EFFECT OF PIG DIET RESTRICTION ON HAM LIPIDS

Garcia, Pilar Teresa*, Pensel, Norma A., Margaria, Carlos A., Pueyrredon, Silvina & Durselen, Gerardo** Instituto Tecnología de Alimentos, CICV, INTA CC 77 (1708) Moron, Buenos Aires, Argentina

* FICA, UNLZ, Bs As, Argentina

** EEA INTA, Pergamino, Bs As, Argentina

Keywords: Ham lipids, fatty acids, restricted diet

INTRODUCTION

Changes in the composition of pig lipid tissues may cause problems to meat technology, mainly concerning the consistency of meat production to the consistency of meat production and the consistency of meat production and the consistency of meat production. their stability towards the oxidation. Pig diet is able to modify dramatically the lipid pig composition. The aim of the present study was to determine the effects of 4 diets given "ad libitum" or contricted as the contribution of the present study was to determine the effects of 4 diets given "ad libitum" or contricted as the contribution of the present study was to determine the consistency of mean production. the effects of 4 diets given "ad libitum" or restricted on ham lipid characteristics.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Fifty six castrated Duroc x Yorkshire white pigs at an average live weight of 60 kg were under 4 experimental diets (Table 1) given, early libitum, or with a 15% of food particle of 100 kg. them, "ad libitum" or with a 15% of food restriction. T1 (Corn-soy. High energy); T2 (Low ratio energy/lysine); T3 (High fiber) and (PUFA<1.5%) At 160 kg the pige were sloweblared. Description (PUFA<1.5%). At 160 kg the pigs were slaughtered. Dry cured hams were prepared commercially and aliquot samples of lean and external father than the pigs were slaughtered. The control of the pigs were slaughtered to 10500 for the pigs were slaughtered. The control of the pigs were slaughtered to the pigs were slaughtered. The control of the pigs were slaughtered to the pigs were slaughtered. The control of the pigs were slaughtered to the pigs were slaughtered to the pigs were slaughtered to the pigs were slaughtered. The control of the pigs were slaughtered to the pigs were slaughtered obtained after 6 months of storage. 10 g of ham were dried at 105°C for 6 hs for water determination. Ham intramuscular lipids were extend with the Folch et al. (1957) procedure and external how for with the Folch et al. (1957) procedure and external ham fat were extracted with boiling hexane. All fatty acids were analysed using GLC (Garden al. 1986). Melted camples of external fat were extracted with boiling hexane. al., 1986). Melted samples of external fat, were used for Differential Scanning Calorimetry (DSC) to obtain the calorimetric curves (Garcia) 1994) The data were analyzed using a General Lineal Procedure (SAS Institute, 1987).

Table 1. Composition of experimental diets (%)

ind t	Corn Grain	Sorghum grain	Soybean meal	Sunflower meal	Wheat barn	Alfalfa meal	Bone ash
T1	80	accident trans to	15			The second section	2
T2	Arizondari Feli	65	Belliand and	20	10	of Mills in con-	2
T3	Ugendin Kpau	65	The flat wair	nalykos temes n	6	8	2
T4	III IV	65	15	WID 10	07113 841	8 99	2

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The effects of diet restriction on ham water and lipid percentages are given in Table 2. The differences in ham lipids were not significant by and T3 the water percentages were higher in restricted then in the "and I'll". T2 and T3 the water percentages were higher in restricted than in the "ad lib" ones. The average value for all restricted or "ad lib" shows the differences. The fatty acid composition of home external fating in grant and the shows the same acid composition of home external fating in grant and the same acid composition of home external fating in grant and the same acid composition of home external fating in grant and the same acid composition of home external fating in grant and the same acid composition of home external fating in grant and the same acid composition of home external fating in grant and the same acid composition of home external fating in grant and the same acid composition of home external fating in grant and the same acid composition of home external fating in grant and the same acid composition of home external fating in grant and the same acid composition acid composition and the same acid composition acid compositi differences. The fatty acid composition of ham external fat is shown in Table 3. The effects are different according to the diet but in greatricted hams have less 16:0, 18:0, and 18:2 but more 18:14 the many less 16:0, 18:0, and 18:2 but more 18:14 the many less 16:0. restricted hams have less 16:0, 18:0 and 18:2 but more 18:1 than "ad lib". In general (Table 4) restricted hams have less SFA but more 18:1 than "ad lib". In general (Table 4) restricted hams have less SFA but more 18:1 than "ad lib". The external fat (Table 5) shows 3 well defined areas between -35 and -5; -5 and 15; and 15 and 35°C. Diet and restriction have effects of thermal profiles of ham external fats.

The diet restriction used in the present study (15%) affected the ham water content and the fatty acid composition and thermal behavior of the external fat studied by DCS.

