H-13

EFFECTS OF GREEN TEA GROUNDS ON PIG PRODUCTION AND MEAT QUALITY

Toshio Oshida, Ryoichi Sakata, Tsuguaki Fukuyasu, Kiyoshi Yamauchi¹, Yutaka Nakai², Keiko Horiguchi³ and Senii Sakanaka⁴

School of Veterinary Medicine, Azabu Univ., Sagamihara, 229 Japan. ¹Faculty of Agriculture, Miyazaki Univ., Miyazaki, 889-21 Japan. ²Faculty of Agriculture, Tohoku Univ., Sendai, 981 Japan. ³Meiwa Women's Junior College, Maebashi, 371 Japan, ⁴Central Research Laboratory, Taiyo Kagaku co.ltd, Yokkaichi, 510 Japan.

Key words:Utilization of feed source, Green tea grounds, Healthy pork, Cholesterol in meat

Objectives

The Japanese consume much tea and the amount of used tea leaves or "Grounds" comes to as much as 2,000 tons a year. The disposal of this residuum by burning or burying in to the ground leads to serious environmental The authors have thus directed attention to converting used tea leaves into animal feed. Ground problems. tea powder has been shown quite beneficial to health, in that it prevents tooth decay and has anti-cancer activity. Kuwano et al.(1989) in experiments on rats confirmed increased Ht, Hb and serum iron in the blood. protection from anemia and the promotion of fat metabolism. The present study was conducted the find means for preparing porcine feed from tea grounds and obtain better quality pork.

Experimental Methods

Tea grounds (Tea Fiber/Taiyo Kagaku, Japan) were used as fine tea powder residuum ob-1.Green tea grounds tained by catechin extraction with hot water. Composition:moisture,5%; fiber,41%; protein,22%; glucide,12%; tannine,6%; lipid,5% and caffeine, at trace content.

2. Usage assessment This parameter was determined based on pork production, health and nutritional value Twenty piglets (body weight, about 67 kg) were divided into a trial group given formula feed containing 5% tea grounds by weight and a control group given only formula feed. These diets were administered 61days and the pigs were slaughtered at 197 days of age. During the feeding period, body weight and feed intake were measured to assess growth, weight gain and the usefulness of the tea residuum as a feed constituent. Blood samples were obtained weekly and analyzed for Ht, Hb, Fe, TP, Glc, T-cho, ALP, LDH and others. Collected excreta from the rectum was examined weekly for moisture, pH and ammonia content.

3. Pork quality Quality assessment was made for left side of each carcass sample in terms of dressing per cent, carcass length and rib eye area. Loin meat (M. longissimus thoracis, 24 hrs. postmortem) was examined for physicochemical characteristics such as pH, colour and meat chemical composition. Analysis of the chemical composition and processing quality of the meat were carried out using a cooked cured loin roll. The loin roll (24 hrs. postmortem) was cured with pickle for 2 weeks, smoked for 5 hrs. and cooked at an inter nal temperature of 65°C or above. The method of Scheffé (1952) was used for sensory evaluation by 50 girl students (age:18.5 years) as panel. The meat was scored as table meat or loin roll. The loin meat, dipped in boiling water for 10-15 seconds (Japanese "Shabushabu") and loin roll were compared for colour, odour, tenderness, flavour and total point evaluation scores. Cholesterol content in meat was determined by gas chromatography using a fat extract-containing sample as the fatty acid component (Yamauchi, 1988). Fatty acid composition was found by extraction, according to Folch et al. (1957) and gas-chromatography (Yamauchi, 1988: Oshida, 1984) following saponification and methylation.

Principal Results

1. Productivity of pigs Meat production data are shown in Table 1. Body weight increase in the trial and control groups was 34.9kg and 38.1kg, respectively. The former group was inferior slightly to the latter Table 1. Productivity of pigs group. Feed convertion ratios were 3.93 and 3.87, respectively.

2.<u>Health and nutritional conditions</u> The items of anemia and nu-tritional conditions (TP, Hb, Ht, Fe), hepatic and nephric functions (BUN, ALP, GOT, GPT, LDH) and fatty metabolic functions (G1c, T-cho, TG, HDL) were observed with growth. There were no significant difference for each items between two groups(Table 2). This indicates that there is no special problem in health and nutritional conditions in the trial group.

Tuble I. Houdeet.	21205	1-0
Items	Trial	Control
Initial BW(kg) Final BW(kg) Live weight gain(kg) Daily gain(g/day)	$\begin{array}{r} 67.8 \\ 102.7 \\ 34.9 \\ 572 \end{array}$	67.2 105.3 38.1 624
Feed conversion Feed efficiency	3.93 0.25	3.87 0.26

3. Characteristics of excreta The moisture and pH of excreta showed no change with growth in either group. Ammonia content was less in the trial than the control group.

