PE8.24 Quality Changes of Fish Burgers Prepared from Deep Flounder (Pseudorhombus elevatus Ogilby, 1912) with and without Coating During Frozen Storage (-18°C) 251.00

<u>Maryam Mahmoudzadeh</u> (1) Mahmoudzadeh.fst85@gmail.com, Ramin Khaksar(1), Abbasali Motallebi 2, Hamed Ahmadi 1

(1)Faculty of Nutrition and Food Science

(2)Fishery Research Institute, Tehran, Iran

Abstract: In the present study, chemical, and sensory qualities of fish burgers prepared from deep flounder (Pseudorhombus elevatus Ogilby, 1912) with and without coating (Group A and Group B, respectively) or batter and breading materials were determined during frozen storage at -18°C for 5 months. According the statistical results, TVB-N of two groups increased significantly (p<0.05) but a significant decrease (p<0.05) was observed at the third month for Group A. TBA value of Group A decreased significantly (p<0.05) with the storage time, whereas a significant increase (p<0.05) was observed for Group B as storage time continued. There were significant differences of pH in either the Group A or Group B between the beginning and end of the storage periods (p<0.05). sensory parameters for two groups decreased (p<0.05) but Group B indicate better scores than Group A at the end of the storage period.

Key words: Deep flounder (Pseudorhombus elevatus Ogilby, 1912), Fish burger, Coating, Frozen storage, Quality

# I. INTRODUCTION

Fish burgers are one of the value added and acceptable fast food products in the world [1, 2] These products stored and sold in the frozen status [3]. During the frozen storage deterioration due to lipid oxidation and protein denaturation limit the storage time [4, 5]. Deep flounder as marine fish specie, distributed in the Persian gulf and the sea of Oman [6]. Utilization of less valuable fishes is a serious problem between iranian fisheries [7]. Studies in the production of fishery fast food products in Iran are developing newly. Thus the objective of this research was to produce fish burger from deep flounder with and without coating and evaluate chemical and sensory attributes during storage at -18°C for 5 months.

#### II. MATERIALS AND METHODS:

Formulation of fish burgers were composed of 80% deep flounder meat and and 20% other ingredients.

Produced fish burgers were packaged in bags and then stored at -18°C for 5 months. For production fish burgers without batter and breading materials (Group B) coating and frying stages were eliminated. For determination chemical analyses coating materials from Group A were removed. Thiobarbituric acid value (TBA, mg malonaldehyde/ kg) was determined by a distillation method [8]. pH value was measured et the end of every month too [9]. Total volatile base nitrogen (TVB-N, mg N/100g) were determined according to Safari and Yosefian (2006) [10]. Determination of moisture content were performerd according to ISO 1442 (1973) [11]. For Sensory evaluation, 11 experienced panelists have to scored for sensory parameters using a 8 point hedonic scale (1, dislike extremely to 8, like extremely) [12]. For statistical analysis independent sample t test and Mann- Whitney U using the SPSS 11.5 for windows were performed.

## III. RESULTS:

The pH value in the fish burgers of both Group A and Group B significantly increased but then decreased to 6.83 and 6.85 respectively (p<0.05) at the end of storage period (Table 1). Moisture content rather than initial of period increased for Group A (p<0.05) but indicate a decrease for Group B (p>0.05). The TVB-N value of both Group A and Group B significantly increased from 11.66 to 14.60 and 5.78 to 28.15 respectively (p<0.05). The TBA value for Group A decreased significantly (p<0.05) from 1.01 to 0.22 the end of the storage period, whereas for Group B significantly increased (p<0.05) from 0.15 to 0.81 at the end of the third month then decreased significantly to 0.62 the end of frozen storage (Table 1). Sensorial parameters of both Group A and Group B decreased significantly during frozen storage (p<0.05). Scores of Group A were lower than Group B at the end of the storage period (Table 2).

## IV. DISCUSSION:

Increasing the pH value could be caused by products such as ammonia and trimethylamine as a result of

decomposition, these compounds were produced by the endogenous enzymes of fish and bacterial spoilage [1, 13]. The increasing of TVB-N value at first months could be attributed to bacterial activity and endogenous enzymes of fish [1, 14]. Decreased or constant levels of TVB-N value may be result of CO2 content that have a bacteriostatic effect on aerobic microflora growth and were found that lactic acid bacteria are the final members of microbial flora irrespective of packaging conditions [15]so production of TVB-N could be limited. This hypothesis may be explained reduction at pH value. Group A indicated no moisture loss during storage due to presence of batter and breading materials. These materials were composed of polysaccharids and proteins, that these hydrophilic constituents indicate poor evaporation properties [16] Group A was pre-fried at 180°C during production processing, heating at 180°C could be encouraged or accelerated oxidation [17, 18]. So Group A had higher TBA value at the beginning of period. TBA value of Group A decreased as storage time continued, this may be result of interaction of decomposition products of proteins with malonaldehyde and formation of tertiary products [19]. Precooked meats are susceptible to lipid oxidation, and leads to formation of rancid or stale flavor, denoted as warmed-over flavor (WOF), during frozen storage [16]) so Group A because of pre-frying and high amount of lipid, quickly lost its sensory attributes than Group B. also after frozen storage, the fish muscle lost its natural structure due to denaturation of myofibrillar proteins [4].

