### Development of Analytical Methods for multi-residue screening of Penicillins

in bovine muscle, chiken and milk

Ji Young Song, Soo Jung Hu, Hyunjin Joo, Joung Boon Hwang, Mi Ok Kim, Shin Jung Kang, and Dae Hyun Cho\*.

Hazardous Substances Analysis Division, Gyeoin Regional Food and Drug Administration, Incheon, South Korea.

\* Correspondence(phone: +82-32-450-3251; fax: +82-32-429-3388; e-mail: dhcho5@korea.kr)

Abstract— Multi-residue analysis method for penicillins was developed and validated in bovine muscle. chicken, and milk. Detection was based on liquid chromatography tandem mass spectrometry (LC/MS/MS). The developed method was validated for specificity, precision, recovery, and linearity. The analytes were extracted with 80% acetonitrile and cleanup by a single reversed-phase solid-phase extraction step. Six penicillins presented recoveries higher than 76% with the exception of Amoxicillin (59.7%). Relative standard deviations (RSDs) were not more than 10%. LOQs values ranged from 0.1 and to 4.5 ug/kg. The method was applied to 30 real samples. Benzylpenicillin was detected in 12 samples and Cloxacillin was detected in 7 samples. Oxacillin was detected in 2 samples. But the detected levels were under the MRL levels for penicillins in samples.

*Keywords*— livestock product, LC/MS/MS, Multiresidue analysis, Penicillins.

## I. INTRODUCTION

Penicillins are widely used in veterinary medicine for preventing and treating bacterial infections and feed additives because of their economical advantages [1-3]. Improper use of penicillins may lead to residue problem in livestock and marine products and cause a serious health hazard [4-6]. Therefore, maximum residue limits (MRLs) have been established to provide safe products for consumers through quantification of these residues in livestock and marine products.

Generally, the most of current methods for the measurement of penicillins residue are complicated,

time consuming and cannot be used to analyze multiresidue [1]. This study has been undertaken to determinate multi-residues of penicillins, including amoxicillin, ampicillin, oxacillin, bezylpenicillin (Penicillin G), cloxacillin, dicloxacillin, and nafcillin (Figure 1), using liquid chromatographic tandem mass spectrometry (LC/MS/MS).




Figure 1. Penicillin antibiotics

### **II. MATERIALS AND METHODS**

### A. Chemicals and Materials

Amoxicillin (trihydrate), Ampicillin (trihydrate), Cloxacillin (sodium salt), Dicloxacillin (sodium monohydrate salt) and Oxacillin (sodium monohydrate salt) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St.Louis, MO, USA). Benzylpenicilln (Penicillin G, potassium salt) was purchased from Wako Pure Chemical Industries Inc. (Osaka, Japan) Nafcillin (sodium monohydrate salt) was purchased from Dr. Ehrenstofer GmbH (Augsburg, Germany). Disodium hydrogen phosphate (Na2HPO4), potassium dihydrogen phosphate (KH2PO4) and formic acid were obtained from Wako Pure Chemical Industries Inc. (Osaka, Japan). Tricloroacietic acid was obtained from Merck (Darmstasdt, Germany). And, all reagents were LC grade. The solid phase extraction (SPE) catridge used in this study was Oasis HLB (500mg, 6ml) obtained from Waters.

### **B.** Instrument

Chromatographic analysis was performed using a Agilent 1200 LC system (Santa Clara, CA, USA) equipped with an auto sampler. Mass spectrectrometry was performed on API 4000 (Appied Biosystem, USA) triple quardrupole mass spectrometer in positive electrospray ionisation (ESI+) mode.

## C. Experimental Procedure

### C.1. Sample preparation

The validation was performed with some foods such as bovine muscle, chicken muscles, milk and flatfish as major matrices. The samples were homogenized and stored at  $-20^{\circ}$ C until analysis. The steps of sample preparation are presented in Figure 2.




Figure 2. Flow chart for extraction of analytes

# *C.1. Optimization of the chromatographic Condition and the MS conditions.*

Mobile phase A was distilled water containing 0.1% formic acid and10mM ammonium formate. Mobile phase B was methanol. The flow rate was set at 0.2ml/min and the injection volumn was 10ul. The total run time for each injection was 15min. The gradient conditions were as follows, base on time(*t*) set at the pump : t=0.0-2.0 min, hold 20% B ; t=2.0-2.1 min, ramp linearly to 100% B ; t=2.1-12.0 min, hold 100% B ; t=12.5-15.0 min, hold 20% B to re-equilibrate of the column. The mass spectrometer was operated in the positive ion mode. The capillary temperature was set to 350 °C and the ion spray voltage to 5.5kV. The mass spectrometric parameters are summarized in Table 1.

# **III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS**

The developed method was validated for specificity, precision, recovery and linearity in bovine muscle, chicken muscle, milk, and flatfish.

### A. Linearity and Calibration Curve.

The linearity of the method was evaluated at five different concentration levels. The range of the curve was from 0.075 to 0.125 ug/ml. The calibration curves for all analytes are linear in given range with a correlation of 0.999. (Table 2)

Table 2. Calibration curve of each analyte together with	
their correlation coefficient.	

