tr

ti

dj

th sh

in

to

th

be

ter

C

raj mi

Wj

CO

TENDERISATION OF BEEF MUSCLE BY INJECTION OF SALTS

J. M. Stevenson-Barry¹ and R. G. Kauffman²

¹AgResearch, Invermay Agricultural Centre, Private Bag 50034, Mosgiel, New Zealand.

²Muscle Biology Laboratory, 1805 Linden Dr. W., University of Wisconsin-Madison, Madison WI 53706, U.S.A.

KEYWORDS: hot-boning, beef, pre-rigor, rapid chilling, cold-shortening, tenderness.

BACKGROUND

There is need to more rapidly and efficiently process meat. Conventional practices involve the holding of entire carcasses for 2410 h at chilled temperatures before boning out cuts. This is very inefficient since there are parts of the carcass that contain bones and fat while will be discarded after boning and are hence chilled needlessly. Removing the muscles very early postmortem (PM), while the carcass is hot(hot-boning), accelerates processing, and reductions in energy of up to 50% have been reported for refrigeration of hot-boned beef compared to beef processed by conventional procedures. Utilization of hot-boning also conserves chiller space since less space is needed store cartons of meat than for whole carcasses. The major disadvantage of hot-boning is believed to be a decrease in tenderness caused by rapid pre-rigor cooling resulting in cold-shortening (Marsh et al., 1972; Hinnergardt et al., 1973). The organoleptic trait most affecting consumer acceptance of beef is inconsistent tenderness (Morgan et al., 1972, Innergator et al., 1975). The organoleptic trait most affective energy yet is able to ensure a consistent tenderness (Morgan et al., 1991). Therefore, a commercially applicable method that conserve energy yet is able to ensure a consistently tender product is critical for improved consumer acceptance. Cold-shortening (CS) occurs whe pre-rigor muscle is removed from the skeleton and chilled rapidly, causing the muscle to contract, resulting in shortened sarcomeres. Shortening has a profound effect on meat tenderness: a length change of 30-40% toughens meat to the point of inedibility (Marsh and Leel 1966). The extent of shortening and toughening is less for pork than for beef and lamb (Marsh et al., 1972). The tenderness problems associated with hot-boning can be overcome, minimized or limited by conditioning the carcass for 3-8 h at elevated temperatures ($16^{\circ}C^{\circ}$) higher) before boning or by holding the excised cuts at 10-15°C for 12-48 h (Taylor et al., 1980-81). However, it is questionable whether regulatory authorities would permit the holding of meat at elevated temperatures due to microbiological concerns, therefore a process is needed which overcomes or prevents the cold-induced-toughening associated with rapid chilling, but which also ensures desirable flavour appearance (particularly colour and minimal purge).

OBJECTIVES

Investigate the effects of distilled water, sodium chloride and sodium pyrophosphate injected into muscle pre- and post-rigor to determine whether the effects were solely due to pre-rigor actions (i.e. preventing contraction) and to ensure that any prevention of toughed resulted in muscles of similar tenderness to conventionally boned controls.

METHODS

In three experiments six (~30 month old) heifers were slaughtered in a random order (2 per day for 3 days). In expt. 1 the semimembranosus (SM) and biceps femoris (BF) muscles were removed from the carcasses within 60 min PM (hot-boned). In expt. 2 the the BF muscles were kept whole but the SM muscles were halved, the right and left BF muscles were randomly assigned to be either injected with 0.1125 M Na₄P₂O₇ + 0.1125 M Na₂H₂P₂O₇ + 0.2 M NaCl (PPi) or non-injected controls (C) and the four SM muscle halves were carcass were randomly assigned to be either injected with distilled water (H₂O), 0.875 M NaCl (NaCl), PPi or C. In expt. 3 the right and left sides of the either PPi or C. All muscles and muscle halves were weighed and solutions were injected at 10% w/w using a multi-needle hand-held recorded. Immediately after processing, muscles were placed in plastic bags in a cooler at 2°C with rapid air movement, with the aim of analysis, composition, colour, drip loss and cooking loss traits were all measured but only tenderness will be reported on in this paper. Step⁴ before being cut into 1 cm² cores and sheared using a Warner-Bratzler shear device.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The control steaks from HB muscles had high Warner-Bratzler shear values (table 1) and where direct statistical comparisons could be made between hot-and cold-boned treatments (expt 3 only), the HB controls had significantly higher (P < 0.05) shear values than the CB controls at all evaluation times indicating that CS or cold-induced toughening had occurred in the HB control muscles as desired for this expt 1 and where direct statistical comparisons could be made between the halved and whole HB muscles (it was assumed that direct statistical comparisons could be made across the two different muscles since when both muscles were cut in half (expt 3 only), there was no significant difference between the muscles) the muscles cut in half did not have-significantly higher (P > 0.05) shear values than the whole muscles due to large variations in the degree of toughening in individual muscles.

