5-P64

SHARE, DISTRIBUTION AND QUALITY OF MEAT IN CARCASSES OF PIGS OF DIFFERENT GENOTYPE

Antun Petricevic, Gordana Kralik, Goran Kusec and Zeljko Bukvic

Department of Zootechnical Sciences, Faculty of Agriculture, University of J. J. Strossmayer, 31000 Osijek, Croatia

Keywords: genotypes of pigs, carcass quality, meat quality

Background:

Profitability in pig production largely depends on current state at market, but first of all it depends on the carcass quality which is predominantely determined by genotype. For this reason, actual problems in pig production can be relatively quickly solved by more intensive production of fattening pigs with increased meat percentage in the carcasses and satisfying technological traits of the meat. In this research interest was layed on production results of pigs fattened under the same conditions, but with different genotype. The fattening included one group of crossed pigs, two groups of the same breed, and two groups of hybrid pigs, often in Croatian pig production. Similar research on this and other pig genotypes were done by Kralik et al. (1990, 1997), Petricevic et al. (1990, 1991), Sencic et al. (1995) and Kusec et al.(1998).

Material and methods:

This research included 210 pigs of five different genotypes: A = (Swedish Landrace x Large White) x German Landrace; B = Line 1; C = Hypor hybrid; D = Swedish Landrace and E = Large White, fattened under the same production conditions. Animals were fed ad libitum from 25-60 kg with diet ST₁ (16.5% crude proteins), and further up to around 100 kg live weight with diet ST₂ (14.5% crude proteins). For further investigation right sides of the carcasses from male castrates and female pigs (15 of each) were taken (150 half-carcasses in total). Measures of pH₄₅ were taken from warm carcasses (within 45' post mortem) by probe in the region between 13th and 14th rib on m.longissimus dorsi (MLD). After this carcasses lengths were taken (os pubis – 1st rib) as well as the length and circumference of the ham, for calculation of the ham index. Cooled right halves of the carcasses were cut (Weniger et al. 1963) on main parts (ham, back, belly-rib part, neck, shoulder), further precisely dissected on muscle tissue, fat with skin and bones. Less valuable parts included head, glands, legs, tail and kidneys. On the loin cut (between 13th and 14th rib) muscle and belonging fat area (cm²) was measured by Comberg (1978) method. These measures were used in calculation of fat/MLD ratio. At the same place pH₂₄ value (24 hours post mortem) and color of the meat (Göfo) were measured, and the sample was taken for determination of water holding capacity – w.h.c. (cm²) by compression method according to Grau & Hamm (1952). Statistical analysis was performed using STATISTICA ver. 6.0 programm.

Results and discussions:

The results of linear measures of swine carcasses and of meat quality are given in table 1. Significant differences (P<0.05) were found in the carcass length, ham index and w.h.c. between genotypes, while there were no statistically significant differences regarding the sex (P>0.05). The fat/MLD ratio in loin cut showed significant differences between sexes and groups (P<0.05); the largest MLD area was found in females from group "B", and smallest in castrates from group "E" (difference = 22%). For values of pH₄₅ and pH₂₄, meat color and w.h.c., which were all within boudaries established for "normal" meat, statistically significant difference (P<0.05) was found only in w.h.c., between genotypes. All indicators mentioned above are similar to the results noted in researches of Petricevic et al. (1990), Sencic et al. (1995), Kralik et al.(1996) and others.

It is obvious from table 2 that marked advantage of certain genotype, only on the basis of main carcass parts shares, can not be stated, although the differences were statistically very significant (P<0.01). But if the meat percentage is taken in consideration as the main indicator of carcass quality, it is obvious that best results in the share of meat in the carcass as well as in the individual parts (exluding the shoulder) showed female pigs "B" genotype, while the lowest meat percentage was found in castrates of "A" genotype. Difference was 10.24 percentage units or 17.30%. Tested differences for this trait were mostly statistically very significant (P<0.01) between sexes and genotypes. It should be emphasized that meatiness of carcasses of "B" genotypes from castrates compared to females was a little bit lower, but still better than the most of other genotypes. Regarding the meatiness, the closest to genotype "B" were genotypes "C" and "D", while the lowest meat percentages were found in genotypes "E" and "A".

