^{AFICATION} AND CHARACTERIZATION OF INTERMEDIATE Mr INHIBITOR OF CYSTEINE PROTEINASE IN We SKELETAL MUSCLE. ^{ab} ^{BRRI}, M. ZABARI, P. ROUCHON, T. SAYD, J. SIBOULET and A. OUALI

^{ande Recherche sur la Viande, Unité Biochimie des Proteines, INRA Theix, 63122 CEYRAT, France.}

MARY : Four papain fractions named FI, FII, FIII and FIV were fractionated from bovine crude extract muscle using a gel filtration ^{hatography} on Sephadex G100. From the FIII fraction, a cysteine proteinase inhibitor was purified by two successive anionic exchange ^{a by on} Sephadex 0100. From the First fraction, a by action protein shows a Mr of about 34.2 KDa, stable over a ^{a by graphy} on a Q-Sepharose column and its properties investigated. This inhibitor protein shows a Mr of about 34.2 KDa, stable over a ^{Bame} (5-8), thermostable (40-100°C), bind tightly to papain and cathepsin L, and, though to a lesser extent, to cathepsins B and H. ¹^{In good} agreement with their corresponding Ki values : 70 nM for papain, 29 nM for cathepsin L, 600 nM for cathepsin B and 410 nM ^{hepsin} H. The kinetic features of enzyme - inhibitor binding suggest a possible role for this inhibitor protein in controlling "in vivo" min L proteolytic activity.

agèr

¹⁰DUCTION : Meat tenderness is probably the most important quality attribute for consumers. Management of this quality needs ^{thenent} of our understanding of both the original causes and the nature of the mechanisms responsible for the postmortem improvement ^{the function} which is physicochemical in nature, mainly concerns ^{the two sets of mechanisms are probably involved in this process.} ^{an postmortem} muscle osmotic pressure. The second is protect, use protections are protective and the protection of meat tenderization (For review see OUALI, 1990). In this respect, two protectives systems have received much attention from ^{an} meat tenderization (For review see OUAL1, 1990). In this respect, the processes of proteins. These systems are the ^{and non-meat} scientists, since in live animals these were also involved in the degradative processes of proteins. These systems are the slysosomal proteinases (cathepsins B, H, L and D) and the calcium dependent neutral proteinases (calpains).

^{has protein} inhibitors naturally occurring within the cells largely contribute to the regulation of proteolysis in both living animals and ^{notein} inhibitors naturally occurring within the cells largely contribute to the regulation in muscle was reported for rabbit (^{nuscle}. In regard to lysosomal proteinases, the existence of cathepsins inhibitors in muscle was reported for rabbit (^{Nuscle}. In regard to lysosomal proteinases, the existence of cathepsins inhibitors in muscle was reported for rabbit (^{M muscle.} In regard to lysosomal proteinases, the existence of cathepsills initiation of the second proteinases and bovine (BIGE et al. 1985; OUALI et al. 1986). Recently ZABARI et al. (1991) show that the equipment of bovine muscle in cysteine proteinase inhibitors other than calpastatin is very complex. In fact four papain ^{and} the equipment of bovine muscle in cysteme proteinase minority offer and the equipment of bovine muscle in cysteme proteinase minority offer and get filtration chromatography on Sephadex ^{hut Indicating the presence of four classes of inhibitors showing different with in the range of the present work intended ^{hut inhibited} trypsin and chymotrypsin together with papain, FIII fraction is only active against papain. The present work intended} ^{the to purify} and characterize the inhibitor from the FIII papain inhibiting fraction. REALS AND METHODS :

