# INFLUENCE OF POST-MORTEM HANGING METHODS ON BEEF TENDERNESS

M. T. Mooney<sup>1</sup>, S. T. Joo<sup>2</sup>, B. Kim<sup>3</sup> and D. J. Troy<sup>1</sup>

'Teagasc, The National Food Centre, Dunsinea, Castleknock, Dublin 15 <sup>2</sup>The Korea Meat Industry Institute, Seoul, Korea. <sup>3</sup>Dep't of Animal Science, Korea University, Seoul, Korea

## BACKGROUND

Consumers consider the tenderness of beef to be its most important eating quality (Ouali, 1990). Beef tenderness depends on many pre-slaugh factors such as age, sex, breed and diet, and post-slaughter factors including hanging, chilling and ageing. The method by which beef is hung pre-rigor can have the effect of stretching or increasing the tension on certain muscles and may help to reduce rigor-induced shortening of musc fibres. In general, beef carcasses tend to be suspended from the achilles tendon. This was regarded as economical with chill-space and allowing good air circulation. More recently the aitch bone method has been employed (Hostetler et al., 1972). This involves suspending the side from the pelvis resulting in a greater constraint on many muscles in the loin and hind region thus reducing toughness due to shortening (Herring et al. 1967). Recent finding in the Violation 1967). Recent findings in the USA have suggested that a new method of carcass suspension known as Tendercut<sup>™</sup> may have beneficial effection on the tenderness of round and loin much the tenderness of round and loin much to the tenderness of tendernes on the tenderness of round and loin muscles (Wang et al., 1994, 1996). The Tendercut method involves severing bone, ligaments and tendons specific locations to increase stretch-tension on pre-rigor muscles by utilising the weight of the carcass thus improving tenderness.

#### **OBJECTIVES**

The present investigation was designed to compare the above methods of carcass suspension during post-mortem chilling and the development rigor mortis, which will lengthen or stretch major muscles of the intact bovine carcass and thereby improve their tenderness. The muscles investigated were M. longissimus dorsi (L.D.), M semi membranosus (SM) and M gluteus medius (GM). Tenderness was measured by Ward Bratzler shear force (WBSF), and sensory analysis. Sarcomere length was measured as an indicator of muscle fibre shortening.

#### METHODS

Twelve heifers of similar grade and size were selected (mean carcass weight 301 ± 24 kg). Eight sides were randomly assigned to each hanging method. Ter depend to each hanging the selected (mean carcass weight 301 ± 24 kg). method. Tendercut treatment was implemented approx. 1 hour post-slaughter according to the method of Wang et al. (1994). pH was measured to each measure at 1.3.6.9 and 24h post-snaughter according to the method of Wang et al. (1994). at 1, 3, 6, 9 and 24h post-mortem. The sides were left overnight in the chill and muscles were excised at 24 hours post-mortem. Samples were taken from each of LD, SM and GM at 2 days post-mortem for measurement of drip loss (Honikel, 1987) and sarcomere length (Cross et al, 1981). WBSF (Shackelford et al., 1991) and sensory analysis (AMSA, 1978) were carried out on samples aged for 2, 7 and 14 days at 0-2°C.

### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Most pronounced results were achieved for SM. Aitch bone suspension gave significantly more tender meat than either achilles or Tendercul hung. This was demonstrated by longer sarcomere length at 2 d post-mortem, lower shear force peak values at 2 and 7 days post-mortem and higher sensory tenderness scores at 2, 7 and 14 days post-mortem (Table 1). LD steaks demonstrated significantly longer sarcomere length <sup>[0]</sup> steaks from aitch bone hung sides at 2 days post-mortem. Sensory and WBSF measurements indicated that LD from sides that were hung by aitch hone method provide more tender have. For CM it aitch bone method provide more tender beef. For GM, the most tender steaks were obtained from sides that had been aitch bone suspended. Sarcomere length was significantly longer at 2 days post-mortem and sensory tenderness, firmness and texture were significantly improved a post-mortem for GM from aitch-bone hung sides. There was no difference in drip loss or sides yield between the treatments. pH measurement over the first 24hours post-mortem were also unaffected by hanging method indicating that the observed differences in tenderness were most likely unrelated to biochemical changes but were probably induced by prevention of shortening of muscle fibres as proposed by Herring et al. (1967). This can result in faster ageing of beef but not necessarily beef of higher ultimate tenderness. This was also concluded by Bouton (1973) who compared four different has been but not necessarily beef of higher ultimate tenderness. (1973) who compared four different hanging methods in sheep and discovered that hanging from the aitch bone gives tenderness values at 2.1 days post slaughter equivalent to 21 days ageing at 0-1°C.

