3 was + 0.0%feeding (See Table 2). There was also a significant difference between the skatole level of the farms. Average for farms 1, 2 and 3 was 0.057 0.12 ppm and 0.23 ppm respectively.

Meat quality was not affected by time of last feed to slaughter. Slaughter weight was different with Group 1 being 0.8 kg lower than that of a contract but on the result is primarily caused by one of the farms in the cause of the farms of the farms in the cause of the farms of 2. This result is primarily caused by one of the farms in the group. The difference cannot be explained by differences in treatment, but caused an effect of different live weight, which was not registered. The initial an effect of different live weight, which was not registered. The incidence of skin damage that depreciates the quality of the carcasses we shoulder, middle and ham 15.3%, 2.9% and 2.5% respectively. The incidence of skin damage that depreciates the quality of the carcasses we the low incidence of the carcasses we have a should be and ham 15.3%. shoulder, middle and ham 15.3%, 2.9% and 2.5% respectively. The incidence of DFD did not differ between the two groups. The low independence of skin damage and DFD in both groups shows that the male size h of skin damage and DFD in both groups shows that the male pigs have not fought and were not exhausted at the time of slaughter despite hours of fast. Previous work has shown that the frequency of high states hours of fast. Previous work has shown that the frequency of high ultimate pH is found to be higher in carcasses showing greatest skin the (Warriss and Brown, 1985). The low incidence of skin damage and DED to (Warriss and Brown, 1985). The low incidence of skin damage and DFD frequency is probably due to the transportation and lairage where the pigs were held separate according to farm. The incidence of laws to pigs were held separate according to farm. The incidence of low pH<sub>1</sub> values was very low 1.1% in longissimus dorsi, 0 in semimembrane 2.4% in biceps femoris. Intramuscular fat in longissimus dorsi and bi 2.4% in biceps femoris. Intramuscular fat in longissimus dorsi and biceps femoris was 1.25 and 1.66% and had not been affected by the treat as expected. PSE incidence as measured by MOM-equipment work 1.6

The skatole content in carcasses was affected by the length of period from last feed until slaughter. The skatole content was lowest in multithat had fasted for 16 hours compared to feeding until dispatch. There was a considerable difference between skatole content in carcasses big all all pigs delivered from different farms. The effect of treatment was affected built of pigs delivered from different farms. The effect of treatment was affected by the farm's skatole level. Farms with high skatole average had a feet of omitting feeding on day of dispatch to slaughterhouse. Meat quality was not affected by the period from last feeding to slaughter. There was a very low incidence of DFD and skin damage.

### REFERENCES

- Barton, P. (1990): Kødkvalitet hos hangrise. NJF-seminarium nr. 183 (215-222) Uppsala 1990.
- Barton-Gade, P.A. & Olsen, E.V. (1984): The relationship between water holding capacity and measurements carried out with the automatic and the particular desires and gilts. Livestock Prod. Sci. 16: 187–196. meat quality probe. Scientific Meeting "Biophysical PSE-Muscle Analysis". April 26–27th, Vienne Technical University, Australian Bonneau, M., 1991: Sexual odour in pork from entire males. Com
- Bonneau, M., 1991: Sexual odour in pork from entire males. Compounds responsible for boar taint and physiological basis of and the production and storage in young entire male pigs. Workshop on the production and storage in young entire male pigs. production and storage in young entire male pigs. Workshop on the production of pork from entire males, Ottawa, Canada, June 5, Market and Storage in young entire male pigs.

Minitamuscular fat on-line, ICoMST 1989.

<sup>Adottar</sup> fat on-line, ICoMST 1989. <sup>Adström,</sup> K., Malmfors, B., Malmfors, G., Stern, S., Petersson, H., Mortensen, A.B., og Sørensen, S.E., 1988: Skatole, androstenone and Main boars fed two different diets. Livestock Prod.Sci., 18: 55-67. <sup>kaibo</sup>, H. 1990. Unpublished material.

were

grou

interd

ast fei

There

imed

0.08 8

at of G it cou S We inci pite th

in dat e the

1050 treath

male s of

3. oste 5-0. Matho, H. 1991. Unpublished material.

Material. Unpublished material. Material, A.B. and Sørensen, S.E. 1984. Relationship between boar taint and skatole determined with a new analysis method. Proc. 30th Marken Meeting of Meat Research Workers, Bristol. pp. 394–396.

<sup>Matering</sup> of Meat Research Workers, Bristol. pp. 394–390. <sup>Materisen,</sup> A.B., Bejerholm, C. and Pedersen, J.K., 1986. Consumer test of meat from entire males, in relation to skatole in backfat. Proc. <sup>bud</sup> European Meeting of Meat Research Workers, Ghent, pp. 23–26.

