# EVALUATION OF OLEORESIN PAPRIKA SOLUTION ON PRODUCT QUALITY OF EMULSIFIED-SAUSAGES DURING REFRIGERATED STORAGE

Geon H. Kim<sup>\*</sup>, Ha E. Kim, Sang. Yoon and Koo B. Chin

Department of Animal Science, Chonnam National University, Gwangju, 61186, South Korea \*Corresponding author email: kbchin@chonnam.ac.kr

### I. INTRODUCTION

Sodium nitrite (NaNO<sub>2</sub>) is a very important ingredient of cured meat products, because it inhibited the growth of microorganisms and developed color of meat products [1]. But, it can react with second amines to produce N-nitrosamine which was related to the cause of cancers. Oleoresin paprika (OP) has been extensively used in the food industry as coloring agent for various foods [2]. OP is a lipophilic matrix obtained by processing paprika (*Capsicum annuum var. angulosum*) fruits and is mainly composed of glycerides, liposoluble polyphenolic antioxidants and carotenoid pigments. It contains a high amount of carotenoids, which represent the pigment of paprika [3]. Thus, the objective of this study was to evaluate the quality characteristics, especially such as color, of emulsified-sausage (ES) containing OP to replace partially with NaNO<sub>2</sub>.

## II. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The pork hams and backfat were purchased from local meat market. They were stored at 4°C before manufacturing ES. Trimmed meat was mixed with additives by hood mixer. And then, meat batter cooked at 75°C in a water bath after stuffing on the conical tube. Cooked samples were stored at 4°C after rapidly chilling in an ice. emulsified-sausages were prepared with different combinations of NaNO<sub>2</sub> and OP solution (5% OP+95% sunflower seed oil). And, then the sausages were prepared with 4 treatments (REF-150 ppm NaNO<sub>2</sub>; TRT1-0.1 % OP solution + No NaNO<sub>2</sub>; TRT2-0.1 % OP solution+37.5 ppm NaNO<sub>2</sub>; 0.1% OP solution+ TRT3-75 ppm NaNO<sub>2</sub>). Color values (CIE, L\*, a\*, b\*), pH, expressible moisture (EM, %), Thiobarbituric acid reactive substances (TBARS, lipid oxidation determination), residual nitrite and microbiological counts (TPC, VRB) were measured to evaluate ES during the storage at refrigerator ( $10^{\circ}C+2^{\circ}C$ ) for 35 days. The whole experiment replicated two times and two-way ANOVA was performed using SPSS 21.0 software as a factor of treatment and storage time.

# III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Table 1 shows the pH, CIE L\*, a\*, b\*, EM and microbiological counts of ES with OP solution. Although TRT2 and TRT3 had lower concentration of NaNO<sub>2</sub> than REF, redness(a\*) and yellowness (b\*) values of those were higher than REF (p<0.05). Although TRT1 had higher EM values than others (p<0.05), those of TRT2 and TRT3 were lower than REF (p<0.05). No differences among REF, TRT2 and TRT3 in microbiological analysis were observed (p>0.05), even though *Enterobacteriaceae* counts (CFU/g) of TRT1 were higher than those of other treatments during storage (p<0.05).

Table 1 pH, CIE values, expressible moisture and microbiological counts of pork emulsified-sausage with oleoresin paprika solution

	Parameters						
Treatments	pН	CIE L*	CIE a*	CIE b*	EM <sup>1)</sup>	TPC <sup>2)</sup>	VRB <sup>3)</sup>
REF	6.09±0.07 <sup>a</sup>	73.1±0.36 <sup>b</sup>	11.0±0.35 <sup>c</sup>	6.24±0.89 <sup>d</sup>	18.8±0.77 <sup>b</sup>	2.01±2.12 <sup>b</sup>	<2 <sup>b</sup>
TRT1	6.08±0.09 <sup>a</sup>	74.3±0.98 <sup>a</sup>	6.42±0.38 <sup>d</sup>	9.81±0.55 <sup>a</sup>	19.0±1.13 <sup>a</sup>	2.54±2.31ª	2.04±2.15 <sup>a</sup>
TRT2	6.12±0.07 <sup>a</sup>	73.0±0.27 <sup>bc</sup>	12.2±0.21 <sup>b</sup>	7.59±0.23 <sup>c</sup>	18.5±0.96°	2.28±2.11 <sup>ab</sup>	<2 <sup>b</sup>
TRT2	6.12±0.07 <sup>a</sup>	72.7±0.36 <sup>c</sup>	12.7±0.26 <sup>a</sup>	7.97±0.31 <sup>b</sup>	18.5±0.88 <sup>c</sup>	2.21±2.07 <sup>ab</sup>	<2 <sup>b</sup>