In expt. 1 where a number of treatments were compared in the HB muscles. effect and a significant treatment x time interaction. It was found that injecting distilled water (H₂O) had only a slight tenderising effect and did not appear to have prevented toughening as indicated by the lack of significant difference (P > 0.05) between the H₂O-injected muscles and the control muscles overall and at 3 d PM. There was a significant difference (P < 0.05) between the H₂O-injected muscles control muscles at 7 and 14 d PM and, unlike the controls, the H₂O-injected muscles had significantly (P < 0.05) lower shear values at 7 and 14 d than at 3 d PM, but the H₂O-injected muscles were still considered to have unacceptably high shear values even at 14 d PM. The lack of PM tenderisation observed in the controls is indicative of considerable CS (Davey et al., 1967). Meat which is cold-shortened to the point of exceeded about 20%, tenderising will take place quite rapidly, and to an appreciable extent, but beyond this shortening the ageing process the control shortening the ageing process The N of the control shortening the ageing process The N of the control shortening the ageing process the control shortening the shortening the ageing process the control shortening the ageing process th

The NaCl treatment of HB muscles resulted in significantly lower (P < 0.05) shear values than the controls at all times and resulted in significant postmortem tenderisation such that the 14 d shear values were significantly lower (P < 0.05) than the 3d values for this treatment

43rd ICOMST 1997

and were considered to be acceptably tender. However, the PPi treatment of HB muscles resulted in the most tender steaks of all of the HB treatments investigated in this study. The HB PPi-treated steaks were significantly lower (P < 0.05) in shear values than the controls at all times at the study. imes and resulted in very tender steaks at 3 d PM in expt 1, with no significant postmortem tenderisation observed for this treatment in expt $\frac{1}{1}$, i.e. there was no significant difference between the 3, 7 and 14 d shear values (P > 0.05). In expt 3 there was also a large and significant difference between the 3, 7 and 14 d shear values (P > 0.05). difference between the HB controls and PPi-treated steaks at each evaluation time (P < 0.05), but the 3d shear values were significantly higher than the HB controls and PPi-treated steaks at each evaluation time (P < 0.05), but the 3d shear values were significantly higher than the HB controls and PPi-treated steaks at each evaluation time (P < 0.05), but the 3d shear values were significantly higher than the HB controls and PPi-treated steaks at each evaluation time (P < 0.05), but the 3d shear values were significantly higher than the higher the HB controls and PPi-treated steaks at each evaluation time (P < 0.05), but the 3d shear values were significantly higher than the higher th han the 14 d shear values (P < 0.05) and the 3d values were not considered to be acceptably tender. The control muscles in expt 3 also shows it is the shear values (P < 0.05) and the 3d values were not considered to be acceptably tender. The control muscles in expt 3 also h_{wed} significant tenderness differences between 3 and 14 d PM and considering that these muscles had very high shear values at 3 d indices. indicating CS, it is unclear why they showed considerable postmortem tenderisation. However, these steaks were still considered to be very tough even at 14 d PM.

Where direct statistical comparisons could be made between HB and CB treatments (expt 3 only), the HB PPi-treated steaks were not ^{significantly} different (P > 0.05) from the CB controls, but the CB PPi-treated steaks were more tender than the HB PPi-treated steaks at 3 and 7 d PM c $7 d_{PM}$ (P < 0.05). The PPi-treatment also showed evidence of tenderisation even in CB muscles, though this was only significant (P < 0.05) in the PD in the BF muscles in expt 2. It is worth noting that all of the CB control muscles in this study were of quite low shear force and it is possible that the DF. that the PPi-treatment would have had greater effects in the CB muscles if they had not already been so tender. The PPi treatment has also been compared to injecting 0.3 M CaCl₂ in HB muscles (Stevenson-Barry and Kauffman, 1995) and was found to produce steaks of similar tenderness (P > 0.05) to steaks from muscles injected with CaCl₂.

CONCLUSIONS

24 to -

which

is sti

led to

1 by

rves

hen

leet,

'or

her

our an

he d 2

ecte

the d 10

teak

db

sin

10 2

ent

of

These results indicate that PPi-treatment has beneficial effects on tenderness and completely overcomes toughening induced by very These results indicate that PPi-treatment has beneficial effects on tenderness and completely overcomes to upiceting in tenderness in CB The solution of the solution o With consumer acceptance. For muscles that are chilled rapidly, PPi-treatment could possibly be a cost-effective treatment that would ensure consistent in the construction of tenderness have been available in certain US ^{consumer} acceptance. For muscles that are chilled rapidly, PPi-treatment could possibly be a cost-effective detailable in certain US ^{supermant} tender product. Pork muscles treated with polyphosphate to enhance juiciness and tenderness have been available in certain US supermarkets for several years and appear to be well-received by consumers (these are apparently injected post-rigor).