## CONCLUSIONS

Under the conditions employed in the present experiment, for LD, SM and GM, aitch bone hanging proved the most effective method of han beef sides to ensure improved tenderness of muscles in the loin and round region. Greater stretch of fibres in these muscles was achieved using pelvic suspension as indicated by sarcomere length results. Sensory evaluation and WBSF measurement found many of these differences to detectable and significant. Implementation of this method of carcass suspension has already begun in some beef producing plants in Ireland the UK.

#### REFERENCES

AMSA, (1978). Guidelines for cookery and sensory evaluation of meat. American Meat Science Association. National Livestock and Meat Board, Chicago.

Bouton, P. E., Carroll, F.D., Harris, P.V. and Shorthose, W.R. (1973). A comparison of the effects of aging, conditioning and skeletal restrain on the tenderness of mutton. J. Food Science, 38, 932.

Cross, H.R., West, R.L., Dutson, T.R. (1981). Comparison of methods for measuring sarcomere length in beef *semitendinosus* muscle. Meat Science, 5, 261.

Herring, H.K., Cassens, R.G., Suess, G.G., Brungardt, V.H. and Briskey, E.J. (1967). Tenderness and associated characteristics of stretched and contracted bovine muscles. J. Food Science, 32, 317. Honikel, K.O., (1987). The water binding of meat. Fleischwirtschaft, **67**, 1098.

ISC

ing

M

cts

at 0

el

D§ rel

g11

16

<sup>Hostetler</sup>, R.L., Link, B.A., Landmann, W.A. and Fitzhugh Jr., H.A. (1972). Effect of carcass suspension on sarcomere length and shear force of some rest. <sup>some</sup> major bovine muscles. J. Food Science, **37**, 132. Ouali, A. (1990). Meat Tenderization: possible causes and mechanisms. A review. J. Muscle Foods, 1, 129.

Shackelford, S.D., Koohmaraie, M., Savell, J.W., (1994). Evaluation of *longissimus dorsi* muscle pH at 3 hours *post mortem* as a predictor of beef beef tenderness. Meat Science, 35, 195.

Wang, H., Claus, J.R., and Marriott, N.G., (1994). Selected skeletal alterations to improve tenderness of beef round muscles. J. Muscle Foods, 5, 137 5,137.

Wang, H., Claus, J.R., and Marriott, N.G., (1996). Prerigor treatment and endpoint temperature effects on U.S. choice beef tenderness. J. Muscle Foods, 7, 45.

Table 1. Warner Bratzler shear force measurements, sensory tenderness scores and sarcomere length for muscle from sides suspended by achim by achilles, aitch bone and Tendercut methods Men

M. semi	Achilles	Aitch bone	Tendercut	Significance	
M. semi membranosus					
WROD					
WBSF (kg) 2d WBSF (kg) 7d WBSF (kg) 7d	7.70	5.89	8.25	P<0.01	
WRGD (Kg) 7d	7.55	6.61	6.47	P<0.05	
Senso (kg)14d	5.50	4.89	5.47	NS	
Sensor lenderness 2d	3.58	5.02	3.97	P<0.05	
Schson aderness /d	3.85	5.44	4.04	P<0.01	
Sarcon Sarcon	4.75	5.67	4.44	P<0.05	
$S_{arcomere length} (\mu) 2d$	1.77	2.38	1.88	P<0.001	
M. longissimus dorsi					
WRan					
WBSF (kg) 7d WBSF (kg) 7d	7.36	6.23	7.00	NS	
WBer (Ng) /d	4.26	4.62	5.27	NS	
Sense (Ng)14d	4.47	4.32	4.24	NS	
Sense Jucnderness 2d	3.75	4.72	4.17	NS	
School and Stranger A	5.36	5.57	5.03	NS	
Sarcome	5.63	6.08	5.58	NS	
	1.74	2.10	2.01	P<0.001	
WROT					
WBSF (kg) 2d WBSF (kg) 7d WBSF (kg) 7d	7.73	6.43	7.46	NS	
WBer (Ng) /d	5.84	4.55	5.06	NS	
Ocho (Ag)14d	4.19	3.94	4.15	NS	
other striderness 2d	4.02	4.80	3.44	NS	
	4.25	5.52	4.26	P<0.05	
100m -0111035 140	4.58	5.66	4.81	NS	
NS = non significant * D < 0.05 ***	1.61	2.06	1.70	P<0.05	

l = extremely tough, 8 = extremely tender<sup>Ph</sup> significant; \*P<0.05; \*\*P<0.01; \*\*\*P<0.001

This project is part-funded by The Department of Agriculture Food and Fisheries under the EU non-commissioned Food Research Fund.