C-23

T re m

Ta

V

Ca

He

He

pF

L*

W

Dr

W

Fa

U

W

Me

T1 hc

C

71

fre Va

ar

ha

A

K

RJ

K

K

M

POW

1

EVALUATION OF THE FREQUENCY OF RSE PORK IN FINNISH SLAUGHTER PIGS AND ITS TECHNOLOGICAL EFFECTS

MARKKU HONKAVAARA Finnish Meat Research Institute Box 56, FIN-13101 Hämeenlinna, Finland

Keywords: pork quality, RSE, PSE, water holding capacity

INTRODUCTION

RSE (reddish-pink, soft and exudative) pork is a quality class which has recently been described (Kauffman *et al.* 1992, Warner *et al.* 1995). However, the existence of pork of acceptable colour but high drip loss has been previously reported (Warris and Brown 1987). Warner *et al.* 1995 found that RSE samples were similar to normal samples (reddish-pink, firm, non-exudative) in protein solubility and myosin denaturation, but RSE had lower protein solubility values than DFD samples (dark, firm, dry). They concluded that although RSE pork had unacceptably high water loss, muscle protein denaturation was minimal and would not influence the functionality of processed meats. The purpose of this study was to evaluate the frequency of RSE pork in Finnish slaughter pigs and its technological effects

MATERIAL AND METHODS

The test material consisted of 1806 pigs which were slaughtered at two slaughterhouses, 941 in the first and 865 pigs in the second one. The M.longissimus dorsi (LD) was subjected to the following measurements on line: temperature after splitting (36 min p.m.), reflectance values with the Hennessy Grading Probe (GP4) and pH₁-value (45 min p.m.). On the day after slaughter, 58 LD and 29 M. adductor (AD) samples were cut to evaluate surface lightness (L*) and water-holding capacity (WHC). The aim was to collect 30 LD and 15 AD samples was analysed by the filter paper method (Kauffman *et al.* 1986) whereas WHC of AD samples was analysed by the Pohja method (1974). Bat drip of the LD was analysed after 24 hours at 4 °C. A suggestion for classification of pork quality is presented in Table 1.

able 1. Suggestion for classification of pork quality.	Temperature °C PSE1 and PSE2 (GP4 values) pH ₁ L				
	Normal	below 41.0	30 - 58	above 5.80	42 -50
	RSE	above 42.0	30 - 58	below 5.81	42 -50
	PSE	above 42.0	above 58	below 5.81	above 50

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Table 2 shows the average values of variables measured on line. In this study the average PSE frequency ($pH_1 \le 5,80$) was 6,6 %. When all carcasses were included, there was a significant positive correlation between carcass temperature (36 min p.m.) and PSEI

Table 2. Average values of variables measured on line.

Variable	Mean \pm std	n 1799	
Temperature, °C	40.7 ± 0.7		
PSE1 value (GP4)	43.2 ± 5.9	1741	
PSE2 value (GP4)	42.7 ± 5.8	1741	
pH ₁	6.28 ± 0.30	1801	
PSE, %	6.6	1806	

correlation between carcass temperature (36 min p.m.) and PSE1 and PSE2 values (P<0.05). Moreover, there was a highly significant negative correlation between pH₁ values and carcass temperature. PSE1 and PSE2 values (P<0.001).

However, Hennessy GP4 classified 104 of the 119 PSE carcasses (pH₁ \leq 5.80) as normal. In addition, when pH₁ was less than 5.80 there was no significant correlation between pH₁ and GP4 values. But pH₁ was negatively related to carcass temperature (p<0.001). Warner *et al.* proposed that pre-rigor conditions in RSE pork caused precipitation of the sarcoplasmic proteins which are most sensitive to pH/temperature conditions existing immediately p.m.

When pH_1 was higher than 5.80 (=normal carcasses) there was a significant negative correlation between pH_1 and carcass temperature and GP4 values (P<0.001). This and the previous results showed that GP4 cannot differentiate PSE from normal pork in the present study.

The results of Table 3 are in satisfactory agreement with the suggestion of Table 1. PSE and RSE types had significantly higher G^{P4} values than RSE or normal types 36 min p.m. (P<0.001 and 0.01, respectively). Furthermore, PSE types had significantly higher G^{P4} values than RSE or normal types (P<0.001). However, in PSE carcasses the mean PSE1 value of 52.2 was classified as good using the G^{P4} . This GP4 values could not be relied on to separate PSE from RSE and normal types.

In PSE and RSE types, the mean pH₁ values were significantly lower than with the normal type (P < 0.001, Table 3). Moreover, the surface of PSE loin was paler than that of RSE or normal types (P < 0.01). This agrees with the results of Warner *et al.* that the lightness of PSE loin was paler than ormal pork. However, in this study the colour of RSE and normal loin was paler than in the reference study. Murray and Johnson (1995) presented mean L* values of 59.3 and 52.6 for pale and normal LD types, respectively.

Table 3 shows that with the filter paper method, the WHC of the LD of the normal type was significantly (P<0.05) higher than that of $p_{s,t}^{p,s,t}$ or RSE types, with losses of 3.0, 4.2 and 4.1 % respectively. The bag drip of the LD of the PSE type was significantly higher (P<0.05) than that of normal pork. The differences in the WHC of the comminuted AD emulsions were insignificant between the tested g^{roups} .