Day							
0	6.08±0.08 <sup>a</sup>	73.8±1.04 <sup>a</sup>	10.5±2.18 <sup>a</sup>	7.74±1.56 <sup>a</sup>	17.7±0.18 <sup>f</sup>	<2 <sup>d</sup>	<2 <sup>d</sup>
3	6.11±0.09 <sup>a</sup>	73.9±1.36 <sup>a</sup>	10.2±2.42 <sup>a</sup>	7.80±1.48 <sup>a</sup>	18.0±0.39 <sup>e</sup>	<2 <sup>d</sup>	<2 <sup>d</sup>
7	6.10±0.08 <sup>a</sup>	73.9±1.36 <sup>a</sup>	10.3±2.57 <sup>a</sup>	7.79±1.55 <sup>a</sup>	18.0±0.33 <sup>e</sup>	<2 <sup>d</sup>	<2 <sup>d</sup>
14	6.05±0.12 <sup>a</sup>	74.1±1.06 <sup>a</sup>	10.4±2.39 <sup>a</sup>	7.87±1.40 <sup>a</sup>	18.5±0.37 <sup>d</sup>	2.30±1.40 <sup>c</sup>	<2 <sup>d</sup>
21	6.03±0.06 <sup>a</sup>	73.8±1.28 <sup>a</sup>	10.3±2.34 <sup>a</sup>	7.72±1.25 <sup>a</sup>	19.2±0.42 <sup>c</sup>	3.90±0.24 <sup>b</sup>	3.31±0.32 <sup>c</sup>
28	6.06±0.06 <sup>a</sup>	73.9±1.12 <sup>a</sup>	10.3±2.32 <sup>a</sup>	7.95±1.10 <sup>a</sup>	15.5±0.38 <sup>b</sup>	4.31±0.27 <sup>ab</sup>	3.78±0.37 <sup>b</sup>
35	6.05±0.06 <sup>a</sup>	74.1±1.41 <sup>a</sup>	10.3 <b>±</b> 2.44 <sup>a</sup>	7.69±1.21 <sup>a</sup>	20.1±0.39 <sup>a</sup>	4.61±0.32 <sup>a</sup>	4.17±0.48 <sup>a</sup>

<sup>1)</sup> Expressible moisture

<sup>2)</sup> Total plate counts

<sup>3)</sup> Enterobacteriaceae counts

a,b,c,d Means with different superscript in the same row significantly differ with p<0.05

TBARS values of REF, TRT2 and TRT3 were not different until the 14 days of storage (p>0.05), however, those of REF were lower than those of TRT2 and TRT3 (p<0.05). TRT1 was the highest TBARS values among the treatments over the refrigerated storage (p<0.05)

Table 2 The TBARS (mg MDA/kg) of	of pork emulsified-sausage	with oleoresin paprika solution
	of point official buddugo	

