

MEAT QUALITY OF SLOVENE LOCAL PIG BREED KRŠKOPOLJE

Marjeta Čandek-Potokar¹, B. Žlender², Zdenka Kramar³, B. Šegula¹, G. Fazarinc⁴, M. Uršič⁴

¹Agricultural Institute of Slovenia, Hacquetova 17, 1000 Ljubljana

²Biotechnical faculty, Departement for Food Science, Jamnikarjeva 101, 1000 Ljubljana

³Chamber of Agriculture and Forestry, Šmihelska 14, 8000 Novo mesto

⁴Veterinary faculty, Gerbičeva 60, 1000 Ljubljana

Background

On of the reasons for the growing interest in local pig breeds is better quality of their meat compared to modern breeds (Dunn, 1996; Labroue et al., 2000). In Slovenia, the black-belted pig Krškopolje is the only local breed of pigs. Due to a very small herd size it is endangered of extinction. The interest for this breed was revived in the past ten years. The breed is adapted to poor breeding conditions and is reputed for good meat quality (Šalehar, 1994). However this reputation of good meat quality has so far not been objectively verified. The aim of this study was the first evaluation of meat quality of Slovene local pig breed Krškopolje in comparison to usual market pigs and Krškopolje crosses under the similar rearing conditions.

Material and methods

The experiment was conducted on 41 pigs (24 females, 17 castrated males) of three genotypes, pure Krškopolje (KK), the offspring of KK female crossed with meat-type landrace male (LN55×KK) and L55×(LN11×LW) pigs, issue of crossing large white (LW)×landrace (LN11) females with meat-type landrace male (LN55). Pigs were housed in four series from November to January. During the fattening they received two diets; from 25 to 60 kg they were fed the diet containing 12.7 MJ of metabolizing energy, 16 % crude protein (8.8g/kg lysine), from 60 kg onwards pigs received the diet containing 11.7 MJ of metabolizing energy, 19.5 % crude protein (12g/kg lysine) with addition of ensiled maize grain. Pigs were fattened by a local farmer, group-housed, fed twice daily and had voluntary access to food. All pigs were slaughtered on the same day, according to routine procedure (fasting, 2 hours resting, electrically stunned, 1.5 A, 200 V). After slaughter, carcass weight and lean meat content (%) were recorded by authorized service. One hour post mortem pH (pH_1) was measured directly in the *longissimus dorsi* (LD) muscle at the level of the last rib, and the samples of LD muscle taken only from KK pigs for histochemical analysis. The following day ultimate pH, meat color (1-6 according to Nakai et al., 1975), L value (Minolta Chromameter CR300) and water holding capacity evaluated as imbibing time (time necessary for 1 cm² of filter paper Schleicher-Schell 589¹ to become wet) were assessed on LD muscle at the level of the last rib. Samples of LD muscle were taken for DNA test (Fujii et al., 1991), chemical and sensory analysis. Lipids were extracted from 10 g samples according to Folch et al. (1957) and results expressed as mg/g of fresh weight. Samples for sensory evaluation were thawed overnight at 4°C and roasted in the oven to internal temperature of 71.6 ± 1.2 °C (mean ± s.d.). Six panelists judged aroma, juiciness, tenderness and fineness on a 1 to 7 point scale (increasing intensity of perception). Data were analyzed by GLM procedure of SAS (1990), with genotype and sex and their interaction as effects. Least square means (lsmeans) for genotypes were compared at the 5% probability level.