296

There was a significant positive correlation between drip loss of LD 24 hours after cutting and PSE1 and PSE2 values (P<0.001 and 0.01, respectively.). In addition, the higher the PSE1 values, the lower the WHC in LD (P < 0.05, Kauffman method) and AD (0.01, Pohja method).

Table 3. Mean values of PSE, RSE and normal pork variables measured on the slaughter line and a day after slaughter or later. The filter paper method indicates the percentage of water absorbed by the paper. Pohja's cooking method indicates the amount, grammes of added water bound by 100 grammes of meat during the heating of the sausage emulsion.

Variable	PSE	RSE	Normal	and the		
Carcass temperature 36 min p.m., °C	41.6 ± 0.6A	41,2 ± 0,7a	40.6 ± 0.8Bb			
Hennessy GP4 PSE1 value	52.2 ± 8.2A	41.0 ± 3.7B	42.6 ± 3.8B			
Hennessy GP4 PSE2 value	60.4 ± 19.9A	41.1 ± 3.0B	41.6 ± 3.4B			
pH ₁ value of LD	5.60 ± 0.17A	5.60 ± 0.13A	6.40 ± 0.14B			
L* value of LD 24 hours p.m.	54.9 ± 3.7a	52.8 ± 3.0b	52.3 ± 2.7b			
WHC of LD 24 hours p.m., filter paper method, %	4.2 ± 1.8a	4.1 ± 1.6a	3.0 ± 1.0b			
Drip loss of LD 24 hours after cutting, %	2.9 ± 1.8a	2.6 ± 1.2	1.9 ± 1.1b			
Water content of AD, %	75.2 ± 1.4	75.1 ± 0.5	75.1 ± 1.0			
Fat content of AD, %	2.6 ± 0.9a	1.8 ± 0.5b	2.7 ± 1.0a			
Ultimate pH of AD	5.47 ± 0.10	5.45 ± 0.04	5.46 ± 0.06			
WHC of AD, cooking method, %	70.0 ± 34.2	93.6 ± 26.6	91.9 ± 31.9			
1.						

 M_{eans} within a row marked with letters are significantly different, (a, b: P<0.05) and (A, B: P<0.001).

CTS

et al. 987) y and RSE essel

one. tance (AD) mples s was Bag

> There was a significant negative correlation between pH_1 values and WHC of LD (P<0.01, Kauffman method) and drip loss of LD 24 h_{Ours} as significant negative correlation between pH₁ values and while of DD (1. 100 H) and pH₁ values.

CONCLUSIONS

ficant

e and

dy.

rcass

alues

Thus

rfact RSE

ence

PSE).05) s,

e,

The results showed that the Hennessy GP4 PSE1 and PSE2 values were bad predictors for PSE carcasses 45 min p.m. In this study PSE freque frequency was 6.6 %. The majority (87 %) of the carcasses showed RSE characteristics in LD: high temperature 36 min p.m, normal GP4 v_{alues}^{acticy} was 6.6 %. The majority (87 %) of the carcasses snowed KSE characteristics in LD, ingli temperature v_{alues}^{acticy} , low pH₁ values, normal lightness (L*) values and low WHC. Due to its high drip loss, RSE pork is not suitable for retail cuts which are solved by the solve of AD. This success that RSE ham can be used for cooked $a_{\text{re}} = S_{\text{old}} a_{\text{s}}$ fresh meat. The results showed that RSE pork had no effect on WHC of AD. This suggest that RSE ham can be used for cooked ham be ham manufacture without technological losses. This should, however, be tested in practice.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

¹ wish to thank Atria Ltd, Nurmo and LSO Foods Ltd Forssa for their co-operation. This work has been supported financially by the Kyllikk: Kyllikki and Uolevi Lehikoinen Foundation.

REFERENCES

Kauffman, R.G., Cassens, R.G., Scherer, A. and Meeker, D.L. 1992. Variations in pork quality. National Pork Producers Council Publication, Des Moines, IA, U.S.A.

^{Publication}, Des Moines, IA, U.S.A. ^{Auffman}, R.G. Eikelenboom, G., van der Wal, P.G., Merkus, G. and Zaar, M. 1986. The use of filter paper to estimate drip loss of porcine musculature. Meat Sci. 18: 191-200.

Mutray, A.C. and Johnson, C.P. 1995. Effect of the halothane gene on muscle quality and the frequency of pre-slaughter deaths in pigs. Meat Focus 4(6): 229-231. Pohja, M.S. 1974. Methode zur Bestimmung der Hitzestabilität von Wurstbrät. Fleischwirtsch. 54: 1984-1986. Warner N.S. 1974. Methode zur Bestimmung der Hitzestabilität von Wurstbrät. Fleischwirtsch. 54: 1984-1986.

W^{arner}, R.D., Kauffman, R.G. and Greaser, M.L. 1995. Muscle protein changes postmortem in relation to pork quality traits. Proc. 41st ICOMST, San Antonio, Texas, U.S.A., 660-661. Warris, P.D. and Brown, S.N. 1987. The relationships between initial pH, reflectance and exudation in pig muscle. Meat Sci. 20: 65-74.