#### V. CONCLUSION

Group B had better quality characteristics rather than Group A at the end of 5th month. This conclusion were not supported by the results of chemical analysis. The suggested maximal holding time for deep flounder fish burgers is not based on the chemical analysis but on sensory factors such as texture, taste and colour of this food. This study showed that batter and breading materials have no effect on rising of shelf life at deep flounder fish burgers.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT:

The authors are grateful to Food Science Faculty of Shahid Beheshti Medical science University of Iran for financial and laboratory supports.

## **REFRENCES:**

[1] Chomnawang C, Nantachai K, Yongsawatdigul J, Thawornchinsombut S, Tungkawachara S. Chemical and biochemical changes of hybrid catfish fillet stored at 4°C and its gel properties. Food Chemistry. 2007;103:420–7.

[2] Taþkaya L, Çakli Þ, Kiþla D, Kilinç B. Quality Changes of Fish Burger from Rainbow Trout During Refrigerated Storage. EU Journal of Fisheries & Aquatic Sciences. 2003;20(1-2):147-54.

[3] Tokur B, Ozkutuk S, Atici E, Ozyurt G, Ozyurt CE. Chemical and sensory quality changes of fish fingers,made from mirror carp (Cyprinus carpio L., 1758),during frozen storage (-18°C). Food Chemistry. 2006;99:335-41.

[4] Tokur B, Çaklý Þ, Polat A. The Quality Changes of Trout (Oncorhynchus mykiss W., 1792) with a Vegetable Topping During Frozen Storage (-18°C). EU Journal of Fisheries & Aquatic Sciences. 2006;23((3-4)):345–50.

[5] Aubourg SP. Lipid damage detection during the frozen storage of an underutilized fish species. Food Research International. 1999;32:497-502.

[6] Nielsen JG. Pseudorhombus elevatus 1984.

[7] Zareh Gashti G. Estimation of microbiological and chemical variations in minced fish processing of Atlantic pollock (Pollachius vireos): The united nations university; 2002.

[8] Pearson D. The Chemical Analysis of Foods. 8th ed. London 1981:537.

[9] AOAC (2005) (18th ed) Association of Official Analytical Chemists. Gaithersburg, Maryland, USA: AOAC International.

[10] Safari R, Yosefian M. Changes in TVN (Total Volatile Nitrogen) and psycrotrophic bacteria in Persian sturgeon Caviar (Acipenser persicus) during processing and cold storage. Journal of Applied Ichthyology. 2006;22:416-8.

[11] ISO 1442 (1997) Determination of Moisture Content. International Organization for Standardization. 1978.

[12] Khalil AH. Quality characteristics of low-fat beef patties formulated with modified corn starch and water. Food Chemistry. 2000;68:61-8.

[13] Ozyurt G, Polat A, Tokur B. Chemical and sensory changes in frozen (-18°C) wild sea bass (Dicentrarchus labrax) captured at different fishing seasons. International Journal of Food Science and Technology. 2007;42:887–93.

[14] Vareltzis K, Koufidis D, Gavriilidou E, Papavergou E, Vasiliadou S. Effectiveness of a natural Rosemary (Rosmarinus officinalis) extract on the stability of filleted and minced fish during frozen storage. European Food Research And Technology. 1997;205:93-6.

[15] Pantazi D, Papavergou A, Pournis N, Kontominas MG, Savvaidis IN. Shelf-life of chilled fresh Mediterranean swordfish (Xiphias gladius) stored under various packaging conditions: Microbiological, biochemical and sensory attributes. Food Microbiology. 2008;25:136–43.

[16] Biswas AK, Keshri RC, Bisht GS. Effect of enrobing and antioxidants on quality characteristics of precooked pork patties under chilled and frozen storage conditions. Meat Science. 2004;66:733-41.

[17] Fernandez J, Perez-Alvarez JA, Fernandez-Lopez JA. Thiobarbituric acid test for monitoring lipid oxidation in meat. Food Chemistry. 1997;59(3):345-53. [18] Brewer MS. What is warmed-over flavor? American Meat Science Association Fact Sheet.

[19] Hernández-Herrero MM, Roig-Sagués AX, López-Sabater EI, Rodríguez-Jerez JJ, Mora-Ventura MT. Total Volatile Basic Nitrogen and other Physicochemical and Microbiological Characteristics as Related to Ripening of Salted Anchovies. JOURNAL OF FOOD SCIENCE. 1999;64(2):344-7.