AND METHODS: Assays: Cathepsins B, H, and L were prepared from rat liver lysosomes according to OBLED et al. (1984). Cathepsins B, L, and L were prepared from rat liver lysosomes according to OBLED et al. (1984). Cathepsins B, L, ^{assays} : Cathepsins B, H, and L were prepared from rat liver rysosomes according to ^{and} trypsin</sup> activities were measured in accordance to BARRETT et al. (1980) using Z-Phe- Arg- NMec as substrate. Cathepsin H was ^{but} with activities were measured in accordance to BARRETT et al. (1980) using Z-Phe- Arg- NMec as substrate. Cathepsin H was ⁴³ psin activities were measured in accordance to BARRETT et al. (1980) using 2-the tag. ⁴³ with Arg-NMec as substrate (BARRETT et al; 1980) whereas chymotrypsin activity was tested according to SAWADA et al. (1983) ^{Alge}Ala-Pro-Phe-NMec as substrate. Activity of pepsin against haemoglobin was determined as previously reported by OUALI and

⁽¹⁾. ⁽¹⁾ ^{A specific} inhibitor of cysteine proteinases. As evaluated by this method, active proteinase concentration in the stock solution were 20 ^{beentic} inhibitor of cysteine proteinases. As evaluated the spectively. ^{bio} nM, 60 nM and 350 nM for papain, cathepsins B, H, and L respectively.

The and 350 nM for papain, cathepsins B, H, and L respectively. Internase inhibitor assays : Inhibition experiments were performed at constant enzyme concentration and variable **Proteinase** inhibitor assays : Inhibition experiments were performed at constants of the papain, 30 min for ^{won of inhibitor.} The mixture was preincubated at 37° for a previously defined fixed person. The reaction started by addition ¹⁴ when the start of the start ⁴⁰ min for cathepsin H, 60 min for cathepsin B, 20 min for uppsin, engineering ⁵⁴⁰ strate at final concentration of 10 μM. The progress of the reaction was followed fluorimetrically by monitoring the release of NMec $\lambda_{\approx 360}$ nm, Emi. $\lambda = 460$ nm).

^{womm, Emi.} $\lambda = 460 \text{ nm}$). ^(a) <u>of muscle cysteine proteinase inhibitor</u> : the details of the purification scheme were as previously described (ZABARI ^(b)). Brian ¹ Of <u>muscle cysteine proteinase inhibitor</u>: the details of the purification scheme ways loaded on a Sephadex G100 column (100x5 cm) ¹ Parate the crude extract prepared from 350 g of *diaphagma* bovine muscle was loaded on a Sephadex G100 column (100x5 cm) ^{bep}arated four papain inhibiting fractions called FI, FII, FIII and FIV. The complete purification of the inhibitor from the FIII fraction

was achieved by using two successive exchange chromatography steps on a Q-Sepharose column (14, 2.5 cm) run at different pH (8.1)

7.6 respectively). The proteins were eluted by a 0 - 0.5 M NaCl gradient and 2 ml fractions were collected and tested for their initiation activity activity.

Other methods: Protein Mr were estimated by SDS-PAGE electrophoresis performed as described by LAEMMLI (1970) on 15% state using the Pharmacia low-Mr calibration Kit. Proteins were stained with Coomassie Brillant Blue R-250. The pI was determined by isode focusing on polyacrylamide slab gel. Protein concentration was measured according to LOWRY et al. (1951).

RESULTS :

<u>General properties of the inhibitor</u>: As summarised in table 1, a protein inhibitor was purified 1890 fold from the Sephadex of mixture of inhibitors and can not be taken into account. As regard its specificity towards differentiation differentiation was this inhibitor. mixture of inhibitors and can not be taken into account. As regard its specificity towards different types of proteinases, this inhibitors and chymotrypsin, two serine proteinases, as well as pensing a well be proteinases. inactive against trypsin and chymotrypsin, two serine proteinases, as well as pepsin, a well known aspartyl proteinase. By contrast, ciffic experime proteinase of the cysteine proteinase class were strongly inhibited own aspartyl proteinase. By contrast, specific B, H, L and papain, four enzymes of the cysteine proteinases, as well as pepsin, a well known aspartyl proteinase. By contrast, un cysteine proteinases group (Table 2).

Table - 1 : Purification of cysteine proteinase inhibitor from bovine skeletal muscle. One activity unit was defined as the concentration of inhibitor inactivating one unit of papain.