A nalyte	Calibration curve	r
Ampicillin	Y = 5220x + 3010	0.9999
Amoxicillin	Y = 26000x - 47000	0.9995
Benzylpenicillin	Y = 79900x - 90000	0.9999
Cloxacillin	Y = 51100x - 11700	0.9999
Dicloxacillin	Y = 43200x + 33100	1.0000
Nafcillin	Y = 198000x + 261000	0.9995
Oxacillin	Y = 69900x + 25900	1.0000

# B. Limit of quantification (LOQ)

To estimate LOQ, samples were spiked with 0.01ug/ml. Limit of quantification (LOQ) was calculated at a signal to noise ratio of 10. LOQs values ranged from 0.1 and to 4.5 ug/kg. The results for LOQs are shown in table 3.

### C. Recovery study

Samples were spiked with the MRL concentration of amoxicillin, ampicillin, oxacillin, bezylpenicillin, cloxacillin, dicloxacillin, and nafcillin. Six penicillins presented recoveries higher than 76% with the exception of Amoxicillin and Ampicillin (Table 4). Relative standard deviations (RSDs) were not more than 10%.

## **IV. CONCLSIONS**

A method for multi-residue analysis of penicillins has been developed and validated in bovine muscle, chicken, flatfish, and milk by liquid chromatography tandem mass spectrometry (LC/MS/MS).

The method was validated for penicillins in the samples, including linearity, precision, specificity, LOQ, and recovery, and good results was obtained. The obtained results proved the usefulness of the method as applied in the field of residue analysis.

### REFERENCES

- J.O. Boison, in; H. Oka, H. Nakazawa, K.I. Harada, J.D. Macneil (Eds.), Chemical Analysis for Antibiotics Used in Agriculture, AOAC International, Arlington, VA, 1995, pp. 235-306, Chapter 8.
- H. Oka, J. Patterson, in: H. Oka, H. Nakazawa, K.I. Harada, J.D. Macneil (Eds.), Chemical Analysis for Antibiotics Used in Agriculture, AOAC International, Arlington, VA, 1995, pp. 333-406, Chapter 10.
- 3. T. Goto, Y. Ito, S. Yamada, H. Matsumoto, H. Oka, Journal of Chromatography A, 1100 (2005) 193-199.
- M. Becker, E. Zittlau, M. Petz, Anal. Chim. Acta 520(2004) 192.
- 5. C,K. Fagerquist, A.R. Lightfield, Rapid Commun. Mass Spectrum. 17 (2003) 660.
- 6. S.H. Hsieh, A.Y. Huang, S. Lee, Journal of Chromatography A, 1216 (2009)7186-7194.

Analyte	Parent ion	Cone Voltage	Transition 1	Collision energy	Transition 2	Collision energy
Ampicillin	350	56	106	25	160	17
Amoxicillin	366	46	114	25	208	19
Benzylpenicillin	335	66	160	15	176	17
Cloxacillin	436	66	277	19	160	19
Dicloxacillin	470	76	160	19	311	21
Nafcillin	415	46	199	19	256	19
Oxacillin	402	66	160	17	243	17

Table 1. The MRM transitions and parameters

		flatfish, and milk

Analyte	Bovine muscle	Chicken	Flatfish	Milk
Amoxicillin	3.13	4.55	2.60	1.65
Ampicillin	0.21	0.41	1.57	0.18
Benzylpenicillin	0.09	0.09	0.32	0.63
Cloxacillin	0.14	0.27	0.46	0.27
Dicloxacillin	0.34	0.17	0.18	0.16

Nafcillin	0.15	0.21	0.24	0.23
Oxacillin	0.29	0.45	0.44	0.54

Analyte	Sample	Fortified Conc. (mg/kg)	Recovery (%)	CV (%)
	Bovine muscle	0.05	59.66	9.2
Amoxicillin	Chicken	0.05	59.93	1.5
Amoxiciiiii	Flatfish	0.05	61.25	2.6
	Milk	0.004	77.96	10.4
	Bovine muscle	0.05	112.48	3.1
Amminillin	Chicken	0.05	61.82	1.3
Ampicillin	Flatfish	0.05	90.87	5.4
	Milk	0.004	88.00	7.3
	Bovine muscle	0.05	92.03	6.4
Benzylpenicillin	Chicken	0.05	102.61	2.7
Benzyipeniciiin	Flatfish	0.05	78.39	6.1
	Milk	0.004	106.33	5.2
	Bovine muscle	0.3	86.91	6.4
Cloxacillin	Chicken	0.3	84.82	2.6
Cioxaciiiii	Flatfish	0.3	85.50	4.0
	Milk	0.03	90.56	6.0
	Bovine muscle	0.3	79.62	5.5
Dicloxacillin	Chicken	0.3	76.26	3.1
Dicioxaciiiii	Flatfish	0.3	81.28	1.7
	Milk	0.03	81.67	6.8
	Bovine muscle	0.3	81.19	5.9
Nafcillin	Chicken	0.3	76.02	3.4
Narcillin	Flatfish	0.3	103.20	2.4
	Milk	0.03	89.44	4.2
	Bovine muscle	0.3	89.37	7.1
Oxacillin	Chicken	0.3	87.08	3.5
Oxacillin	Flatfish	0.3	89.59	3.9
	Milk	0.03	89.72	6.0

Table 4. Recovery of penicillins in bovine muscle, chicken, milk, and flatfish