Table 1. pH, moisture (%), TVB-N (mg N/100g) and TBA (mg malonaldehyde/kg) in Group A and Group B during frozen storage (-18°C).<sup>1,2,3</sup>

Analysis Group months of storage											
) 010		0	1	2	U	3	4	5			
pН	А	6.53±0.05 <sup>a</sup>	$6.78 \pm 0.02^{b}$	7.03±0.05 <sup>c*</sup>	6.93±0.02 <sup>c</sup>	$6.80 \pm 0.00^{b}$	6.83±0.02 <sup>bc</sup>				
•	В	6.56±0.05 <sup>a</sup>	$6.80{\pm}0.05^{ab}$	6.90±0.05 <sup>bc</sup>	6.93±0.02°	$6.86 \pm 0.02^{bc}$	$6.85 \pm 0.00^{b}$				
Moist	ır A	65.58±0.46 <sup>ab*</sup>	66.74±0.27 ac*	65.86±0.14 ab*	66.23±0.18 <sup>bd*</sup>	66.92±0.1 <sup>c*</sup>	66.86±0.25 <sup>dc</sup>				
	В	$68.18\pm0.44^{a}$	$67.23 \pm 0.06^{a}$	$67.62 \pm 0.47^{a}$	$67.11 \pm 0.37^{a}$	$67.92 \pm 0.28^{a}$	67.32±0.21 <sup>a</sup>				
TVB-1	N A	11.66±0.98 <sup>a*</sup>	16.88±0.56 <sup>bd*</sup>	20.97±0.49°	15.25±0.56 <sup>b*</sup>		$14.60\pm0.49^{b^*}$				
	В	$5.78 \pm 0.00^{a}$	15.25±0.56 <sup>b</sup>	20.31±1.41 <sup>c</sup>	26.85±1.47 <sup>cd</sup>	$28.81 \pm 0.00^{d}$	28.15±1.49 <sup>d</sup>				
TBA	Α	$1.01\pm0.00^{a^*}$		$0.72 \pm 0.02^{b}$	* 0.35±0.00°	* 0.27±0.00 <sup>de</sup>	$0.22 \pm 0.02^{e^*}$				
	В	$0.15 \pm 0.03^{a}$	$0.56 \pm 0.01^{bd}$	$0.62 \pm 0.02^{be}$	$0.81{\pm}0.00^{\circ}$	$0.50\pm0.01^{d}$	$0.62 \pm 0.02^{e}$				

<sup>1</sup> Data are expressed as means $\pm$  standard deviation (n=3).

<sup>2</sup> Means within the same column shown in \* are satisfactory different at p<0.05.

<sup>3</sup> Means within the same row having different superscripts are significantly different at p<0.05.

Table 2. Changes in sensory attributes of fish burgers (Group A and Group B) during storage period at -18°C.<sup>1,2,3</sup>

	Group		mor	ths of storage					
		0	1	2	3	4	5		
Colour	А	$7.18 \pm 0.40^{a^*}$	$7.54 \pm 0.52^{b^*}$	5.27±0.64 <sup>c*</sup>	5.18±0.6 <sup>c*</sup>	$4.27 \pm 0.78^{d}$	3.18±0.87 <sup>e</sup>		
	В	$6.36{\pm}0.50^{a}$	6.45±1.29 <sup>a</sup>	$4.00 \pm 1.26^{bc}$	3.90±1.37 <sup>bc</sup>	$4.63 \pm 0.80^{b}$	$3.72 \pm 0.78^{\circ}$		
Texture	А	7.72±0.46 <sup>a*</sup>	7.63±0.50 <sup>a*</sup>	6.18±0.98 <sup>b*</sup>	6.27±1.00 <sup>b*</sup>	4.09±0.70 <sup>c*</sup>	3.27±0.64 <sup>d*</sup>		
	В	6.90±0.94 <sup>a</sup>	$6.36 \pm 0.50^{a}$	$5.09 \pm 0.94^{b}$	$5.18 \pm 0.87^{b}$	$4.90 \pm 0.30^{b}$	4.09±0.94 <sup>c</sup>		
Taste	А	7.27±0.90 <sup>a</sup>	7.63±0.50 <sup>a</sup>	$5.54 \pm 0.68^{b^*}$	5.45±0.68 <sup>b*</sup>	4.09±0.30 <sup>c*</sup>	3.18±0.75 <sup>d*</sup>		
	В	$6.81 \pm 1.25^{a}$	6.90±1.51 <sup>a</sup>	$4.27 \pm 0.90^{b}$	$4.00 \pm 1.09^{b}$	$4.90 \pm 0.30^{\circ}$	$4.18 \pm 0.87^{b}$		
General	А	7.72±0.46 <sup>a*</sup>	$8.00{\pm}0.00^{a^*}$	5.63±0.92 <sup>b</sup>	5.54±0.93	<sup>b</sup> 3.72±0.64 <sup>c</sup>	* 3.00±0.77	<sup>7d*</sup> Acceptability	В
6.72±0.78ª	6.72	$2\pm 0.78^{a}$ 4.	90±1.04 <sup>b</sup>	$4.63 \pm 1.12^{b}$	$4.63 \pm 0.80^{b}$	$4.18 \pm 1.07^{b}$			

<sup>1</sup> Data are expressed as means $\pm$  standard deviation (n=11).

<sup>2</sup> Means within the same column shown in \* are satisfactory different at p<0.05.

 $^{3}$  Means within the same row having different superscripts are significantly different at p<0.05.