EFFECTS OF FEED COMPOSITION ON CHEMICAL AND SENSORY CHARACTERISTICS OF PORK LOINS DURING FROZEN STORAGE

Eli Arnkværn & Cathrine Bronken Lien,

Norwegian Meat Cooperative, Dept. of Research and Development, P.O. Box 360 Økern, N-0513 Oslo, Norway

Keywords: pork quality, processed food waste, sensory evaluation, fatty acids, frozen storage.

Background and objectives

Appropriate quality and consistent storage stability of products are important to ensure competitiveness and high consumption of pork. The intensive selection for feed efficiency and reduced fatness the Norwegian pig population has become leaner than most breeds elsewhere (NO 400, 1984). Traditionally, fish meal and offals from fish processing industry have been available and used as supplements in pig feed. The variation in fatty acids composition of the pigs tissue. High proportions of unsaturated fat causes the fat to be more susceptible to oxidation ensuing rancid flavour (Kjos, 1995). Hertzmann (1988) found that the content of the C22:6 docosal hexane fatty acid (DHA) in pork fat is pig meat quality.

The objective of this study was to chart the quality of pork, and to find quality criteria for controlling the development and securing the quality through the production chain.

Materials and methods

In two periods (August 1995 and January 1996) 177 commercial carcasses (mainly Norwegian Landrace and NL-crosses) were randomly selected (M1)from five slaughterhouses and analysed for chemical and sensory properties (Table 1). In addition, samples from pigs fed specifies were selected: 21 fed 80% or 100% processed food waste (M2) and 15 fed "swill" (M3) which is food waste without prior processing analysis was preformed by a panel of 9 well trained assessors using a descriptive test (ISO-6554-1985E). The intensity of 16 sensory attribute intramuscular fat content. Thiobarbituric acid reactive substances (TBARS) and sensory properties were determined after 1, 4 and 8 months for zen-storage. Statistical analyses were preformed by SAS and Sysdat, and significance differences between any two groups were determined by Student's t- test.

Results and discussion

The overall sensory profile of the random samples stored for 1, 4 and 8 months pork is given in Figure 1. The loins are rated high in tender (parameter no.10), and correspondingly low in toughness (11), but rather low in juiciness (9). These parameters were relatively unaffected length of storage. The low values of intramuscular fat (ave. 1.4%, var. 0.3-3.7%), and low ultimate pH (ave. 5.44, 50% of samples ≤ 5.4 , 10%both contribute to the low juiciness scores. Judging from the flavour and the juiciness of the pork, the recommended intramuscular fat contribute 2% (Cheah et al., 1995). Low ultimate-pH values affect the ability to bind water, and thus the economic return for the meat industry. Low phil associated with the RN-gene in Hampshire breed (Enfält, 1997), but this breed is not represented, and the presence of RN-gene has not been investigated in the Norwegian pig-population. The lower the pH value of the meat is, the faster the fat will hydrolyse (Honkavaara, pers. con-1995).

As indicated by both sensory panel scores and an internal consumers test, Norwegian pork loins receive overall acceptable meat flavour and odour ratings (3 & 4) initially (1 month), but meat flavour and odour scores decreased significantly during further frozen storage. This deterioration in flavour and odour during storage were accompanied by significant increase in scores for divergent (5 & 6) and rancid (7 & 8) flavour and odour. This early fat oxidation might be a problem, as "freezer-life" of pork has been set to maximum 6 months in Norway. The generative of rancidity (7 & 8) varied considerably between samples, and some samples were definitely rancid (Intensity 8) even at 1 month sin Norway. The randomly selected samples for the properties meat flavour, and rancid and deviating flavour. Randomly selected samples from one deviating and rancid flavour, and rancid flavour, and rancid flavour. Randomly selected samples from one deviating and rancid flavour and rancid flavour and rancid flavour, and rancid and deviating flavour. Randomly selected samples from one deviating and rancid flavour and rancid flavour and rancid flavour and rancid flavour and rancid flavour, were negatively distinguished, by low meat flavour and high

Pigs fed food waste (M2) were rated equal to or in lower range of the random sample (M1) for storage stability and most quality parameters are likely to vary with content of marine fatty acids in the feed. The pigs fed food waste (M2) appear to have better meat quality parameters extreme swill-fed pigs (M3). The pigs fed food waste (M2) were inferior in meat quality, compared to random samples (M1) from the same abattoir, at all sampling times. Pigs feed food waste had a significantly (P<0.05) higher meat percentage (58.7 vs. 57.0%), content of "unknow" (not defined) fatty acids (7.0 vs. 3.4%), intramuscular fat (1.8 vs. 1.4%) and tenderness than pigs fed commercial concentrates. On the negative side, pigs fed food waste had significantly (P<0.05) lighter meat colour as determined by Hennessy grading system (GP2Q), and a higher amount of marine fatty acids, as fish meal is normally added, with a recommended maximum level equivalent to 3 g marine fat per kg concentrate. At present the recommended maximum level of food waste is 50% (FEg, net energy), supplemented with a specially composed (0.13%, var. 6/V).

