## Convolutional neural networks for the prediction of cattle age using x-ray images of skulls

<u>José Segura</u><sup>1</sup>, Jennifer L. Aalhus<sup>1</sup>, Ivy L. Larsen<sup>1</sup>, Nuria Prieto<sup>1</sup>, Haley Scott<sup>1</sup>, Arun Kommadath<sup>1</sup>, Manuel Juárez<sup>1</sup>, Ken Vanderwekken<sup>2</sup>, Óscar López-Campos<sup>1</sup>

<sup>2</sup> Stone Willow Veterinary Service Ltd, RR 4 Site 5 Box 44, Lacombe, Alberta, T4L 2N4, Lacombe, Canada

**Introduction:** Cattle dentition evaluation is commonly used as an age indicator when birth records are not available. The visual method uses eruption and attrition of incisors [1] to segregate carcasses under (UTM) from over (OTM) 30 mo of age. The ability to recognize and classify image patterns using deep learning algorithms, in particular convolutional neural networks (CNN), is becoming a methodology of choice for analyzing images [2].The present study aimed to evaluate the potential of using x-ray images of beef skulls and CNN to classify carcasses as either UTM or OTM of age.

**Material and methods:** X-ray images were collected from 139 skulls from animals of known birthdates within a wide range of ages and sex: youthful animals (UTM, heifers and steers n=115, 13.7-24.8 mo) and mature animals (OTM, cows, n=24, 33.6-153.6 mo). Dorso-ventral mandibular x-ray scans were taken using a high quality digital hand held mobile x-ray system (XPrime. Vet 20-BT, POSKOM, Korea). Images were pre-processed for the development and training of CNN models. A data augmentation procedure was applied to improve model generalization capabilities, and a loss function class weight setting was used to avoid having the algorithm default to the class with higher proportion of observations. The best two CNN models were validated using an independent population of 84 skulls (12 OTM and 72 UTM).

**Results:** In a preliminary screening of CNN model architectures [3], 10 model architectures were generated by adding/modifying building blocks to create new models and train them from scratch, and/or use Transfer Learning [4]. Two of the models evaluated showed potential in terms of training and external test performance. A maximum of 5 and 7 blocks of trainable convolutional layers were set for models 1 and 2, respectively. In both models, a number of dense, batch Normalization, activation and dropout layers (top layers) were added for classification. At the end of the sequence of layers, a final dense layer was also added (output layer). The number of trainable, top layers and hyperparameters were tuned to find the best model architecture and configuration using an optimization method. Model 1 was based on Transfer Learning using the VGG16 model, and pre-trained on the Imagenet [4]. This model was 94% accurate for prediction of the training set classes and 100% accurate for classifying OTM and UTM animals from the test set. Model 2 was based on several basic naïve models [5] and reached an accuracy of 88% for the training set and 93% for the test set.

**Conclusion:** The results of this exploratory study suggest that carcass age segregation (OTM/ UTM) is feasible using CNN procedures on x-ray images from beef skulls. The present study may be improved by providing a larger training set, particularly increasing the number of carcasses from animals close to the 30 mo of age break point, and by optimizing hyperparameters of the model (e.g. learning rate, batch size, or number of iterations). Similarly, changing the number of hidden layers and the types of layers (e.g. convolutional, pooling and fully connected) may improve the prediction accuracy.

**Acknowledgements and Financial support statement:** The authors gratefully acknowledge funding support from Beef Cattle Research Council (project number BQU.09.18) and the in-kind contribution of animals, facilities and people received from Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada (AAFC), Lacombe Research and Development Centre, AB, Canada. Dr. Jose Segura Plaza gratefully acknowledges the support from the Canada's Sustainable Beef and Forage Science Cluster, through funding provided by the Canadian Cattlemen's Association and Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada. The authors express their gratitude to the AAFC-Lacombe Beef Unit and Meat Centre staff for animal care and management, animal slaughter and carcass fabrication, and technical collection and compilation of the research data. In addition, the authors also gratefully acknowledge the technical support from Pikana Consulting Inc. on the performance of the neural network analyses.

## Literature:

- [1] Liakos, K. G., Busato, P., Moshou, D., Pearson, S., & Bochtis, D. (2018). Machine learning in agriculture: A review. Sensors (Switzerland), 18(8). doi:10.3390/s18082674
- [2] Litjens, G., Kooi, T., Bejnordi, B. E., Setio, A., A., A., Ciompi, F., Ghafoorian, M., van der Laak, J. A. W. M., van Ginneken, B., & Sánchez, C. I. (2017). A survey on deep learning in medical image analysis. Medical Image Analysis, 42, 60-68. doi: 10.1016/j.media.2017.07.005
- [3] Keras documentation 2020. Models for image classification with weights trained on ImageNet. On-line access: keras.io/ applications/ (last access March 19, 2021)
- [4] Transfer Learning 2020. On-line access: cs231n.github.io/transfer-learning (last access March 19, 2021]
- [5] Basic "Vanilla" Models 2020. On-line access: github.com/ishay2b/VanillaCNN/blob/master/python/VanillaNoteBook.ipynb talhassner.github.io/home/publication/2017\_TPAMI\_2 gist.github.com/ishay2b/58248e5f3c3bf575ac40

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada, Lacombe Research & Development Centre, 6000 C&E Trail, Lacombe, Alberta, T4L 1W1, Lacombe, Canada