FOLCH J., LEES, M. and SLOANE, S. G. H. 1957. A simple method for the isolation and purification of total lipids from animal tissues. Biol.Chem. 226:497-509.

GARCIA, P. T., CASAL, J. J., OLSEN, C. and BERRA, G. 1986. A comparation of distribution and composition of intramuscular fat in Difference and Hampshire pigs at 100 kg liveweight. Meat Sci 16:283-295

GARCIA, P. T., BOTTAZZINI, A. R., PENSEL, A, N. AND MARGARIA, C.A. 1994. Effects of diet on chemical and thermal properties of the propert

Table 2. Water and lipid content in hams from the different 8 treatments. Effect of restriction within each treatment.

									Restri
O ARCLA	T1	T1R	T2	T2R	T3	T3R	T4	T4R	Ad lib n=28
337-40/	55.1.15	77.0.50	E AND SEGN	1000					56±2.2
Water %	55±1.17a	55±0.60a	53±1.10a	57±0.80b	55±2.00a	59±1.40b	55±2.20a	54±1.30a	54±1.90a 5.1±1.4
Lipid %	5.6±1.31a	5.0±1.64a	4.7±1.11a	4.1±1.31a	4.8±1.01a	5.1±1.02a	5.7±0.22a	5.9±1.11a	5.2±1.33a 3.1

a, b. Means with different letters between "ad libitum" or restricted within the same diet are significantly different (p<0.05).

Table 3. Fatty acid composition of ham fat. Effects of restriction within each treatment

	14:0	16:0	16:1	18:0	18:1	18:2	18:3
	1.3a	26.7a	3.1a	11.6a	47.7a	7.7a	1.3a
R	1.3a	24.7a	2.4b	13.0b	48.4a	7.8a	1.5a
2	1.3a	25.8a	2.7a	12.0a	48.1a	7.5a	1.9a
R	1.3a	24.9a	2.6a	10.4b	51.0b	7.2a	1.3b
	1.3a	26.1a	2.2a	12.3a	48.3a	7.3a	1.3a
R	1.2a	25.0a	2.3a	11.2a	51.2b	6.7a	1.2a
1	1.5a	27.2a	2.9a	12.5a	49.0a	6.1a	1.4a
R	1.4a	25.3b	2.8a	12.2a	50.6a	5.9a	1.9b
llib	1.4a	26.4a	2.7a	12.1a	48.3a	7.1a	1.4a
estr.	1.3a	25.0b	2.5a	11.7b	50.3b	6.9b	1.4a

and fat we extract

ant but the S8

Lable 4. Saturated, mono, unsaturated and 18:2+18:1/18:0 relation in ham external fat. Effects of restriction within each treatment.

SFA%	T1	TIR	T2	T2R	T3	T3R	T4	T4R	Ab lib	Restr.
MUFA%	39.6±1.66	39.0±1.20	39.1±2.09	36.6±2.08	39.7±2.96	37.5±3.00	41.2±2.10	38.9±1.63	39.9±2.39ª	38.0±2.32bb
	50.8±2.56	50.7±1.80	50.8±2.00	53.6±2.52	50.4±2.2	53.5±1.80	51.9±2.11	53.5±3.07	51.0±2.30 ^a	52.9±2.65b
8:1416	8.9±1.02	9.3±1.65	9.3±0.95	8.5±1.01	8.5±1.23	7.9±1.03	7.5±0.58	7.6±0.91	8.6±1.19 ^a	8.3±1.33a
8:1+18:2/18:0	4.8±0.26a	4.3±0.20a	4.7±0.51a	5.6±0.43a	4.6±0.51a	5.2±0.76a	4.5±0.20a	4.7±0.39a	4.6±0.51 ^a	5.0±0.70b
36								000,000		

Means with different letters between "ad libitum" or restricted within the same diet are significantly different (p<0.05).

Table 5. Percentages of the different areas in the melting curves obtained by DSC of external fat samples.

Area -35 a -5°C	Area -5 a 15°C	Area 15 a 35°C
21.6±1.25a	36.1±7.54a	42.3±7.30a
18.3±2.86b	38.3±2.60a	43.4±4.18a
18.7±2.15a	40.6±2.39a	40.6±2.41a
27.4±4.48b	41.5±1.38a	31.1±4.90b
23.5±2.40a	41.1±2.51a	35.4±3.42a
23.1±5.01a	42.9±3.52a	34.0±8.13a
17.2±1.38a	39.0±2.33a	43.8±2.67a
18.4±2.37a	41.1±2.43a	40.5±4.57a

Means with different letters between "ad libitum" or restricted within the same diet are significantly different (p<0.05).

Neans with different letters between "ad libitum" or restricted within the same diet are significantly different (p<0.05).