Poster exhibition - parallel session 1: Growth and metabolism

PE1.01 Supplementary feeding to improve milk and meat production of cattle under smallholder farm condition in Bangladesh 2.00

<u>MA Samad Khan</u> (1) maskhands@gmail.com, MSR Siddiki(1) (1)Bangladesh Agricultural University, Mymensingh-2202, Bangladesh

I. INTRODUCTION

Cattle on smallholder farms produce milk, manure and meat that are used to improve the food security of the farm household. The smallholder farmers of Bangladesh cannot feed their cattle adequately. Most fibrous feeds available to animals are deficient in some nutrients and are generally poor in digestibility. Straw is the most important crop residues contributing more than 90% of the basal dry matter available to the cattle in Bangladesh (Saadullah et al. 1994). Recently considerable efforts of many animal nutritionists have been directed to improve the nutritive value of straw and its utilization (Hock et al., 1988). Their results suggest that the nutritional limitation can be overcome by physical and chemical treatment or by supplementation with specific nutrients to provide an optimum ruminanl condition for rumen microorganisms. It has been observed from on station trails that the supplementation of urea molasses block (UMB) with straw based diet could increase feed intake, daily milk yield, lactation period and increased live weight gain in cows and calves in Bangladesh (Saadullah, 1991). The most common system for keeping cattle in Bangladesh on which most resource poor people depend, is the mixed crop livestock farming system. However, the feeding system commonly used in smallholder farms in Bangladesh is different to that of organized large farms in urban area including farms of periurban area. It was observed in an earlier on station experiment that 500g/d/cow UMB is required for maximum benefit. But it is important to determine the amount of UMB for small farmer's condition at village level. The main objective of the experiment was to determine the optimum level of UMB required for maximum benefit. But it is important to determine the amount of UMB for small farmer's condition at village level. The main objective of the experiment was to determine the optimum level of UMB required for maximum benefit regarding meat and milk production under smallholder farm condition in Bangladesh.

II. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The experiment was conducted at some selected villages of Mymensingh district. One hundred eight lactating crossbred (Holstein × local zebu) cows were randomly divided into four groups each consisting of 27 cows whose average live weight was 302 (SE 53.93) kg and all cows were of 2nd lactation. Four treatments were 0.00, 0.35, 0.50 and 0.65 kg/cow UMB fed with basal diets designated as T0, T1, T2 and T3, respectively. The basal diet consisted of rice straw and mixed (at ratio of 4:1) available to smallholder farmers and concentrates mixture made from locally available feed ingredients (36% wheat bran, 36% rice polish, 27% sesame cake and 1% common salt). The UMB consisted of 39% molasses, 20% wheat bran, 20% rice polish, 10% Urea, 6% lime powder (CaO) and 5% common salt (NaCl). The detail compositions of diets are given in Table 1.

Table 1. Composition of diets for differenttreatment groups (Fresh basis)

Ingredients	Treatments (kg/d)				
(Fresh Basis)	T ₀	T ₁	T ₃	T ₄	
Roughages					
a. Straw	ad	ad	ad	ad	
	libitum	libitum	libitum	libitum	
b. Mixed	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	
grass					
Concentrate	2.75	2.75	2.75	2.75	
UMB	-	0.35	0.50	0.65	

Composition of diets for different treatment groups (Fresh basis) The feed and milk samples were collected randomly from study area and were analyzed for proximate components according to the method of AOAC (2003). Milk yield of individual cow was taken daily and body weight of cow was recorded monthly after calving to confirm pregnancy of non-pregnant cow-180 days of lactation. Body weight of calf was recorded monthly up to 6th month of age. Data for milk yield, body weight changes of cows and calves was statistically analyzed by one factor completely randomized block design using MSTAT statistical package program. Significant differences among the treatment means were compared by DMRT. Results and discussion As shown in Table 2. there were an increasing values for DM and ME (P>0.05) intake. On the other hand CP intake increased significantly (P<0.001) as the level of UMB increased with basal diets. Analysis indicated that there was a positive relationship of DM, CP and ME intake with different levels of UMB intake.