Purification Step	Total protein (mg)	Total activity (U)	Specific activity (U)	Purification factor
Gel filtration	91.0	107	1.26	1
Q-Sepharose - pH 8.15	6.4	180	28.1	22
- pH 7.60	0.105	250	2380	1890

Table - 2 : Inhibition spectrum of the 34.2 kDa inhibitor. Activity expressed as µg of inhibitor inactivating by 50% 1µg of enzyme. NI : not inhibited.

Proteinases	µg inhibitor	
Papain	4.46	
Cathepsin L	0.46	
Cathepsin B	19.4	
Cathepsin H	40.4	
Trypsin	NI	
Chymotrypsin	NI	
Pepsin	NI	

Physicochemical properties of this inhibitor : The apparent Mr of this inhibitor estimated by SDS-PAGE was 34.2 KDa (Figure 4) Whether the electrophoretic analysis was performed in the abcore was in the Whether the electrophoretic analysis was performed in the absence or in the presence of thiol compounds, only one band was suggesting that this inhibitor is a monomeric protein (Fig. 1D). This suggesting that this inhibitor is a monomeric protein (Fig. 1B). This protein subjected to isoelectric focusing on polyacrylamide gel displayed plot 6.7 (data no shown). The temperature stability was tested over a large temperature focusing on polyacrylamide fraction pI of 6.7 (data no shown). The temperature stability was tested over a large temperature range (40-100°C) by incubating the pure fraction

Figure 1 : Electrophoretis pattern of the purified inhibitor fraction : (A) Calibration curve established using the Pharmacia low Mr markers. (B): Lane 1 : Purified inhibitor protein. Lane 2 : Protein inhibitor tracted with the stabilished using the pharmacia low



during 30 min at different temperatures. The papain inhibiting activity was unchanged between 40 and 80°C whereas it decreases by about 23 30% between 80 and 100°C. The pH stability was performed by incubating the interview. 30% between 80 and 100°C. The pH stability was performed by incubating the inhibitor at various pH ranging from 5 to 8 in the absence of the enzyme during 3 h. This protein seemed to be highly stable in this pH represent. **Kinetic properties :** the availability of highly purified inhibitor preparations enables determination of the molar concentration of the molar concentratin inhibitor using a Mr of 34.2 KDa and a protein content of 50 μ g/ml. Assuming that all inhibitor molecules were active, the molar concentration was estimated to be 1.1 μ M.

preincubated for various time with equimolar levels of inhibitor until constant residual activity was obtained (BIETH, 1980). Where a needed for cathepsins B and H respectively. (The second s maximum inhibition of papain and cathepsin L was achieved after 25 min and 30 min respectively, a 60 min and 40 min preincubation was achieved after 25 min and 30 min respectively, a 60 min and 40 min preincubation and 40



^{he}, the efficiency of the inhibitor to inactivate cysteine proteinases is highly variable. As shown in Fig. 3, papain and cathepsin L were ^{the inhibitor to inactivate cysteme proteinases to mgacy} and the sensitive than cathepsins B and H for which a decrease in the residual activity occurred at higher inhibitor concentration (> 430

Papain and <u>cathepsins B</u> and <u>H</u>: for these proteinases, the equilibrium constant (Ki) was determined according to BIETH (1980) $\frac{1}{2}$ the non reversibility of the proteinase-inhibitor association, a condition achieved by working at low enzyme and inhibitor ^{thations} in the assays which led to a non linearity of the titration curve. Hence, equimolar inhibitor and enzyme levels ranging from 10⁻⁷ Were preincubated in a minimum final volume. In such experimental conditions, Ki(app) can be determined by the EASSON-^{method} (HENDERSON, 1972). This method is based on the following equation : Io/1-a = Ki/a + Eo (1) where a is the the enzyme level ([EI]/[Eo] or Vi/Vo), Io the initial free inhibitor level and Eo the initial free enzyme level. The plot of [Io/1-a] versus $\frac{1}{M_{ds}}$ a straight-line whose slope is Ki(app). As the Km values of the enzymes for their respective specific substrates was higher than the $\frac{1}{M_{ds}}$. $M_{\text{strate concentration (Km > So)}}$, the Ki values can be deduced from the following equation : Ki(app) = Ki (1+So/Km) (2). $V_{\rm e}$ km values reported by BIGE (1985), Ki values calculated for papain, cathepsin B and cathepsin H according to equation 2 were 70 ^M ^{And} ^{And} ⁴¹⁰ nM respectively (Table 3). $\frac{10}{10}$ nM respectively (Table 3). $\frac{10}{10}$ cathepsin L : optimisation of the inhibitor-cathepsin L interaction was achieved by working with a very low proteinase-inhibitor