<sup>barropean</sup> Meeting of Meat Research Workers, Ghent, pp. 23–26. B.W. and Trimble, D. 1988: A study of the incidence of blemishes on bacon carcasses in relation to carcass classification, sex and <sup>And</sup> Trimble, D. 1988: A state, <sup>age conditions.</sup> Record of Agricultural Research 36. 101–107. Minussen, A.A., Wichmann Jørgensen, T., Barton P. 1987: Unpublished material.

<sup>MS</sup> Institute Inc., 1985: SAS User's Guide: Stastics Version, 5th Edition, SAS Institute Inc., Gary NC, 956 pp <sup>Mutte</sup> Inc., 1985: SAS User's Guide: Stastics Version, 5th Edition, 5AS Institute Inc., 545 (1966): Die Messung des Unterschiedes in Auftreten des Rigor Mortis in Schinken. Die Fleischwirtschaft <u>46</u> 637–639. Mensen, S.E., Mortensen, A.B., Barfod, K., 1985. Unpublished material.

<sup>va, S.E.</sup>, Mortensen, A.B., Barfod, K., 1985. Unpublished material. <sup>Valstra, P.</sup>, Engel, B. and Mateman, G., 1986. The androstenone-skatole dilemma as applied in a consumer test. Proc. 32nd European <sup>1</sup><sup>ceting</sup> of Meat Research Workers, Ghent, pp. 27–29. Martiss, P.D. and Brown, S. 1985: The Physological Responses to Fighting in Pigs and the consequences for meat quality. J.Sci. Food. Agric.

36.87-92.

Table 1 - Average Skatole Content i Carcasses in relation to Feed Group and Farm.

Average values with different superscripts were significantly different.

\*\*\* = p <0.001, \*\* = p <0.01, \* = p <0.05

	Group		Farm			Significance		
N	1	2	1	2	3	Group	Farm	Group x Farm
Number of male pigs	148	165	121	105	87	_	-	-
average skatole	0.12ª	0.15 <sup>b</sup>	0.08ª	0.12 <sup>b</sup>	0.23 <sup>c</sup>	* *	* * *	**
% > 0.25 ppm	6.8	11.7	0	2.9	29.9	-	-	-

Table 2 - Interaction between Skatole content anf Feed Group/Farm

Farm		1		2	3	
Group	1	2	1	2	1	2
Number of Male Pigs	62	59	42	61	44	43
Average Skatole	0.09	0.08	0.09	0.14	0.20	0.26

	Gro	up	Farm			Significance		
	1	2	1	2	3	Group	Farm	Group x Farr
Number of male pigs	148	165	121	105	87	-	-	-
Slaughter weight (Kg)	70.4ª	71.2 <sup>b</sup>	72.3ª	69.5 <sup>b</sup>	70.6 <sup>c</sup>	*	* * *	***
Meat percentage	61.8	61.6	61.9	61.8	61.4	-	-	-
pH <sub>1</sub> in l.dorsi	6.47	6.48	6.45ª	6.56 <sup>b</sup>	6.40ª		**	-
pH <sub>1</sub> in biceps femoris	6.46	6.25	6.48 <sup>ab</sup>	6.58 <sup>ab</sup>	6.42ª		**	**
Rigor objective	3.82	4.33	4.18	4.00	4.13			-
Skin damage shoulder	1.94	1.91	1.85	1.90	2.05		here - the	-
Skin damage middle	1.46	1.45	1.39	1.50	1.49	82 - 10 C		-
Skin damage ham	1.20	1.18	1.18	1.22	1.18	the - Dee		-
Rigor subjective	1,72	1.81	1.75	1.68	1.91	21,2.00	A 8- B	-

### Table 3 - Quality in relation to Group and FarmAverage values with different superscripts were significantly different.\*\*\* = p < 0.001, \*\* = p < 0.01, \* = p < 0.05

REF

C.MT South MMA Seffec

ib og

is for ing fi for 2 hype: hype

All Participation of the parti

anals.

ind) at a soil of were in had be kep

teatra and a state of the state

and separation

Table 4 - Quality Variation in relation to Group and Total

	10.02 A	Group 1	Group 2	Total
Semispinalis Capitis	pH <sub>2</sub> >6.50	3.2	2.1	2.8
L. dorsi	pH <sub>2</sub> >6.10	0.8	0.0	0.4
Semimembranosus	pH <sub>2</sub> >6.10	3.4	1.4	2.0
L.dorsi Semimembranosus Probe L.dorsi	pH <5.9 pH >5.9 > 80	1.1 0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0 0.0	0.5 0.0 0.0
Probe S.membranosus	> 85	0.0	0.9	0.5
Probe Biceps femoris	> 90	2.4	1.9	2.0