_	Storage time (days)						
Treatments	0	3	7	14	21	28	35
REF	0.09±0.00 <sup>Ca</sup>	0.18±0.07 <sup>Ba</sup>	0.20±0.05 <sup>Ba</sup>	0.24±0.01 <sup>Ba</sup>	0.26±0.01 <sup>Ca</sup>	0.29±0.00 <sup>Da</sup>	0.28±0.00 <sup>Ca</sup>
TRT1	0.18±0.00 <sup>Ac</sup>	0.43±0.02 Ab	0.59±0.23 <sup>Ab</sup>	0.78±0.06 <sup>Aab</sup>	0.83±0.02 <sup>Aa</sup>	0.85±0.00 <sup>Aa</sup>	0.85±0.00 <sup>Aa</sup>
TRT2	0.11±0.00 <sup>Bc</sup>	0.22±0.10 <sup>ABbc</sup>	0.24±0.11 <sup>ABabc</sup>	0.22±0.01 <sup>Bab</sup>	0.36±0.03 <sup>Bab</sup>	0.38±0.00 <sup>Ba</sup>	0.37±0.00 <sup>Ba</sup>
TRT2	0.09±0.01 <sup>Cc</sup>	0.15±0.07 <sup>Bbc</sup>	0.20±0.02 <sup>Babc</sup>	$0.24 \pm 0.03^{Bab}$	0.31±0.06 <sup>BCab</sup>	$0.36 \pm 0.00^{Ca}$	$0.37 \pm 0.00^{Ba}$

<sup>A,B,C,D</sup> Means with different superscript uppercase letters in the same column significantly differ with p<0.05 <sup>a,b,c,d</sup> Means with different superscript lowercase letter in the same row significantly differ with p<0.05

Residual nitrite levels were in the decreasing order of REF, TRT3, TRT2 and TRT1 (*p*<0.05), which were proportional to the amount of added level of nitrite.

Table 3 Residual nitrite (ppm)	) of pork emulsified-sausage	with oleoresin paprika solution
rubie e rubidudi minite (ppm)	, el perit errialemed eddedge	

Treatments	Storage time (days)						
	0	3	7	14	21	28	35
REF	20.8±0.99 <sup>Aa</sup>	17.7±0.00 <sup>Ab</sup>	15.0±0.35 <sup>Ac</sup>	9.54±0.15 <sup>Ad</sup>	8.66±0.08 <sup>Ad</sup>	6.80±0.09 <sup>Ae</sup>	4.87±0.12 <sup>Af</sup>
TRT1	0.00 ±0.00 <sup>Da</sup>	0.00±0.00 <sup>Da</sup>	0.00±0.00 <sup>Da</sup>	0.00±0.00 <sup>Da</sup>	0.00±0.00 <sup>Da</sup>	0.00±0.00 <sup>Da</sup>	0.00±0.00 <sup>Da</sup>
TRT2	6.83±0.28 <sup>Ca</sup>	5.38±1.77 <sup>Cab</sup>	3.98±0.21 <sup>Cbc</sup>	2.95±0.91 <sup>Ccd</sup>	1.57±0.37 <sup>Cde</sup>	0.76±0.25 <sup>Ce</sup>	0.57±0.01 <sup>Ce</sup>
TRT2	9.41±0.11 <sup>Ba</sup>	8.92±0.00 <sup>Ba</sup>	7.19±0.09 <sup>Bb</sup>	4.81±0.08 <sup>Bc</sup>	4.18±0.19 <sup>Bc</sup>	2.84±0.40 <sup>Bd</sup>	1.98±0.12 <sup>Be</sup>

<sup>A,B,C,D</sup> Means with different superscript uppercase letters in the same column significantly differ with p<0.05 <sup>a,b,c,d</sup> Means with different superscript lowercase letter in the same row significantly differ with p<0.05

### IV. CONCLUSION

In conclusion, 0.1 % OP solution in combined with 37.5 ppm NaNO<sub>2</sub> would be similar quality characteristics to those of REF(150 ppm), and therefore, approximately 3/4 of initial nitrite level could be replaced with 0.1% OP solution. These results could be applicable for the meat industry to reduce the nitrite.

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This study was financially supported by Rural Development Administration (RDA Project # PJ01380902).

### REFERENCES

1. Honikel, K. O. (2007). The use and control of nitrate and nitrite for the processing of meat products. Meat Science 78: 68-76

- Yusop, S. M., O'Sullivan, M. G., Preuß, M., Weber, H., Kerry, J. F., & Kerry, J. P. (2012). Assessment of nanoparticle paprika oleoresin on marinating performance and sensory acceptance of poultry meat. LWT-Food Science and Technology 46: 349-355.
- 3. Mínguez-Mosquera, M. I., and Pérez-Gálvez, A. (1998). Color quality in paprika oleoresins. Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry 46: 5124-5127.