 $\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{F}_{2}}^{\mathbb{F}_{2}}$ Under such conditions the EASSON-STEDMAN plot cannot be used for the Ki determination, but as described by NICKLIN W_{as} obtained (Fig. 4A). The experimental Ki(app) values obtained in the presence of 2.5, 5, 10 μ M of Z-Phe-Arg-NMec were ^{ad 39} nM respectively. Ki(app) thus decreased as a function of substrate concentration which is typical of uncompetitive mechanism ^{99 MM} respectively. Ki(app) thus decreased as a function of substrate concentration when a symptotic strate of the substrate concentration when a symptotic strate of the substrate concentration when a symptotic strate of the symptot strate of the symptot strate of the symptot strate of the s Vielded a true Ki value of 29 nM (Fig. 4B).

> Figure 4 : Determination of the equilibrium constant Ki characterising the interaction of the inhibitor with cathepsin L ([Eo] = 17 nM). (A) : Estimation of the apparent Ki using three different substrate concentrations according to Nicklin et al. (1984). (B) : Estimation of the Ki value according to Henderson (1972).





[I] nM [I] n AND CONCLUSION : Bovine skeletal muscle contained several cysteme protentate and FIV according their increasing elution volume from a Sephadex G100 column (ZABARI et al. 1991). From the FIII fraction, 331

we purified to homogeneity a 34.2 KDa inhibitor protein using two step anionic exchange chromatography on a Q-Sepharose column and 8.15 and 7.6 respectively. This inhibitor is thermostable and stable over a large pH range from 5 to 8. It appears to be not sensitive to reduce the sensitive the sensitive to reduce the sensitive the sensitive to reduce t agents. Indeed, whether SDS-PAGE was performed in the absence and in the presence of B-mercaptoethanol at concentration as high as only one band was observed. A fraction (Mr = 29 KDa) called I-T was isolated from rabbit muscle (MATSUISHI et al. 1988). Conversion and the bovine 34.2 KDa inhibitor. LT was conversed by the bovine 34.2 KDa inhibitor.the bovine 34.2 KDa inhibitor, I-T was converted to a low molecular weight inhibitor I-M (10.7 KDa) in the presence of thiol reducing and suggesting that I-T was a trimer of LM formulation and pairs suggesting that I-T was a trimer of I-M formed through dissulfide bonding. The present report is the first to describe a skeletal muscle part inhibitor showing a Mr 34.2 KDa. With second to a inhibitor showing a Mr 34.2 KDa. With regard to its specificity towards proteinases, this inhibitor show no activity against serine of aspectivity towards proteinases but, although to various extent inhibitor to the second sec proteinase but, although to various extent, inhibited all cysteine proteinases tested. The 34.2 KDa inhibitor inactivated strongly both paper is the strongly both paper in the strongly both paper is the strongly both paper. cathepsin L and moderately cathepsins B and H. This finding is in good agreement with the experimental Ki values determined for the inhibitory cathepsine. enzymes. For all enzymes tested, the inhibitory activity was dependent on the inhibitor-enzyme ratio and the preincubation time. More of the activity was dependent on the inhibitor-enzyme ratio and the preincubation time. efficiency was shown to vary between enzymes a finding comforted by the Ki values determined according to HENDERSON (1972). NICKLIN and BARRETT (1984). Ki was estimated to be approximated to be approxima NICKLIN and BARRETT (1984). Ki was estimated to be 70 nM for papain, 600 nM for cathepsin B, 410 nM for cathepsin H and 20 nM cathepsin L. The most sensitive enzyme was catherein L. cathepsin L. The most sensitive enzyme was cathepsin L for which the mechanism was shown to be uncompetitive. Regarding this protein and using the approach of BIETH (1980), the low Was and using the approach of BIETH (1980), the low Was and using the approach of BIETH (1980), the low Was and using the approach of BIETH (1980), the low Was and using the approach of BIETH (1980), the low Was and using the approach of BIETH (1980), the low Was and using the approach of BIETH (1980), the low Was and using the approach of BIETH (1980), the low Was and using the approach of BIETH (1980). and using the approach of BIETH (1980), the low Ki value and the high I/Ki ratio >10 agree with a potential significant physiological physiolo assumption supported by the likely very high "in vivo" I/E ratio and the relatively high I/Ki ratio previously determined. However, a kinetic parameters might be determined (Kasa Killing and the relatively high I/Ki ratio previously determined. kinetic parameters might be determined (Kass, Kdiss, delay time and stability time) to confirmed this physiological role.

