# 5-P70

CARCASS AND MEAT QUALITY IN LIGHT LAMBS FROM DIFFERENT CLASSES IN THE EUROPEAN GRADING SYS<sup>TEM</sup> Sañudo, C.<sup>1</sup>, Alfonso, M.<sup>1</sup>, Sanchez, A.<sup>1</sup>, Delfa, R.<sup>2</sup> and Teixeira, A.<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Animal Production Science. University of Zaragoza. 50.013 Zaragoza. Spain.

<sup>2</sup> Agricultural Research Service of the Government of Aragón. 50.080 Zaragoza. Spain.

<sup>3</sup> Polytechnic Institute of Bragança. 5.300 Bragança. Portugal.

Keywords: Carcass classification system, fatness, lamb meat quality.

#### Background.

Fat, quantity and quality, are important aspects for consumers (Sendim *et al.*, 1997), who are more and more interested in healthy produced by the set of and usually prefer lean meat and carcasses, although fat is positively associated with acceptability. Thus, Jeremiah (1998) found that the percent of unacceptable cuts was higher in lean than fat categories, similar findings being obtained by Paul *et al.*, (1964) and Smith *et al.*, (1970). For reason practically all carcass classification systems around the world include fatness score as a criterion of quality and price (EEC n° 2137/924461/93 regulations; Moxhan and Brownlie (1976)). Other characteristics such as age, sex, weight, carcass length, meat colour and specific conformation score are also used but the bar conformation score are also used, but they have a lower market significance and a lower price influence. Inside the EU there are two different schells for lamb classification: one for carcasses up 13 kg and other for light carcasses under 13 kg. In the latter scheme, since Mediterranean carcasses systematically penalised because of their natural poor morphology (walker breeds), low subcutaneous/internal fat ratio and light well conformation score is not considered. Only weight (three categories: < 7.0 kg, 7.1-10.0 kg and 10.1-13.0 kg), meat colour and fat class are included several studies have shown weak relative to the terms of the several studies have shown weak relative to the terms of the several studies have shown weak relative to the terms of the several studies have shown weak relative to the terms of the several studies have shown weak relative to the terms of the several studies have shown weak relative to the several studies have shown we are several studies at the several studies have shown we are several studies at the several studies have shown we are several studies have shown we are several studies at the several studies have several studies Several studies have shown weak relationships between lamb quality grades and palatability assessments in heavy or medium weight carca (Jeremiah et al., 1972; Crouse and Ferrel, 1982), but there has been no investigation of this relationship in light lambs. On the other hand, it's essential to know if any classification is, or is not, related with real carcass value and quality.

#### **Objectives.**

The main aim of this study is to determine if fatness level, as described by the European regulation for light carcasses, is a good discrimin of meat quality.

#### Material and Methods.

The lambs studied were mainly from the Rasa Aragonesa breed, a typical Mediterranean rustic breed, 50-60 Kg ewe mature weight, w population of approximately 2.5 million head, located in North-eastern Spain. Lambs were kept with their dams for a minimum of 40-50 days after weaning were fed with concentrate and cereal straw dist and libition with the straw of the straw dist and libition were the straw of the straw dist and libition were straw of the straw of the straw dist and libition were straw of the stra after weaning were fed with concentrate and cereal straw diet *ad libitum* until slaughter. Ninety animals were selected for the investigation commercial EU licensed abattoir. Cold carcass weight was between 9.0 and 11.0 kg, being typical of the European Mediterranean Area-

The carcasses were selected to cover the four fat levels included in the EU lamb classification system (Table 1) and then the left should excised and dissected, to provide an index of overall carcass composition, following the guidelines of Colomer et al., (1988).

Fat class	1-Low	2-Sligh	t	hing date. Se	3-Avera	ige	vas to de	4-High	
Subgroup	+1	-2	2	+2	-3	3	+3	-4	4
Number of animals	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10 10
General fatness	None up to low fat cover.	Slight fat cover, flesh visible almost everywhere.		hindquarter and shoulder, almost everywhere covered with fat. Slight deposits of			10 10 Flesh covered with fat on the hindquarter and shoulder still partly vis Some distinctive fat de in the thoracic cavity.		
External fatness	Traces or no visible fat.	A slight layer of fat covers part of the carcass but may be less evident on the limbs.		most or all the carcass. Slightly thickened fat in the		A thick layer of fat co all of the carcass but f thinner on limbs and t on the shoulders.			
Internal thoracic fatness	Traces or not fat visible between ribs.	Muscle ribs.	clearly vis	ible between	Muscle ribs.	still visible	between	Muscle infiltrate be visible	between ribs m ed. Fat deposits le on the ribs.