Conclusions:

On the basis of this results it can be concluded:

Linear measurements did not show marked differences between genotypes of pigs, with the exception of females of genotype "B" where the largest MLD area (42.16 cm²) was found and statistically significant difference (P<0.05) was established only regarding the genotype.

Mean values of meat quality indicators were within boundaries established for "normal" meat, and statistically significant difference (P<0.05) was found only in w.h.c. between the genotypes.

Differences in shares of individual carcass parts between the genotypes were statistically very significant (P<0.01), except in the ham (P<0.05), while no significant differences were found regarding the sex (P>0.05).

In the meat percentage in carcass and in individual parts (except in the neck and shoulder) there were statistically very significant differences (P<0.01) between genotypes and sex. The highest average meat shares (except in shoulder) was found in both sexes of genotype "B" followed by genotypes "C", "D" and "E", while genotype"A" showed the lowest results.

Pertinent literature

1

- Comberg, G. (1978): Schweinezucht. Verlag Eugen Ulmer, Stuttgart. 2
- Grau, R., Hamm, R. (1952): Eine einfache Methode zur Bestimmung der Wasserbildung im Fleisch. Die Fleischwirtschaft, 4, 295-297. 3 Kralik G., Petricevic A., Sencic D., Majic T. (1990): Kvaliteta polovica i mesa razlicitih genotipova svinja. 1. Prinos osnovnih dijelova u

polovicama svinja velikog jorksira, svedskog landrasa i njihovih krizanaca. Tehnologija mesa, 31(1), 3-6. 4

- Kralik G., Kusec G., Petricevic A., Zivkovic J. (1997): Meat yield evaluation in pig carcasses. Zivocisná výroba 42(10), 473-479. 5
- Kusec G., Kralik G., Petricevic A., Zivkovic J. (1998): Influence of genotype on meat yield estimation in swine carcasses. Czech Journal of Animal Science, Zivocysna vyroba, 43(2), 87-91. 6
- Petricevic A., Kralik G., Maltar Z. (1990): Kvaliteta polovica i mesa razlicitih genotipova svinja. 2. Kvalitativne osobine mesa velikog jorksira, svedskog landrasa i njihovih krizanaca. Tehnologija mesa, 31(2), 43-45. 7
- Petricevic A., Kralik G., Maltar Z., Vujcic D. (1991): Rezultati prvog testa u projektu stvaranja domaceg tipa mesnate svinje. 2. Kvalitativne osobine mesa. Stocarstvo, 45 (5-6), 155-160. 8
- Sencic D., Kralik G., Petricevic A., Juric I. (1995): Prinos, raspodjela i kakvoca misicnog tkiva u polovicama mesnatih svinja razlicitih genotipova. Stocarstvo, 49(1-2), 3-13.
- Weniger, H.J., Steinhauf, D., Pahl, G. (1963): Musculare Tophography of carcasses. BLV Verlagsgesellschaft, München.

Table 1. Linear measurements of swine carcasses and quality of meat

frait	Genotype											F - test	
	A		В		С		D		Е				
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Sex	Genotype	
arcass length, cm	84.94	86.19	80.32	81.36	82.37	81.41	81.95	83.84	80.73	81.33	n.s.	*	
am index	42.54	43.59	43.12	42.63	43.04	44.07	44.89	45.35	42.27	41.32	n.s.	*	
LD, cm ²	37.08	36.93	41.19	42.16	37.54	39.84	34.27	36.07	32.74	38.01	n.s.	*	
t, cm ²	29.66	21.39	18.74	17.96	22.84	16.41	21.00	16.89	26.93	23.37	*	n.s.	
MLD ratio	0.81	0.58	0.46	0.43	0.62	0.42	0.62	0.48	0.83	0.66	*	*	
45'	6.33	6.27	6.10	6.13	6.03	6.06	6.25	6.21	6.35	6.09	n.s.	n.s.	
24	5.75	5.73	5.72	5.73	5.70	5.69	5.82	5.73	5.73	5.76	n.s.	n.s.	
<u>n.c., cm²</u>	8.59	8.76	8.21	8.23	7.47	8.51	7.06	6.74	6.84	7.59	n.s.	*	
lor, Göfo value	59.69	59.19	62.39	65.07	60.07	67.52	51.74	52.58	53.00	66.67	n.s.	n.s.	

