FATTY ACID COMPOSITION AND MEAT QUALITY TRAITS BETWEEN ORGANICALLY AND CONVENTIONALLY REARED KOREAN BLACK PIGS

D. H. KIM, D. G. LIM, J. H. KIM, P. N. SUNG, S. H. CHO, K. H. HAH, B. Y. PARK, J. M. LEE and C. N. AHN

Animal Products and Processing Division, National Livestock Research Institute, 564 Omokchun-dong, Kwonsun-gu, 441-706, Suwon, Korea

Key Words: Conventional pigs, organic pigs and Fatty acid composition

Introduction

Economic pressure on pig industry has resulted in intensive production system in order to decrease production costs. In recent years, animal health, welfare and environment-friendly production have been more and more attracted public concern. Furthermore, the production of lean meat to meet consumers' demands for low-fat pork has resulted in a substantial decrease of intramuscular fat levels and an increased concern that eating quality may be consequently reduced (Cisneros et al., 1996). To face these new challenges, alternative production methods, such as organic livestock farming have been developed. Organic farming puts more emphasis on the production system to meet the demands of a specific consumer segment (Sundrum, 1998). According to the basic standards of IFOAM (1996), organic livestock farming is primarily based on home-grown feedstuffs with the objective of establishing an almost complete on-farm nutrient cycle. The fatty acid composition of the porcine intramuscular fat (IMF) has been shown to be affected by feed composition as reviewed by Bosi (1999). Previous studies have shown that conventional pork is often more tender than pork from organic pork production systems due to lower daily gain in organic production (Danielsen et al., 2000). Moreover, the amount of intramuscular fat in organic pork has been reported to be higher, and the fatty acid composition to be more unsaturated compared with meat from traditionally reared pigs (Hansen et al., 2001). This may result in inferior technological meat quality due to enhanced lipid oxidation and presence of soft fat (Nilzen et al., 2001). Consequently, introduction of organic pig production systems calls for establishment of quality assurance programs that ensure production of high quality pork, as demanded by the organic consumer segment. The objective of the present study was to investigate the effects of organic and conventional feed, on fatty acid composition and meat quality traits in muscle of Korean black pigs.

Materials and Methods

Sixty barrows (Korean Black Pigs) were divided into two groups and fed two different diets, one conventional (30 animal) and the other organic bred pigs (30 animal). As shown in Table 1, organic pigs were raised restrictedly for 11 months in accordance with the Korean Organic Standard, while conventional pigs were fed for 8 months in a conventional manner. The pigs were slaughtered at a commercial abattoir and sampled from a market-weighted industrial population (carcass weight: 90.00 ± 2.7 kg). Samples of the M. *longissimus dorsi* (LD) were used for fatty acid composition and meat quality traits.

Fatty acids were extracted according to Folch et al. (1957), methylated and analyzed by GC as described by Neurnberg et al., (2002). Other quality analyses including cooking loss, shear force, water holding capacity and texture parameters were also carried out. The Statistical Analysis System (1998) was used to determine means, standard errors and analysis of variance. T- test was used to compare differences between conventional and organic pigs. An alpha level P < 0.05 was used to determine significance.

Results and Discussion

Overall, fatty acid composition of samples was affected by differences between conventional and organic feed. As shown in Table 2, the organic one had a higher content of unsaturated FA, PUFA, PUFA n-3, PUFA n-6 and PUFA/SFA ratio compared with conventional one (P<0.05).

The organic feeding in Korean black pigs had significantly (P<0.05) higher water holding capacity and gumminess, significantly (P<0.05) lower in shear force value, Springiness, Cohesiveness and Chewiness than conventional one (Table 3).

Conclusions

There were important changes in contents of fatty acids between conventional and organic Korean black pigs in this experiment. Especially, there were higher levels of PUFA n-3, n-6 and PUFA/SFA in organic one than conventional one. This difference of fatty acid composition of samples means that it was influenced by diets between conventional and organic one. The fatty acid profile in the organic feed could be further optimized to give a composition, which will fulfill human nutritional requirements with regard to PUFA n-3 contents.