Table 1 Number of animals by class using the light lamb EU grading system (fatness)

The entire left loin was removed to assess meat quality. Representative sub-samples of the M. *longissimus thorac*is (between the  $6^{th}$  and the allocated to each instrumental maturin. The entire allocated to each instrumental maturin. ribs) were allocated to each instrumental analysis. These instrumental quality traits were measured at 72 h post mortem. pH was obtained penetrating electrode. Water holding capacity (WHC) was measured using the modified Grau and Hamm technique, as described by Sañudo (1988). Cooking losses were negligible of the same state of (1988). Cooking losses were evaluated after immersion of samples in a 75° C water bath for 15 minutes. This cooked sample was used to del shear force using a Warner Bratzler device mounted in an Instron (4301). Haem pigments were estimated by the Hornsey (1956) method.

M. longissimus lumborum was used for sensory analysis. Vacuum-packed samples were aged for 72 h and frozen at  $-18^{\circ}$  C until task evaluation. The day of the panel session, samples were thawed under running tap water. The loins were grilled until the internal temperature r 70° C. Samples were evaluated by a 10 member trained taste panel and served hot. Lamb odour intensity, tenderness, juiciness, lamb flavour intensity, tenderness, juiciness, juiciness, juiciness, juiciness, juiciness, juiciness, juiciness, juiciness, juiciness, flavour quality and overall acceptability were recorded using a non structured (1-100) scale. The left and the right ends of the lines (=1 and were respectively labeled "no or very strong odour", "extremely tough or extremely tender", "extremely dry or extremely juicy", " no or very" flavour", "very disagreeable or extremely agreeable flavour" and "dislike or like extremely". Data were analyzed using the GLM procedures Statistical Analysis Systems (1987). Fat class differences were tested by significance at the 0.05 probability level (LSD).

## lealts and Discussion.

Shoulder dissection results are shown in Table 2. The percentage of dissected fat increased with fat class score, as expected.

Fat class // n=()	1-Low (10)	2-Slight (30)	3-Average (30)	4-High (20)	s.e.d.	F
Muscle	66.3 a	64.6 ab	61.9 bc	60.1 c	0.273	20.90 **
Bone	21.0 a	19.6 ab	18.9 bc	17.8 c	0.160	11.46 **
Subcutaneous fat	3.2 a	5.8 b	7.2 с	9.6 d	0.190	35.97 **
Inter muscular fat	9.5 a	10.1 ab	11.9 bc	12.4 c	0.109	9.63 **
Total fat	12.7 a	15.9 b	19.1 c	22.0 d	0.324	33.95 *

Table 2. Shoulder composition (%) in each fat class in the EU light lamb carcass classification system

Within the instrumental measurements of meat quality (Table 3), there were no significant differences between fat class in pH, myoglobin cooking losses or WHC, although the higher amount of losses were found in the lowest and highest fatness scores. Thus, meat cooking losses been found to be positively associated with fatness (Kemp *et al.*, 1972), although with not very important relationships (Jeremiah *et al.*, 1972). The other hand, in very lean animals there is a lack of protective fat, which could produce some extra alteration in the protein structure during and cooking. Pigment differences (P>0.05) show that the amounts of Mb are more related to age (Field *et al.*, 1990) than to fatness level at age (Sañudo *et al.*, 1997).

