EFFECTS OF DIFFERENT POULTRY VISCERA BY-PRODUCTS (RENDERING, ACIDULATION AND FERMENTATION) ON CARCASS CHARACTERISTICS OF BROILER AND SUBJECTIVE AND OBJECTIVE EVALUATION OF BROILER BREAST MUSCLE

LIU DENG-CHENG AND H. W. OCKERMAN

DEPARTMENT OF ANIMAL SCIENCES, THE OHIO STATE UNIVERSITY, 2029 FAFFY ROAD, COLUMBUS, OH43210 USA

INTRODUCTION

Animal by-products are used as a feedstuff in animal diets becuse they contain an excellent balance of amino acids and a good source of minerals and vitamins (Ensminger et al., 1990). Tibbetts et al., (1987) indicated that carcasses dressing percentage, length, poultry by-product silage. Urlings et al., (1993) stated that the pigs were not affected by diet with fermented poultry by-products had a significantly higher carcass weight, a lower carcass characteristics when the experimental diet contained 30% poultry viscera silage was the flavor, tenderness or juiciness of pork roasts were not affected by including acidulated investigate the carcass characteristics and the subjective and objective evaluation of breast acidulation and fermentation).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

A total 144 broilers (72 males, 72 females) were raised with 4 different diets (control-3% meat and bone meal, rendered-3% poultry viscera meal, acidulation-9.69% acidulated poultry viscera and fermentation-8.89 % fermented poultry viscera) from 4 week to be weeks. When the feeding experiment was completed at the 6th week broilers were sent to a characteristics of broiler were estimated by wholesale cut techniques and the subjective and warner-Bratzler shear test.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The wholesale cut parts (head, neck and back, breast, leg, wing and feet) of broiler carcasses were not significantly different among all treatments (Table 1). The carcass weight, dressing percentage and viscera percentage of broilers fed the control, the rendered, the acidulation and the fermentation diets were also not significantly different.

The juiciness, tenderness, texture score of broiler breast muscles were not significantly different among all treatments. The flavor score of broiler breast muscle of the control diet had the highest value (6.25) of all treatments and was significantly higher compared with broilers fed the rendered diet (6.07) and the acidulation diet (6.07). Although of broilers fed the control diet it was still acceptable by the panelist. The overall score (6.29) of all treatments and was significantly different when of broilers fed the control diet it was still acceptable by the panelist. The overall score (6.29) of all treatments and was significantly higher than that of broilers fed the trendered and fermentation diets (Table 2) but they all were acceptable by the panelists in muscle of broiler fed the control diet was compared with those of broilers fed the rendered,

CONCLUSION

The carcass characteristics (wholesale cut parts percentage, dressing percentage, carcass weight and viscera percentage) were not affected by the broilers fed the experimental and texture of broiler breast muscle were also not affected by the addition of different were significantly better than that of broilers fed the fermentation diets and the overall of the broilers fed the rendered diet but the breast from all diets were acceptable by the panelists in this research.

REFERENCES

- Briscoe, J. P., 1981. Poultry visceralage as a feed ingredient in ruminant rations and its influence upon growth and carcass characteristics. The university of Georgia, phD dissertation
- Ensminger, M. E., J. E. Oldfield and W.W. Heinemann, 1990, "Feed and Nutrition Digest". The Ensminger Publishing Company, Clovis, California, p225-247
- Tibbetts, G. W., R. W. Seerley and H. C. McCampbell, 1987. Poultry offal ensiled with Lactobacillus acidophilus for growing and finishing swine diet. J. Anim. Sci. 64:182-190

Urlings, H. A. P., A. J. Mul, A. Th. Van't Klooster, P. G. H. Bijker, J. G. Van Logtestijin, and L. G. M. Van Gils, 1993. Microbial and nutritional aspects of feeding fermented feed (poultry by-products) to pigs. Veterinary Quarterly 15:146-150

Van lunen, T. A., R. L. Wilson, L. M. Poste and G. Butler, 1990. The effect of dietary poultry offal hydrolysate on the fatty acid composition and meat quality of pork. Can. J. Anim. Sci. 70:1041-1051

Table 1 Means and standard error of the wholesale cut parts percentage, carcass weight, dressing percentage and viscera percentage of broiler as influenced by different poultry Viscera products

T+-	No enalita	neonal a	tanti fan i		ental diets	(SE)	Fermentati	on (SE)
Items	Control	(SE)	Rendered	(SE)	Acidulation	(2E)	reimentati	
Carcass weight, g	1591.34	(35.02)	1576.86	(24.65)	1603.32	(36.14)	1580.72	(38.20)
Dressing, %		(0.30)	76.56	(0.20)	76.82	(0.33)	76.51	(0.27)
Viscera, %	14.78	(0.19)	15.11	(0.21)	15.00	(0.21)	15.09	(0.20)
Neck hard	27.64	(0.15)	27.44	(0.19)	17.62	(0.25)	27.22	(0.21)
back, % Breast, %		(0.23)	26.47	(0.31)	26.03	(0.30)	26.48	(0.28)
Leg, %		(0.19)	29.73	(0.21)	30.04	(0.18)	30.22	(0.19)
Wing, %		(0.07)	10.83	(0.11)	10.74	(0.11)	10.86	(0.08)
Feet, %	5.87	(0.11)	5.87	(0.13)	5.78	(0.10)	5.71	(0.08)

There were no significant differences among all treatments in this table .

Whole were no significant differences among all treatments in this table. The percent wholesale cut parts consist of neck, head and back, breast, leg, wing and feet. The percent

of wholesale cut parts based on carcass weight.

Wholesale cut parts based on carcass weight.

Dressing percentage= carcass weight / live body weight x 100.

Viscera percentage= viscera weight / live body weight x 100.

Viscera contain liver, heart, gizzard, lung, proventriculus, trachea and intestine tract.

Table 2 Means and standard error of the sensory panel score of broiler breast muscles as influenced by different poultry viscera products.

the party of	Experimental diets							
Items	Control (SE)	Rendered (SE)	Acidulation (SE)	Fermentation (SE)				
Flavor	6.25 ^A (0.09)	6.07 ^{AB} (0.09)	6.07 ^{AB} (0.08)	5.89 ⁸ (0.10)				
Juiciness	5.79 ^A (0.12)	5.61 ^A (0.10)	5.60 ^A (0.10)	5.51 ^A (0.13)				
Tenderness	6.07 ^A (0.11)	5.87 ^A (0.07)	5.90 ^A (0.11)	5.98 ^A (0.11)				
Texture	5.92 ^A (0.12)	5.83 ^A (0.08)	5.94 ^A (0.10)	5.86 ^A (0.13)				
Overall Acceptability	6.29 ^A (0.10)	5.97 ^B (0.07)	6.07 ^{AB} (0.09)	6.02 ^B (0.12)				

A 9 point scale of the sensory panel score was used in this test. Flavor: 1=extremely bland, 9= extremely chicken flavor. Juiciness: 1= very dry, 9= very juicy. Tenderness: 1= very tough, 9= very tender. Texture: 1= very coarse, 9= very fine. Overall acceptability: 1= very low. low overall acceptability, 9= very high overall acceptability.

A, B: The means of the sensory panel score with different superscript letters in the same row were significantly different (p<0.05).