Results and discussion

At the start of the experiment we had no knowledge of stress susceptibility gene (*ryr1*) status in the herd of KK breed. When low pH_1 values were observed in pure Krškopolje pigs, we decided to perform DNA tests. We found a high incidence of *ryr1* gene mutation (88 % *ryr1*-Nn or *ryr1*-nn) in pure KK pigs (Table 1). On the other hand only two carriers (*ryr1*-Nn) were found in LN55×KK pigs, where both males used were mutation free (*ryr1*-NN). The histochemical composition of LD muscle (8.7% of slow-twitch, oxidative, 18.6% of fast-twitch, oxidative and 72.7 % of fast-twitch, glycolytic fibres) of pure KK pigs showed no particularities and was similar to the histochemical composition reported for modern pig breeds in Slovenia (Fazarinc et al., 1995). However differences between animals within the KK breed were large; significantly more slow-twitch, oxidative fibres were found in stress resistant pigs (*ryr1* nn) (Fazarinc et al., 2002). At slaughter, both pure KK and LN55×KK pigs were heavier and older compared to usual market crosses, namely all pigs were slaughtered on the same day, but they were housed in four series within two months, usual market pigs being included the last. In the present experiment all pigs were rather fat due to the unlimited access to food. As expected, usual market pigs were the leanest and KK pigs were the least lean with LN55×KK pigs in the middle. Considering that the usual market pigs were lighter, part of the difference comes presumably from the lower weight. However, similar conclusion can be drawn after the correction for carcass weight (regression on carcass weight in the model; data not shown). According to the literature (Legault et al., 1996; Santos e Silva et al., 2000; Labroue et al., 2000) local pig breeds present less lean and conformed carcasses. However the differences reported are more important than the differences obtained in our study. Moreover, no significant difference of carcass lean was seen, when comparing pure KK and LN55×KK pigs. This can probably be attributed to *ryr1* genotype of KK males (one was *ryr1*-Nn, the other *ryr1*-nn whereas both males used for LN55×KK pigs were *ryr1*-NN); since *ryr1* gene mutation is known to increase muscularity (Guéblez et al., 1995; Larzul et al., 1997). Pure KK pigs had lower pH_1 than LN55×KK pigs or usual market pigs due to their higher stress susceptibility. The later can also be responsible for higher pH_{24} in pure KK pigs, resulting probably from increased glycogen consumption prior to slaughter. More intramuscular fat in KK pigs, according to the literature, is not related to *ryr1* genotype, but is more likely in relation to overall carcass fatness. Lower resistance to cutting, finer texture observed in KK pigs and more tender meat in LN55×KK pigs indicate advantage of KK breed for eating quality. Higher intramuscular fat content can be an explanatory factor for better texture properties in pure KK pigs, but can not explain more tender meat observed in LN55×KK pigs. More intramuscular fat and more tender meat of local pig breeds have also been reported by Labroue et al. (2000). We can suspect that due to the low pH_1 some meat quality traits were deteriorated in pure KK pigs (i.e. water holding capacity, texture).

Conclusions

The observed stress susceptibility of local pig breed Krškopolje represents additional problem for the future professional work on this breed. Despite stress susceptibility and consequently increased glycolysis intensity, the Krškopolje breed presented some advantages regarding meat eating quality.

References

- Dunn, N., 1996. New Career for Old German Pig Breed.. *Pigs-Misset*, 12, 3, 31-32.
- Fazarinc, G., M. Uršič, S. V. Bavdek, 1995. 6th Zavrnik Memorial Meeting, Lipica, Slovenia, 77 (abstract).
- Fazarinc, G., M. Čandek-Potokar, M. Uršič, M. Vrecl, A. Pogačnik, 2002. Sent to Anat. Histol. Embriol.
- Folch J., M. Lee & G.H. Sloane-Stanley, 1957. *J. Biol. Chem.*, 226, 497-509.
- Fujii, J., Otsu, K., Zorzato, F., DeLeon, S., Khanna, V. K., Weiler, J. E., O'Brien, P. J. & MacLennan, D. H., 1991. *Science* 253, 448-451.
- Guéblez, R., F. Paboeuf, P. Sellier, M. Bouffaud, J. Boulard, D. Brault, M.-H. Le Tiran, G. Petit. 1995. *Journées Rech. Porcine en France*, 27, 155-164.
- Labroue, F., S. Goumy, J. Gruand, J. Mourot, V. Neelz, C. Legault. 2000. *J. Rech. Porcine en France*, 32, 403-411.
- Larzul, C., P. LeRoy, R. Gueblez, A. Talmant, J. Gogué, P. Sellier, G. Monin. 1997. *J. Anim. Breed. Genet.*, 114, 309-320.
- Legault, C., A. Audiot, D. Daridan, J. Gruand, H. Lagant, M. Luquet, M. Molenat, D. Rouzade, & M.-N. Simon. 1996. *Journées Rech. Porcine en France*, 28, 115-122.
- Santos e Silva, J., J. Ferreira-Cardoso, A. Bernardo & J.S. Pires da Costa, 2000. Proc. of the joint session of the EAAP commissions on pig production, animal genetics and animal nutrition, Wageningen, Netherlands, Wageningen Pers, 85-92.
- Šalehar, A., 1994. The Krškopolje pig. *Pigs News and information*, 15, 2, 59N-61N.
- SAS, *SAS/STAT User's Guide*, SAS Inst., Inc., Cary, NC., 1990.