Shear force and toughness differences were significant (P<0.05). In both cases a clear tendency to be reduced with fatness was observed. In the meat various reports have indicated that greater amounts of fat, or high energy diets, were associated with lower shear force values (Jeremiah  $^{1}$ , 1972; Devine *et al.*, 1993). However, other reports have indicated that marbling was not closely related to instrumental or sensorial technic technic

<sup>1</sup><sup>enderness</sup>, flavour intensity and overall acceptability were the only palatability meat characteristics significantly different between fat classes. <sup>1</sup><sup>enderness</sup> was, in agreement with trade opinion and our instrumental results, higher in fatter carcasses. Similar results have been reported by <sup>1</sup><sup>enderness</sup> was, in agreement with trade opinion and our instrumental results, higher in fatter carcasses. Similar results have been reported by <sup>1</sup><sup>enderness</sup> was, in agreement with trade opinion and our instrumental results, higher in fatter carcasses. Similar results have been reported by <sup>1</sup><sup>enderness</sup> score, but many other authors have not shown a relation between fatness and flavour intensity (Woodhams *et al.*, 1966; Crouse and Ferrel, <sup>1</sup><sup>enderness</sup> be especially sensible to the increment of some specific fatty acid, phospholipids, to which the panel would be especially sensitive (Enser, <sup>1</sup><sup>enderness</sup> acceptability was for fat class 3, which was significantly different from the leanest carcasses. Similar findings were shown by <sup>1</sup><sup>enderness</sup> (1998), who found a higher proportion of unacceptable meat from leaner carcasses than from fatter ones. It seems that a minimum of fat <sup>1</sup><sup>enderness</sup> (Jeremiah *et al.*, 1972), but an optimum should be determined.

Table 3. Meat quality and fat class in the EU light lamb carcass classification system

at	1-Low	2-Slight	3-Average	4-High	s.e.d.	F
	10	30	30	20		
	5.54	5.52	5.56	5.55	0.007	NS
g losses (%)	13.1	11.5	11.3	12.1	0.405	NS
/0)	18.5	22.5	20.7	22.8	0.673	NS
orce (kg)	7.11 a	6.17 ab	5.36 b	5.16 b	0.180	**
css (kg/cm <sup>2</sup> )	2.03 a	1.96 ab	1.65 b	1.59 b	0.059	*
2g)	2.15	2.45	2.51	2.37	0.051	NS
Intensity	45.2	49.4	47.5	49.2	0.572	NS
ness	45.9 a	50.5 ab	52.3 b	54.9 b	0.649	**
SS	41.2	44.1	43.9	42.2	0.631	NS
intensity	46.8 a	52.00 ab	53.0 b	54.4 b	0.600	**
Quality	45.7	48.5	49.6	48.3	0.588	NS
acceptability	42.7 a	45.3 ab	47.0 b	45.2 ab	0.549	*

### howledgements.

This study has been supported by the INIA. The authors gratefully acknowledge J.F. Pardos and F. Lahoz for their technical assistance.

#### uces.

<sup>Mner</sup>, F., Morand-Fehr, P., Kirton, A., Delfa, R. and Sierra, I. (1988). Cuadernos INIA 17:1-41. Crouse, J. D. and Ferrel C. L. (1982). Journal of Quality 5: 203-214. Devine, C. E., Graafhuis, A.E., Muir, P.D. and Chrystall, B.B. (1993) Meat Science 35: 63-77. Enser, M. (1995). In Comments in oils and fats. Ed. Blackie Academic and Professional. London. Field, R.A., Maiorano, G., McCormick, R. J., Riley; M. L., Russsell, Williams, F. L. and Crouse, J.D. (1990). Journal of Animal Science 71: 1616-1623. Hornsey, H.C. (1956). Journal of Science and Food of Research International 5-6: 513-520. Jeremiah, L.E. (1998). Meat Science 48: 211-223. Kemp, J. D., Shelley, J.M., Ely, D.G. and Moody, (1972). Journal of Animal Science 34, 560-562. Moxham, R.W. and Brownlie, L.E. (1976). Wool Technology and Sheep Breeding23: 17-25.
<sup>Mnession</sup> des Communautes Europeennes 11479: 67-81. Sañudo, C., Campo, M.M., Sierra, I., María, G., Olleta, J.L. and Santolaria, P. (1997). Science 46: 357-365. Sendim, A., Albiac, J., Delfa, R. and Lahoz, F. (1997). Eurocarne 61: 99. Smith, G., Carpenter, Z.L., King, G.T. and Science 46: 357-365. Sendim, A., Albiac, J., Delfa, R. and Lahoz, F. (1997). Eurocarne 61: 99. Smith, G., Carpenter, Z.L., King, G.T. and F. E. (1970). Journal of Animal Science 30: 496-502. Woodhams, P., Kirton, A. and Jury, K. (1996). N. Z. J. of Agriculture Research 9: 268-275.