REFERENCES

Davey, C. L., H. Kuttel and K. V. Gilbert. 1967. Shortening as a factor in meat ageing. J. Food Technol. 2:53.

Frennema, O. R. 1990. Comparative water holding properties of various foods. J. Muscle Foods, 1:363.

Geesink, G. H., F. J. Smulders and R. L. J. M. Van Laack. 1994. The effects of calcium-, sodium- and zinc-chlorides treatment on the quality of beef. Sciences des aliments. 14:485.

Hinnergardt, L. C., Mandigo, R. W. and Tuomy, J. M. 1973. Accelerated pork processing. Fresh frozen pork chops. J. Food Sci. 30:831. Marsh D. C., Mandigo, R. W. and Tuomy, J. M. 1973. Accelerated pork processing. Fresh frozen pork tenderness. J. Food Sci. 31: 17 Marsh, B. B., R. G. Cassens, R.G. Kauffman, and E.J. Briskey. 1972. A research note: Hot boning and pork tenderness. J. Food Sci. 31: 179. Marsh, B. B., R. G. Cassens, R.G. Kauffman, and E.J. Briskey. 1972. A research note: Hot boning and pork tenderness. J. Food Sci. 31: 450.

Marsh, B. B., R. G. Cassens, R.G. Kauffman, and E.J. Briskey. 1972. A research note: Not boiling and port detection of the second secon Morgan, J. B., J. W. Savell, D. S. Hale, M. K. Miller, D. B. Griffen, H. R. Cross, and S. D. Shackelford. 1991. National beef tenderness Survey, r. B., J. W. Savell, D. S. Hale, M. K. Miller, D. B. Griffen, H. R. Cross, and S. D. Shackelford. 1991. National beef tenderness Survey. J. Anim. Sci. 69:3274.

Stevenson-Barry, J. M., and R. G. Kauffman. 1995. Tenderization of muscle via pre-rigor injection of ions. Proc. 41st Int. Congress of Mean Sci. Meat Sci. & Technol. 598.

Taylor, A, A., B. G. Shaw and D. B. MacDougall. 1980-81. Hot deboning beef with and without electrical stimulation. Meat Sci. 5:109.

ABLE 1: Warner-Bratzler shear values of hot- and cold-boned muscles at various times after postmortem chilling.

Hay 1	<u>Biceps</u> Contro	femoria I PPi ²	s So Contro	emimer ol H ₂ O ²	nbrano NaCl ²	sus PPi ²	Overall mean	<u>Expt 3</u> ³ n 	<u>Hot-bo</u> Control 1	ned PPi ²	Cold-b Control	oned PPi ²	Overall mean
3 d	and role	intral ad	1 .(080	14,16 32	sin'i a	Part bob	inter intage	Time	IL ODING HE		102101010	S. BUR OF	This can be set as per the
7 d	7.9	5.6	9.6	9.3	7.2	5.9	7.6 ^a	1 3 d	10.3	7.1	6.1	5.5	7.3 ^a
14 d	7.9	5.3	9.5	7.4	5.9	5.2	6.9 ^{ab}	1 7 d	8.5	6.5	6.1	4.9	6.5 ^b
Overall	7.7	5.8	8.3	6.2	5.3	5.0	6.4 ^b	1 14 d	7.4	5.8	5.7	4.9	6.0 ^c
stall mean	7.8 ^{ab}	5.6°	9.2 ^{ab}	7.6 ^{ab}	6.2 ^{bc}	5.3°	↑LSD = 0.5	1					\uparrow LSD = 0.3
Cold								Overall					
Overall								l mean ⁴	8.7	6.5	6.0	5.1	
Over mean	MART	5.1 ^a	4.4 ^b	1.37	5.3 ^a	5.7 ^a	5.6 ^a 5.1 ^a	1	Colora and		3		

 b_{0t} and cold-boned respectively. For expt 2 cold-boned muscles there was no significant treatment x time interaction, but there was a significant, but non-meaningful, time effect with overall means 3 d = 5.1^b, 7 d = 5.2^{ab}, 14 d = 5.4^a (LSD = 0.3). ^{verall} means with differing superscripts either within a column or within a row differ (P < 0.05); LSD for Expt's 1 & 2 = 1.9 and 0.7 for