Table 2. Nutrient intake of unsupplemented and UMB supplemented cows

Ingredients	Diets (kg/d)				SEM	Significance
(DM	T ₀	T ₁	T ₂	T ₃		
basis)						
Total DM	8.63	8.98	9.69	9.77	0.38	NS
(kg/d)						
CP (g/d)	587 ^b	671 ^b	793 ^a	825 ^a	41.68	***
ME (MJ/d)	62.67	65.74	72.11	97.74	14.37	NS

Values with different superscripts in the same row differ significantly

NS = Non-Significance (P>0.05), *** = 0.001

Table 3. Composition of milk at unsupplemented and at different levels of UMB supplemented cows

Paramete	Treatments				SE	Significan
rs	T ₀	T ₁	T ₂	T ₃	М	ce
Milk fat	41.2	44.5	47.1	47.1	0.52	NS
(g/kg)					8	
Protein	34.3	34.4	35.7	36.0	0.13	NS
(g/kg)					1	
Lactose	41.4	40.2	40.7	40.6	0.13	NS
(g/kg)					9	
Total	122.	126.	130.	131.	0.62	NS
Solids	6	1	6	8	5	
(g/kg)						
Ash	6.7	7.0	7.1	7.1	0.09	NS
(g/kg)					8	

Table 4. Mean values of milk yield and body weight gain of cows and calves

Parameters	Treatments				SEM	Significance
	T ₀	T ₁	T ₂	T ₃		
180 days	5.42 ^b	5.49 ^b	6.81 ^a	6.83 ^a	0.47	*
av. yield						
(kg/d)						
Lactation	3.68	5.08	5.95	5.99	0.81	NS
average	(306	(303	(336	(335		
(kg/d)	days)	days)	days)	days)		
Body	9.37°	65.89 ^{ab}	88.04 ^a	88.44 ^a	22.35	*
weight						
changes of						
cows (g/d)						
Calf	159 ^b	167 ^b	215 ^a	228 ^a	14.12	***
weight						
gain (g/d)						

Values with different superscripts in the same row differ significantly NS = Non-Significance (P>0.05), * = P<0.05, *** = 0.001

Mean values of milk yield and body weight gain of cows and calves The average milk yield of 180 days in 4 groups differed significantly (P<0.05). The highest milk yield was observed in T3 but the difference between T2 and T3 was negligible and the difference was not statistically significant (P>0.05). The lactation average was also increased linearly by the increasing level of UMB intake by cows. The body weight gain of cows up to 6th month of lactation was higher in supplemented cows when compared to unsupplemented cows. Body weight of calves up to 6th month of age was significantly (P<0.001) increased in the groups of calves of those dams receiving UMB with higher milk composition (Table 3). Cattle fattening before Eid-ul-Azha (Muslim festival) for beef production has become an important business for smallholder farmers. Of the calves born, very few one reared as bulls for natural breeding. Most of the male calves are therefore available for rearing as beef animal.

III. CONCLUSIONS

The addition of 0.50 kg/d/cow UMB supplement to the basal diets improved milk yield, milk composition and meat of cows and calves. Cattle fattening can also be used as a path out of poverty.

REFERENCES

[1] AOAC, (2003). Official Method of Analysis of AOAC International. 17th Edition, (edt. Horwitz, Revision 2, Volume 1 & 2, AOAC International, Suite 500, 481 North Frederick Avenue Gaithersburg, Maryland 20877-2417, USA.

[2] Hock, R. D. V., Muttetuwegama, G. S. and Sciere, J. B. (1988). Overcome the nutritional limitations of rice straw for ruminants. Urea ammonia treatment and supplementation with rice bran and glicirida for lactating Surti buffaloes., Asian Australasian Journal of Animal Science., 1(4): 201-208.

[3] Saadullah, M. (1991). The importance of urea molasses blocks and by-pass protein in animal production: the situation in Bangladesh. Isotope and related techniques in animal production, IAEA-SM-318/28 pp. 145-156.

[4] Saadullah, M., Sarkar, D.R.D., Haque, M. A. Samad, M. A. and Islam, S. (1994). Study on the effect of replacement of wheat bran by urea molasses block lick in straw base diet on the performance of draught cows. Bangladesh Journal of Animal Science, 23(1-2): 163-168.