2 ai (1 th

re

C

T

6)

Pa

US

We

tis

alt

Re

Ch

He

En Kj Rø

Øv

20.7%) and marine fatty acids, is likely to result in inferior quality and storage stability. The levels of C22:5 and C22:6 were 0.31% (STD=0.18) and 0.34% (STD= 0.28) respectively. The total level of these fatty acids (0.65%) were higher than the level (0.5%) recommended by Tuominen (pers. com., 1995) to avoid problems related to lipid oxidation. This omega 3-fatty acids give more characteristic, identifiable rancid flavour than other fatty acids (Rødbotten & Holten, 1993). This was also shown in our survey, where C22:5 + C22:6 levels of approximately 3%, resulted in very rapid development of rancid taint. The correlation between the relative content of C22:5 and C22:6 and rancid flavour scores W_{as} fairly high (r = 0.7 - 0.8). According to Øverland et al. (1996), fish oil in the feed (3 %) resulted in significant increase (p<0.001) in the concentrations of C20:5n-3, C22:5n-3 and C22:6n-3 fatty acids in subcutaneous fat and muscles, and increased off flavour.

n

G

. Thro

re (Kjo

ated fil

ed. The ute to b

ation at is a

ation

e quali

ly speci

singo

ISON tribul

on an

nths min

ed b) 1), III

COM

and

& 8) ar

h

rS,

10

ati

in

d

The panel also characterized divergent flavour, as e.g. "pig flavour", referred to as the smell of live pigs, piggery and slaughterhouse. Our experience indicates that this unpleasant flavour and odour largely reduces the appetite for pork. The cause and extent should be further investigated.

Conclusions

The composition of the pig feed has a definite influence on fatty acid content in pork fat. The overall proportion of polyunsaturated fatty acids and content in the levels recommended to avoid quality problems, and content of marine fatty acids in the fatty tissue in Norwegian pork, is higher than the levels recommended to avoid quality problems, Particularly during frozen storage. The content of C22:5 and C22:6 were 0.6 %, but vary from 0.2 to 3.0%. These fatty acids were found to be a Useful useful indicator of rancidity. The highest values gave extremely rapid development of rancid flavour during storage. Pigs fed food waste (M2) Were rated equal to or in lower range of the random sample (M1) for storage stability and most quality parameters, that are likely to vary with content of marine fatty acids in the food waste. A monitoring programme is recommended in order to control the fatty acid composition in the fat tissue, in order to improve Norwegian pork quality. Further use of processed food waste in pig feeding require improved quality of this feed, although economy and environmental considerations may give other short term signals.

References

Cheah, K.S., Cheah, A.M.& Krausgrill, D.I., 1995. Meat Sci. 39;225-264.

Hertzman, C., Göransson C., & Rudèrus, H., 1988. Meat Sci. 23. 37-53.

Enfalt A.-C., 1997. Pig Meat Quality, Dr. thesis. Swedish Univ. Agr. Sci.Uppsala. Kjos, N. P., 1997. Pig Meat Quality, Dr. mesis. Sweatsh Only, Age. Conversity of Norway.

Redbotten, M., & Holten, V. 1993. InforMAT Vol. 6, No 4.116-121.

 W_{00d}^{subtran} , M., & Holten, V. 1993. InforMAT Vol. 6, No 4.110-121. O_{verlan} , D.,1984. In: Fat quality in lean pigs (J.D. Wood, ed.) Meat Res. Inst. Special Report No 2. 9-14. ^{bu, J. D.},1984. In: Fat quality in lean pigs (J.D. wood, ed.) Insert deverland, M., Taugbøl, O., Haug, A. & Sundstøl, E. 1996. Anim. Sci. 46:11-17.

Table 1 Analysis and frozen storage time

STORAGE-TIME (month)	TYPE OF ANALYSIS
1 Meet of the National Inex of Au	Intramuscular fat (IMF) ¹ , pH ² , TBA, fatty acid composition and sensory analysis
4	TBA and sensory analysis
8	TBA and sensory analysis



Figure 1. Sensory profile of pork loins from randomly selected carcasses, during frozen storage. 1= Low intensity, 9= High intensity.