 N_{ote} the hot-boned muscles were not from the same animals as the cold-boned muscles so no direct statistical comparison can be made b_{etween} the hot-and cold-boned treatments. For hot-boned muscle x treatment x time interaction least squares means without superscripts: l_{SD} for comparing means across time for fixed muscle and treatment = 1.3; LSD for comparing means across treatment for fixed muscle = l_{S} so l_{SD} for comparing means across time for fixed muscle and treatment = 1.3; LSD for comparing means across treatment for fixed muscle = l_{SD} for comparing means across time for fixed muscle and treatment = 1.3; LSD for comparing means across treatment for fixed muscle = l_{SD} for comparing means across the for fixed muscle and treatment = 1.3; LSD for comparing means across treatment for fixed muscle = l_{SD} for comparing means across the for fixed muscle and treatment = 1.3; LSD for comparing means across treatment for fixed muscle = l_{SD} for comparing means across the for fixed muscle and treatment = 1.3; LSD for comparing means across treatment for fixed muscle = l_{SD} for comparing means across the for fixed muscle and treatment = 1.3; LSD for comparing means across treatment for fixed muscle = l_{SD} for comparing means across the for fixed muscle and treatment = l_{SD} for comparing means across treatment for fixed muscle = l_{SD} for comparing means across treatment for fixed muscle = l_{SD} for comparing means across treatment for fixed muscle = l_{SD} for comparing means across treatment for fixed muscle = l_{SD} for comparing means across treatment for fixed muscle = l_{SD} for comparing means across treatment for fixed muscle = l_{SD} for comparing means across treatment for fixed muscle = l_{SD} for comparing means across treatment for fixed muscle = l_{SD} for comparing means across treatment for fixed muscle = l_{SD} for comparing means across treatment for fixed muscle = l_{SD} for comparing means across treatment for fixed muscle = l_{SD} for comparing means across treatment for fixed muscle = l_{SD} for comparing means across treatment for fixed muscle = l_{SD} for comparing means across treatment for fixed muscle = l_{SD} for comparing means across treatment for fixed muscle = l_{SD} for comp 1_{g} LSD for comparing means across time for fixed muscle and treatment = 1.3; LSD for comparing means across detailed to be a structure of the structure o $t_{teatment}^{to SD}$ for comparing control and PPi treatments across muscle for fixed treatment and time = 2.1. If the experiment effect overall mean, $t_{teatment}^{treatment}$ cell, n = 18 observations for each muscle x treatment overall mean, and n = 36 observations for each time effect overall mean. k_{ach}^{ach} observation consisted of 10 sheared cores per steak. Units are kgF/cm². ${}^{2}H_{2}O$ = distilled water, NaCl = 0.875 M NaCl, PPi = 0.1125 M Na₂P₀. Note the hot- and cold-boned muscles were from the $N_{a_4}^{a_4}$ observation consisted of 10 sheared cores per steak. Units are kgF/cm². ⁴H₂O = distilled water, NaCI = 0.070 HA Mach = s_{anc}^{2Q7} + 0.1125 M Na₂H₂P₂O₇ + 0.2 M NaCl. All treatments injected at 10% (w/w). Note the not- and code the statistical comparison can be made between the hot-and cold-boned treatments. For boning x treatment x time interaction of the statistical comparison can be made between the hot-and cold-boned treatments. For boning x treatment x time interaction of the statistical comparison can be made between the hot-and cold-boned treatments. For boning x treatment x time interaction of the statistical comparison can be made between the hot-and cold-boned treatments. $a_{\text{onimals}}^{\text{animals}}$ so direct statistical comparison can be made between the not-and cold-bolicd iterations. To be a set of the set c_{0} mparing means across treatment with fixed boning, or for comparing means across boning = 1.2. N = 6 animals, n = 12 observations for c_{0} mathematical boning means across boning = 1.2. N = 6 animals, n = 12 observations for c_{0} h $h_{e_{a_n}}^{e_{a_n}}$ boning x treatment x time cell, n = 36 observations for each boning x treatment overall mean, and n = 48 for each time effect overall $h_{e_{a_n}}$ boning x treatment x time cell, n = 36 observations for each boning x treatment interaction least squares means comparisons: LS $h_{e_{an}}$, Each observation consisted of 10 sheared cores per steak. ⁴For boning x treatment interaction least squares means comparisons: LSD $h_{e_{an}}$, Each observation consisted of 10 sheared cores per steak. ⁴For boning x treatment interaction least squares means comparisons: LSD $f_{0r} c_{0m}$ paring means across treatment with fixed boning = 0.4; LSD for comparing means across treatment or boning = 0.7.