BAICI A. and GYGER-MARAZZI M. (1982). The slow, tight-binding inhibition of cathepsin B by leupeptin. A hysteretic effect. ^{Eff} Biochem. 129, 33-41. BARRETT A.J. (1980). Fluorimetric assays for cathepsin B and cathepsin H with methylcoumarylamide substrates. Biochem. J. 187: 9

BIETH J.G. (1980). Pathological interpretation of kinetic constants of protease inhibitors. Bull. Europ. Physiopa. Resp. 16, 183-195.

BIETH J.G. (1984). In vivo signification of kinetic constants of protein proteinase inhibitors. Biochemical medecine, 32, 387-397.

BIGE L., OUALI A. and VALIN C. (1985). Purification and characterization of a low molecular cysteine proteinase inhibitor from potential muscle. Biochemica and Biophysica Acta. 843: 269-275. BIGE L. (1985). Purification et caracterisation des inhibiteurs musculaires des cysteine proteinases lysosomiales. These de Docteur d'un Univ. de CLERMONT-FERRAND II.

HENDERSON P. J. F. (1972). A linear equation that describes the stady-states kinetics of enzymes and subcellular particles interactine protein as a subcellular partic LAEMMLI U.K. (1970). Cleavage of structural proteins during the assembly of the head bacteriophage T4. Nature. 227: 680-685.

LOWRY O. H., ROSEBROUGH N. J., FARA A.L. and RANDALL R.J. (1951). Protein measurement with the FOLLIN phenol reageners. 193, 256-268. MATSUISHI M., OKITANI A., HAYAKAWA Y., and KATO H. (1988). Cysteine proteinase inhibitors from rabbit skeletal muscle.

MATSUMOTO T., OKITANI A., KITAMURA Y. and KATO H. (1983). Some properties and postmortem changes of cysteines profe NICKLIN M. J. H. and BARRETT A. J. (1984). Inhibition of cysteine proteinases and dipeptidyl peptidase I by egg-white cysteine Biochem. J., 223, 245-253.

OBLED A., OUALI A. and VALIN C. (1984). Cysteine proteinase content of rat muscle lysosomes. Biochimie, 66, 609-616.

OUALI A. and VALIN C. (1981). Effet of muscle lysosomal enzymes and calcium activated neutral proteinase on myofibrillar ATPase activity of the second secon

OUALI A., BIGE L., OBLED A., LACOURT A. and VALIN C. (1986). Small and high molecular weight proteinase inhibitors from both muscle.In: Cysteine proteinase and their inhibitors.(V. TURK ed). Walter de Gruyter. Berlin. New York, PP.545-554.

SAWADA H., YOKOSAWA H., HOSHI M. and ISHII S. (1983). Ascidian sperm chymotrypsin-like enzyme; participation in fertilipation Experientia. 39: 377-378. ZABARI M., BERRI M. POLICIUM ZABARI M., BERRI M., ROUCHON P. and OUALI A. (1991). Fractionation and characterization of proteinases inhibitors from being skeletal muscle.37th Intern. Cong. of Meat Scien. and techn., 1, 452-456.