Table 1. Ingredient composition (%) of the experimental diets for Korean Black Pigs

Ingredient	Conventional diet	Organic diet	
Crude protein (%)	17	17	
Crude fat (%)	at (%) 4 2.5		
Calcium (%)	0.45	0.5	
P (%)	0.4	0.5	
Ash (%)	8	10	
Crude fiber (%)	6	8	
L-lysine (%)	0.85	0.9	
Calrorie (cal/g)	3550	3450	

Table 2. Fatty acid composition	n of M. <i>longissimus</i> muscle bet	ween conventional and organic pork

			<u> </u>				
	Convention	Conventional (n=30)		Organic (n=30)			
-	Mean	SE	Mean	SE			
Saturated fatty acids (%)	37.16 ^A	0.20	34.61 ^B	0.24			
Unsaturated fatty acids (%)	62.84 ^B	0.20	65.39 ^A	0.24			
Monounsaturated fatty acids (%)	48.37 ^A	0.38	42.48 ^B	0.48			
Polyunsaturated fatty acids (%)	14.47 ^в	0.44	22.91 ^A	0.59			
PUFA n-3	0.47 ^B	0.07	1.84 ^A	0.07			
PUFA n-6	14.00 ^B	0.44	21.07 ^A	0.56			
PUFAn-6/PUFAn-3	25.33 ^A	1.56	11.92 ^в	0.14			
MUFA/SFA	1.30 ^A	0.01	1.23 ^в	0.01			
PUFA/SFA	0.39 ^B	0.01	0.66 ^A	0.02			

^{A-B}: Values with different superscripts in the same row differ significantly (P<0.05) The symbols used mean as followed: MUFA, PUFA and SFA refer to Monounsaturated, Polyunsaturated and Saturated fatty acid, respectively.

Table 3. Meat characteristics of M. *longissimus* muscle between conventional and organic pigs

Conventio	nal (n=30)	Organic (n=30)	
Mean	SE	Mean	SE
33.50	0.55	31.06	1.24
3.89 ^A	0.20	3.23 ^в	0.11
59.44 ^в	0.79	63.06 ^A	0.57
4.34	0.10	4.57	0.12
4.53	0.11	4.66	0.36
4.62	0.09	4.86	0.08
-2.64	0.11	-2.61	0.11
-26.72 ^A	0.26	-29.85 ^B	0.43
0.69 ^A	0.01	0.54 ^B	0.04
-1.81 ^B	0.07	-1.37 ^A	0.10
48.32 ^A	1.98	40.38 ^B	2.35
	Mean 33.50 3.89 ^A 59.44 ^B 4.34 4.53 4.62 -2.64 -26.72 ^A 0.69 ^A -1.81 ^B	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Mean SE Mean 33.50 0.55 31.06 3.89 A 0.20 3.23 B 59.44 B 0.79 63.06 A 4.34 0.10 4.57 4.53 0.11 4.66 4.62 0.09 4.86 -2.64 0.11 -2.61 -26.72 A 0.26 -29.85 B 0.69 A 0.01 0.54 B -1.81 B 0.07 -1.37 A

 $\overline{A-B}$: Values with different superscripts in the same row differ significantly (P<0.05)

References

- 1. Bosi, P. 1999. Feeding strategies to produce high quality pork-review. AJAS, 12, 271-281.
- 2. Cisneros, Ellis, F., M., Baker, D. H., Easter, R. A., and McKeith, F. K. 1996. The influence of short-term feeding of amino aciddeficient diets and high dietary leucine levels on the intramusclular fat content of pig muscle. J. Anim. Sci. 63, 517-522.
- Danielsen, V., Hansen, L. L., Moller, F., Bejrholm, C., & Nielsen, S. 2000. Production results and sensory meat quality of pigs fed different amounts of concentrate and ad lib. Clover grass or clover silage. In Hermansen, J. E., Lund, V., Thuen, E. (Eds.), Ecological Animal Husbandry in the Nordic Countries. Proceedings from NJF-seminar No. 303 (pp. 79-86), Horsens, Denmark 16-17 Sept, 1999.
- 4. Folch, J. 1957. A simple method for the isolation and purification of total lipids from animal tissues. J. Biol. Chem.. 226, 497-509.
- 5. Nilzen, V., Babol, J., Dutta, P. C., Lundeheim, N., Enfalt, A. C., & Lundstrom, K. 2001. Free range rearing of pigs with access to pasture grazing effect on fatty acid composition and lipid oxidation products. *Meat Sci*, 58(3), 267-275.
- 6. Neurnberg, K., Wegner, J.Ender, K. 1998. Factors influencing fat composition in muscle and adipose tissue of farm animals. *Livestock Production Sci.* 56, 3, 145-156.
- 7. SAS. SAS/STAT. 1998. SAS/STAT User's Guide: Statistics. SAS inst., Cary, NC.
- 8. Sundrum, A. 1998. Contribution of organic livestock farming for the assessment of sustainability. *Newsletter of the European network for scientific research co-ordination in organic farming*, 8, 13-17.