Table 2. Pig carcass quality

Shan	Genotype										F - test	
-nare - trait	A		В		С		D		E		1	
Can	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Sex	Genotype
Shar weight (kg)	80.01	78.66	79.86	78.82	79.11	78.04	82.21	80.17	82.27	80.63	**	**
Hare in carcass (%)	1000000	Tuo renti	1.82.89	<1.755b	AND SALE	-910-9 2005		1.200 100 80				
Bast	27.72	27.98	29.66	29.70	28.94	29.32	26.74	27.68	28.99	28 16	ns	*
Ball	15.28	16.33	15.65	15.93	17.24	17.65	19.12	19.15	17.10	17 19	ns	**
Not rib part	21.41	20.42	17.29	17.47	17.65	17.56	16.89	16.39	16.93	16.98	ns	**
Share	8.08	7.89	8.23	8.18	8.48	8.07	9.65	9.52	8.68	8 96	ns	**
Lo	13.78	13.87	16.00	15.87	15.41	14.65	15.73	15.80	15.84	15.64	ns	**
~ess valuable parts	6.89	7.51	8.05	7.68	7.11	7.95	7.37	7.58	6.80	7.23	n.s.	ns
Muscle ticsus	10.02	52 55	57.22	50.16				10.33510	0.000.00			1
Fat with skin	48.92	52.55	57.32	59.16	55.31	56.76	54.14	57.86	51.15	53.20	**	**
Bones	34.23	29.19	24.29	23.12	27.51	23.45	28.10	23.74	32.35	29.94	**	**
Share in how (8()	9.90	10.75	10.34	10.04	10.07	11.84	10.49	10.82	9.70	9.63	n.s.	n.s.
Muscle tiene (%)	1 10.10	100									10.1	1224110
Fat with alie	62.13	65.48	70.60	72.18	66.95	71.09	64.61	69.78	62.60	64.86	**	**
Bones	27.28	22.75	18.34	17.18	22.32	17.22	24.07	19.16	26.76	25.19	*	*
Share in hall (8/)	10.59	11.77	11.06	10.64	10.73	11.69	11.32	11.06	10.64	9.95	n.s.	n.s.
Muscle ti				de la libra	o plinait	ities of a	fel has	of shiftle	Samo	Reb bash	ind a	h?thmb In
Fat with at	52.78	58.72	60.67	65.22	57.97	59.53	54.07	58.99	49.17	54.23	**	**
Bones	33.81	26.54	24.41	21.52	28.97	23.53	32.00	26.32	38.34	32.99	**	**
Share in L. II. II. I. I.	13.41	14.74	14.92	13.26	13.06	16.94	13.93	19.69	12.49	12.78	n.s.	n.s.
Muscle tim belly-rib part (%)	17.00	18/1 10 0	1917 380	DWest nu	Daonos		inioma	D X C	1 10 23	issouth the	pine e	anni Jenn
Fat with at	47.80	51.83	61.39	62.87	58.66	59.22	57.13	59.41	53.77	56.33	*	**
Bones	43.52	39.62	30.16	28.42	33.13	30.96	34.09	31.35	38.48	35.08	**	***
Share in 1942	8.68	8.55	8.45	8.71	8.21	9.82	8.78	9.24	7.75	8.59	n.s.	n.s.
Muscle ti	1101	10.10			the select	ma mala	al comments	and some	-		1.1127	and and
Fat with	64.84	68.62	69.15	70.23	65.83	65.26	64.49	66.28	63.07	67.06	n.s.	n.s.
Bones	19.10	14.54	17.57	15.85	18.74	14.38	21.42	17.91	20.63	17.94	**	1010*10
Share in the second	16.06	16.84	13.28	13.92	15.43	20.36	14.39	15.81	16.30	15.00	n.s.	n.s.
Muscle ti	bound !	of three	anoitan	denso be	abuta is	dicate th	ni eann	Som THE	los tes	m of 90	of test to	esults pr
Fat with	57.92	62.76	66.02	66.84	65.42	66.77	68.00	71.04	63.77	64.43	n.s.	*
Bones	28.60	22.88	20.37	19.82	21.81	19.17	19.72	16.68	25.02	24.06	*	*
*P<0.05	13.48	14.36	13.61	13.34	12.77	14.16	12.28	12.28	11.21	11.51	n.s.	*

0.05 **P<0.01 ***P<0.001 n.s. P>0.05