4. Quality of pork Carcasses macrofindings and quality were normal in all cases (Table 3). Physicochemi cal data are presented in Table 4. Both groups showed essentially the same colour scores based on the Pork Color Standard of Japan (1975), Hunter values and total heme content (myoglobin %). The results for sensory evaluation and statistical analysis are given in Tables 5 and 6. No significant differences in odour were evident in groups. In the results of sensory evaluation about other items, no significant difference was found between two groups.

5. Cholesterol content of meat Cholesterol content was 24 mg and 28 mg for the trial and the control. former group had 15% lower cholesterol than the latter.

6.Fatty acid compositions of meat Fatty acid compositions are indicated in Table 7. Myristic acid, an im

^{port}ant determinant of cholesterol content, was less in the trial gruop.

Table 2. Changes in blood constituents

Checking items	0		2		4		6		8	(weeks)
(unit)	Trial	Control								
Body weight(kg)	67.2	67.2	78.8	79.4	87.6	90.0	96.8	98.3	102.7	105.3
TP(g/d1)	7.8	6.5	7.5	7.6	7.6	7.6	8.3	7.5	7.2	7.1
Hb(g/d1)	16.3	16.3	12.5	12.4	13.2	13.3	14.1	13.6	14.2	13.8
Ht (%)	38.6	40.5	38.0	39.0	38.9	39.2	40.5	38.5	39.9	39.1
$Fe(\mu g/d1)$	123	103	121	146	164	171	176	151	145	161
BUN(mg/d1)	28	22	37	39	41	47	47	43	42	36
ALP(IU/L)	266	248	231	294	234	279	268	284	183	193
GOT(IU/L)	22	20	20	21	18	17	25	16	18	16
GPT(IU/L)	27	26	24	29	27	31	33	31	27	27
LDH(IU/L)	575	489	532	518	593	545	637	466	504	453
Glc(mg/d1)	116	81	120	115	111	110	109	110	105	109
T-cho1(mg/d1)	119	102	108	119	113	121	118	121	109	116
TG(mg/d1)	36	35	42	37	41	47	50	49	67	57
HDL(mg/d1)	28	33	37	38	42	45	39	37	36	35

IU/L:International unit

Table 3. Carcass quality

Items	Trial	Contro1
Final body weight(kg)	100.8	103.0
Carcass weight(kg)	68.1	71.4
Dressing percent(%)	67.5	69.3
Eye muscle area(cm ²)	19.9	18.6
Back fat thickness(cm)	2.9	3.4

Table 4. Meat of	ality of pigs
------------------	---------------

Items	Trial	Contro1
Visual colour scores Hunter L value a value b value Total heme pigment(%) pH Moisture(%) Water holding capacity(%) Melting point(°C)Back	41.2	7 5.55 73.1 86.8 41.5
Abdomina	al 43.3	43.1

Fraction

myristic acid

palmitic acid

stearic acid

linoleic acid

oleic acid

saturates/unsaturates

lipid content(g/100g)

C14:0

C16:0

C18:0

C18:1

C18:2

Table 7. Fatty acid composition of meat(%)

Trial

1.1 24.2 11.7

49.0

6.9

0.59

3.89

Control

1.2

24.4

12.0

47.5

7.4

0.60

3.84

lable 5. Distribution of scores in sensory evaluation by paired comparisons (odour)

Combination)	-3	-2	-1	0	+1	+2	+3	Total
$\begin{array}{c} A \longrightarrow B \\ B \longrightarrow A \end{array}$	1		11 7	5 11	7 4	2 2		25 25
Total	1	0	18	16	11	4	0	50

A:Trial, B:Control

Table 6. Analysis of variance in sensory evaluation by paired comparisons (odour)

Source	Sum of squares	d.f.	Mean square	F value
Combineffects	1	1	1	0.038
101.	1 52	1 2	1 26	0.038
Total	54	4		

Conclusions

 $t_{reen}^{ausions}$ tea grounds may be used for the preparation of porcine feed resource, with consequent reduction in $t_{relest}^{ausions}$ cholesterol content in pork and greater health benefit as food.

References

F^{der}ences F₀₁ch, J. et al., J.Biol.Chem., 226:497(1957). — Kuwano, K. et al., J.Home Econ.Jpn., 40:975(1989) — Nakai, H. et H. Bull.Nat.Inst.Anim.Ind., 29:69(1975). — Oshida, T. et al., Bull. Azabu Univ.Vet.Med., 5:71(1984). — Scheffé, J.Amer.Statis.Asoc., 47, 381(1952). — Yamauchi, K. et al., J.Jpn.Soci.Nut. and Food Sci., 41:60(1988).