Acknowledgement

To meat industry MIP d.d., Nova Gorica, pig farmer Franc Pribožič, and to I. Karnel, M. Kač for technical assistance. The study has been financed by Ministry of education, science and sport and Agriculture extension service of Slovenia.

Table 1: Occurrence of mutated *ryr1* gene (n allele) in three pig genotypes

| | NN | Nn | nn | No. of pigs |
|------------------|----|----|----|-------------|
| KK | 2 | 12 | 3 | 17 |
| LN55 × KK | 10 | 2 | 0 | 12 |
| LN55 × (LW×LN11) | 8 | 4 | 0 | 12 |
| No. of pigs | 20 | 19 | 3 | 41 |

KK=Krškopoljec; LW= large white; LN11= landrace female line; LN55=landrace male line

Table 2: Carcass, muscle and meat quality traits (lsmeans± stderr) in three genotypes

| | Genotype ^a | | | |
|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------|
| | KK | L55 × KK | L55 × (LW×L11) | P |
| No. of pigs | 17 | 12 | 12 | |
| Age at slaughter, days | 244 ^b ± 5 | 230 ^b ± 5 | 210 ^c ± 6 | 0.000 |
| Carcass weight, kg | 98.0 ^b ± 2.8 | 95.4 ^b ± 3.4 | 82.1 ^c ± 3.3 | 0.002 |
| Carcass lean, % | 46.2 ^b ± 0.6 | 48.1 ^{bc} ± 0.8 | 50.3 ^c ± 0.7 | 0.001 |
| Muscle properties | | | | |
| pH at 1 hour postmortem | 5.82 ^b ± 0.07 | 6.15 ^c ± 0.09 | 6.17 ^c ± 0.08 | 0.004 |
| pH at 1 day postmortem | 5.60 ^b ± 0.03 | 5.50 ^c ± 0.03 | 5.45 ^c ± 0.03 | 0.001 |
| Color intensity (1-6) | 3.7 ± 0.1 | 3.8 ± 0.1 | 3.7 ± 0.1 | 0.939 |
| Minolta L | 54.2 ± 1.0 | 54.1 ± 1.3 | 55.0 ± 1.2 | 0.854 |
| Imbibing time, sek | 37 ± 9 | 12 ± 11 | 24 ± 11 | 0.211 |
| Intramuscular fat, % | 3.0 ^b ± 0.2 | 2.1 ^c ± 0.2 | 1.9 ^c ± 0.2 | 0.001 |
| Marbling (1-7) | 3.2 ± 0.2 | 2.8 ± 0.3 | 2.6 ± 0.3 | 0.156 |
| Cooked meat properties | | | | |
| Aroma (1-7) | 5.2 ± 0.1 | 5.3 ± 0.1 | 5.1 ± 0.1 | 0.072 |
| Juiciness (1-7) | 4.9 ± 0.1 | 5.0 ± 0.1 | 4.8 ± 0.1 | 0.301 |
| Tenderness (1-7) | 5.4 ^{bc} ± 0.1 | 5.7 ^c ± 0.1 | 5.2 ^b ± 0.1 | 0.001 |
| Fineness (1-7) | 5.2 ^b ± 0.2 | 5.1 ^{bc} ± 0.1 | 4.9 ^c ± 0.1 | 0.057 |
| Cutting force by INSTRON | | | | |
| Perpendicular (N) | 58.5 ^b ± 2.0 | 57.8 ^b ± 2.4 | 67.3 ^c ± 2.4 | 0.011 |
| Parallel (N) | 46.1 ± 1.4 | 44.1 ± 1.7 | 48.6 ± 1.7 | 0.175 |

The genotype×sex interaction was insignificant for the presented properties.

^a KK=Krškopoljec; LW=large white; L11=landrace female line; L55=landrace male line

^{b, c} Means for the genotype bearing different superscripts differ at the P<0.05 level