# Microorganisms isolated from fresh meats and meat products sold for consumption – detection of virulence and antimicrobial resistance genes

J. Paiva<sup>1</sup>, V. Silva<sup>1-7</sup>, P. Poeta<sup>1-2,4-7</sup>, C. Saraiva<sup>,6-7\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Veterinary Sciences, University of Trás-os-Montes e Alto Douro (UTAD), Vila Real, Portugal.

<sup>2</sup> Microbiology and Antibiotic Resistance Team (MicroART), Department of Veterinary Sciences, University of Trás-os-Montes and Alto Douro (UTAD), Vila Real, Portugal

<sup>3</sup> Department of Genetics and Biotechnology, University of Trás-os-Montes and Alto Douro (UTAD), Vila Real, Portugal

<sup>4</sup> Functional Genomics and Proteomics Unit, University of Trás-os-Montes and Alto Douro (UTAD), Vila Real, Portugal
<sup>5</sup> LAQV-REQUIMTE, Department of Chemistry, NOVA School of Science and Technology, Universidade Nova de

Lisboa, Caparica, Portugal

<sup>6</sup> Animal and Veterinary Research Centre (CECAV), UTAD, Vila Real, Portugal
<sup>7</sup> Associate Laboratory for Animal and Veterinary Sciences (AL4AnimalS), Portugal
\*Corresponding author email: crisarai@utad.pt

## I. INTRODUCTION

Meat and meat products are a significant part of the Portuguese diet. These foodstuffs have microorganisms responsible for the deterioration processes that reduce their shelf-life, representing economic losses and a considerable environmental impact. Also, they are a source of pathogens, with an important impact on public health, being a potential cause of foodborne diseases [1]. This study aimed to conduct a microbiological analysis of fresh meat and meat products from small local shops and hypermarkets. *S. aureus* and *L. monocytogenes* were isolated from the samples, to evaluate their phenotypic and genotypic antimicrobial resistance, the presence of virulence factors, and the ability to form biofilms.

## II. MATERIALS AND METHODS

A collection of 75 samples of fresh meat preparations and meat-based products was undertaken, in northern Portugal, from local shops and hypermarkets. All samples were evaluated for mesophiles, Enterobacteriaceae, LAB (lactic acid bacteria), *Pseudomonas* spp., *L. monocytogenes*, *S. aureus*, and *E. coli*, according to the ISO norms. After isolating *L. monocytogenes* e *S. aureus* from samples, antimicrobial resistance was determined using the Kirby-Bauer disk diffusion method, against 14 e 12 antimicrobial agents, respectively. Resistance and virulence genes were evaluated with PCR. Statistical analysis was performed with SPSS ® Statistics 29.0 for Windows, to determine if there were statistically significant differences in microorganisms' prevalence and counts.

## III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Total mesophilic counts presented the higher levels, followed by Enterobacteriaceae, LAB, *Pseudomonas* spp., *E. coli, S. aureus* and *L. monocytogenes* (Table 1). In general, the acceptability of samples was higher than 85%. Table 1 presents the microbiological counts (means and standard deviation) for type of product and microorganism evaluated.

Type of product	Total mesophilic counts (TMC)	Enterobacteriaceae	LAB	Pseudomonas spp.	E. coli	S. aureus	L. monocytogenes
Meat-based products	6.06 ± 1.44 ª	1.84 ± 2.55 <sup>b</sup>	3.08 ± 2.37	0.22 ± 0.70 °	0.50 ± 1.07	nd	0.18 ± 0.41
Meatballs and hamburgers	6.19 ± 1.06 ª	2.57 ± 1.63 <sup>ab</sup>	3.33 ± 1.95	2.82 ± 0.66 <sup>a</sup>	1.24 ± 0.91	0.35 ± 0.71	0.04 ± 0.13
Meat skewers	6.32 ± 1.13 ª	2.67 ± 1.86 <sup>ab</sup>	3.14 ± 1.89	2.30 ± 1.75 <sup>ab</sup>	0.90 ± 1.08	0.28 ± 0.56	0.13 ± 0.39
Breaded meat	4.57 ± 1.30 <sup>b</sup>	1.86 ± 0.71 <sup>ab</sup>	2.64 ± 1.57	$0.85 \pm 0.98$ bc	0.23 ± 0.41	nd	0.20 ± 0.22
Minced meat	6.01 ± 0.73 <sup>a</sup>	3.79 ± 1.27 <sup>a</sup>	4.14 ± 0.96	1.35 ± 1.31 <sup>b</sup>	0.52 ± 0.81	0.21 ± 0.66	0.07 ± 0.29
Fresh sausage	$5.08 \pm 0.85$ <sup>ab</sup>	$2.03 \pm 0.37$ <sup>ab</sup>	3.36 ± 0.77	$0.60 \pm 0.73$ bc	0.13 ± 0.21	nd	nd
Sig.	*	**	ns	***	ns	ns	ns

Table 1- Microbiological counts (means and standard deviation) for type of product and microorganism evaluated.

Sig. – level of significance; ns – not significant ( $p \ge 0.05$ ); \*significant (p < 0.05); \*\*very significant (p < 0.01); \*\*\* highly significant (p < 0.001) For each type of product, means that do not have the same letter, differ significantly (p < 0.05). nd – not detected

The prevalence of *S. aureus* and *L. monocytogenes* was 10.67% and 17.33%, respectively. *S. aureus* isolates were resistant to penicillin (52.6%), tetracycline (44.4%), chloramphenicol (36.8%) and tobramycin (26.3%). Virulence genes found were: *tet*K (31.58%), *cat*<sub>pc223</sub> (21.05%) e *blaZ* e *ant*(a')-la (15.79%). *L. monocytogenes* isolates were resistant to trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole (85.71%), ciprofloxacin (38.10%), meropenem (33.33%), tetracycline and erythromycin (28.57%), rifampicin (23.81%) and kanamycin (14.29%). Virulence genes found were: *tet*K (23.81%), *aad*A, *tet*L, and *blaOXA-48* (14.29%), *erm*C and *msrA*/B (4.76%). Four *S. aureus* isolates and six *L. monocytogenes* isolates were able to form biofilms at 24h and 48h, and there were some highly productive biofilm strains.

#### IV. CONCLUSION

This study is of great relevance as it provides us with a better understanding of the microbiological quality of meat and, consequently, of good hygiene practices from animal slaughter to retail establishments. It is important to understand the characteristics of the bacterial strains, especially because these foodstuffs are a reservoir of pathogenic and spoilage bacteria that can cause food poisoning.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was supported by the projects UIDB/00772/2020 (https://doi.org/10.54499/UIDB/00772/2020) and LA/P/0059/2020, funded by the Portuguese Foundation for Science and Technology (FCT).

#### REFERENCES

1. González, N., Marquès, M., Nadal, M., & Domingo, J. L. (2020). Meat consumption: Which are the current global risks?